

MINUTES

—OF THE—

125th SESSION

Baltimore Annual Conference

Methodist Episcopal Church, South,

—HELD AT—

BALTIMORE, MD.,

March 24-30, 1909.

Committee of Publication :

Rev. E. V. REGESTER,

Rev. H. W. BURRUSS,

Rev. H. C. COE,

Rev. C. D. BULLA.

CHURCH INSURANCE

MORE VALUABLE THAN EVER

Is the service now being rendered by the

NATIONAL MUTUAL CHURCH INSURANCE CO. of Chicago

Endorsed by the General Conference.

Each month of 1908 showed a steady gain in business just as during previous years. Business now exceeds \$32,000,000. Losses paid over \$410,000. Profits go to policy holders. Advantageous rates and easy terms of payment. Insures church property and pastors' property against fire, lightning and tornado. Those entitled to share in the benefits of this system should get full particulars.

BOARD OF INSURANCE

CHURCH SOUTH.

Charles M. Phillips
Thomas B. Morton
S. M. Hosmer, D. D.
Dr. R. B. Gilbert
Samuel Ouerbacker
Robert B. Burdine
Edward W. Hines

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

James B. Hobbs
H. H. C. Miller
H. C. Jennings, D. D.
P. H. Swift, D. D.
G. B. Johnson
Nels E. Simonsen
Chas. E. Mueller
Thos. B. Morton
Bishop J. F. Berry
H. A. Goodrich
Charles M. Phillips
Sampson Rogers
Nathaniel M. Jones
Henry P. Magill
F. L. Hart, D. D.

Henry P. Magill, Sec'y and Mgr., 184 LaSalle St., Chicago Ill.

Mrs. Alice Hargrove Barclay, Genl. Agent Southern Dept.,

Louisville, Ky.

C. & P. PHONE, GILMOR 720

BERTRAM W. GORE

Funeral Director

1723 WEST LAFAYETTE AVENUE

NEAR FULTON AVENUE

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Roll of Clerical Members.....	1
Lay Representatives.....	3
Questions of the General Minutes.....	4
Appointments of Preachers, 1909-1910.....	8
Officers, Boards, Committees, of Session of 1909.....	11
Committees appointed to serve next year.....	15
Daily Proceedings.....	16
REPORTS—	
Joint Board of Finance.....	33
Treasurer of the Board of Missions.....	38
Board of Missions, No. 1.....	54
Board of Missions, No. 2.....	54
Corresponding Secretary Woman's For. Missionary Society..	56
Treasurer Woman's Foreign Missionary Society.....	57
Woman's Home Mission Society.....	57
Baltimore City Mission.....	58
Laymen's Missionary Movement.....	59
Board of Education.....	61
Sunday-School Board.....	64
Treasurer of the Sunday-School Board.....	67
Board of Church Extension.....	69
Treasurer of Church Extension Board.....	70
Epworth League Board.....	72
Bible Board.....	73
Treasurer of Bible Board.....	74
Treasurer Corporate Board of Finance.....	75
COMMITTEES—	
On Publishing Interests.....	76
On Temperance.....	77
On District Conference Records.....	78
On Sabbath Observance.....	79
On Memoirs.....	80
On Federation.....	135
Randolph-Macon College and the Baltimore Conference.....	94
Protest against action concerning the relation of the Conference to the Randolph-Macon System.....	130
Sessions of the Baltimore Conference.....	139
Table No. 1—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property..	140
Table No. 2—Epworth League, Sunday-Schools, etc.....	150
Table No. 3—Finance.....	160
Conference Directory.....	170
Local Preachers.....	175

We call the special attention of our people
to the advertisements contained in these pages
as worthy of their confidence and patronage.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION.

Randolph-Macon Academy.

FRONT ROYAL, VIRGINIA.

The name, Randolph-Macon, indicates the closest union with the Randolph-Macon System, and that Randolph-Macon Academy at Front Royal has the supervision and the control of the Board of Trustees of this system of Schools. In its report on the Academy, as given in the minutes of 1907, the Board of Education of the Baltimore Annual Conference has the following:

"The Front Royal Academy is peculiarly *the* male preparatory school for the Baltimore Conference. It prepares young men to enter Randolph-Macon College at Ashland, or any other of the colleges and universities of the Country, and gives a well-rounded course to those not in position to pursue their studies further; all under the auspices of the Church, under the influences of Methodism. The successes of its students in higher institutions, and in the various walks of life, sufficiently attest the character and thoroughness of its work. With buildings and equipment unequaled, for the purpose, by any school of like character in the South, and a constantly increasing patronage as the public within and without our borders become acquainted with its merits, we have in Randolph-Macon Academy, at Front Royal, an Institution to which the whole Church, the whole country, can look with pride. Baltimore Conference Methodism needs this School as much, even more, than the Academy needs the patronage of the Conference. We believe that no Methodist parent within the bounds of the Conference can afford to send his son elsewhere, when he can enter him as a student at Randolph-Macon Academy at Front Royal; and it is in the full consciousness of what the Academy is, and of what it does for Methodism, for the welfare of the young man who enters within its walls, that we recommend it as worthy of the confidence, support and patronage of our people."

For catalogue and illustrated pamphlet, address a card to—

CHARLES L. MELTON, A. M. Principal.

ROLL OF CLERICAL MEMBERS

OF THE

BALTIMORE ANNUAL CONFERENCE

METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH, SOUTH.

*Absent.

-
- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>1844—Samuel K. Cox.*
 1848—John T. Wightman.
 1853—Hamilton A. Gaver.*
 Ephraim L. Kregelo.
 1855—James H. Wolff.*
 Joseph J. Engle.*
 1857—Wm. G. Hammond.*
 1858—A. Poe Boude.*
 J. Hervey DuLaney.
 1859—William Hedges.*
 1860—Lewis R. Jones.*
 John P. Hyde.
 Leonidas Butt.
 J. Lester Shipley.
 1861—Lemuel W. Haslup.
 Adam Q. Flaherty.*
 1863—Allen A. P. Neel.
 1864—Joseph J. Crickenberger.*
 Charles L. Damaron.
 Addison Weller.
 1866—John T. Maxwell.*
 John A. Kern.
 Isaac W. Canter.
 Benjamin F. Ball.
 James R. Van Horne.
 1867—Rufus H. Wilson.*
 Walter W. Watts.*
 Thomas W. Brown.
 H. Monroe Strickler.
 1868—David Bush.
 Asbury R. Martin.*
 William H. D. Harper.
 1869—Frazier Furr.
 George T. Tyler.
 1870—Jefferson W. Duffey.
 John S. Hutchinson.
 1871—William E. Miller.
 Beverly W. Bond.
 Hamilton W. Kinzer.
 Asbury C. McNeer.
 Thomas Cooper.
 1872—Frank A. Strother.
 Lewis L. Lloyd.
 Oliver C. Beall.
 Lafayette Fox.
 1873—James T. Williams.
 1874—J. Robert Andrew.
 J. Frederick Baggs.*
 Thomas G. Nevitt.
 Oscar F. Burgess.
 Charles E. Simmons.</p> | <p>1874—Rufus M. Wheeler.
 1875—Andrew M. Cackley.
 Henry S. Coe.
 Luke R. Markwood.
 William B. Dorsey.
 J. Kyle Gilbert.
 William Melville.
 Leonidas A. Snow.
 1876—George T. D. Collins.
 J. Latimer Kibler.
 William E. Woolf.
 John H. Davidson.
 William H. Sanders.
 1877—William H. Woolf.
 German O. Homan.*
 Jacob S. Hopkins.*
 Henry P. Hamill.
 1878—John A. Anderson.
 1879—James W. Grubb.
 David F. Eutsler.
 W. P. Conway Coe.
 1880—Collins Denny.
 John C. Thrasher.
 Henry A. Brown.
 1881—John W. Mitchell.
 B. W. Waters.*
 1882—Simpson V. Hildebrand.
 James M. Hawley.*
 Charles W. Mark.
 Charles B. Sutton.
 1883—James L. Henderson.*
 George D. White.
 1884—Forrest J. Prettyman.
 Clarence L. Kennard.
 William L. Dolly.
 John H. Light.
 John O. Knott.
 John H. Kuhlmann.
 1885—James P. Stump.
 Hamilton M. Roane.*
 Peter W. Jeffries.
 Henry I. Stephens.
 J. Alexander Rood.
 1886—Thomas J. Lambert.
 William H. Ballengee.
 Christopher Sydenstricker.
 Samuel A. Parker.
 1886—George R. Mays.
 Fisk E. Hammond.
 Isaac Ryder.*
 1887—Norman N. Hall.*</p> |
|---|--|

- 1887—Charles B. LeFew.*
William S. Neighbors.
- 1888—William M. Waters.*
Charles K. Millican.
William F. Locke.
Carlton D. Harris.
Charles S. Stanton.
- 1889—Harry L. Myerly.
David L. Blakemore.
A. Lee Barret.
- 1890—William L. Smith.
Alfred B. Sites.
John W. Beall.
William H. H. Joyce.
William T. Gover.
John S. Engle.
Isaac G. Michael.
John H. Wilhite.
James M. York.
- 1891—William H. Marsh.
Robert L. Fultz.
Charles M. Sarver.
John C. Hawk.
Linwood Hammond.
J. Howard Wells.
Oscar W. Lusby.
- 1892—William S. Hammond.
John H. Schooley.
Harry L. Hout.
John W. McNeil.
Turner A. Jordan.
Murray D. Mitchell.
- 1893—B. Lee Parrott.
James H. Smith.
William J. Whitesell.
Henry A. Wilson.
- 1894—Eldridge V. Regester.
James M. Anderson.
Daniel M. Brown.
Levi B. Atkins.
William Stevens.
Charles Lynch.
- 1895—William D. Keene.
John B. Henry.
Charles D. Bulla.
Homer H. Sherman.
George H. Echols.
M. Preston Weikel.
Josiah J. Ringer.
George K. Heydrick.
- 1896—William E. Henry.
Charles H. Cannon.
David H. Kern.
John R. Jacobs.
George W. Richardson.
Gracen D. Kidner.
Charles L. Potter.
Alfred L. Harnesberger.
- 1897—Wallace O. Talbert.
Frank A. Tyler.

- 1897—William H. Best.
Harry M. Canter.
Robert L. Eutsler.
Andrew A. Walker.
De Witt A. Beery.
- 1898—Howard A. Murrill.*
- 1899—Harry W. Burruss.
Harry C. Febrey.
Boyd V. Switzer.
Harvey Q. Burr.
George Hasel.
Lloyd H. Kincaid.
George W. Gaither.
- 1900—Joseph H. Balthis.
Ernest L. Woolf.
Bernley D. Harrison.
William C. Smith.
Charles I. Flory.
- 1901—John W. Smith.
Jesse D. Pope.
William M. Compton.
Jas. B. Hupman.
- 1902—James H. Haley.
Charles W. Stump.
Louis S. Rudasill.
Selwyn K. Cockrell.
George W. Staples.*
William D. King.
- 1903—George H. Fielding.
Claude M. Hesser.
Edward Barber.
Homer Welch.
Thomas C. Jones.
Harry P. Baker.
Joseph H. Bean.
John M. Kline.
Jas. T. Meyers.*
- 1904—Frank Jenkins.
George R. Fringer.
Lewis L. Lowance.
William D. Eye.
James B. Hedrick.
Edwin W. Brubaker.
William C. Sponaugle.
Marvin H. Keen.
Wilmer P. Johnston.
- 1905—John C. Copenhaver.
Charles L. DeLong.
William F. Lowance.
Albert VanDevander.
- 1906—Melvin T. Tabler.
Frank M. Richardson.
Jacob N. Mast.
A. Knox.
- 1907—John Paul Tyler.
Joseph J. Rives.
Hubert Sydenstricker.
Harry V. Wheeler.
Wendell Allen.
- Total, 220.

LAY REPRESENTATIVES, 1909.

DISTRICTS.

- BALTIMORE:** Louis W. Davis, 6 E. Camden Street, Baltimore, Md.
 William H. Talbott, Rockville, Md.
 Adelbert W. Mears, 117 Commerce St., Baltimore, Md.
 William T. Griffith, Beallsville, Md.
- EAST BALTIMORE:** Charles M. Armstrong, 100 E. Lexington St.,
 *Frank P. Robson, Easton, Md. [Baltimore, Md.]
 *C. Ashby Duvall, Parole, Md.
 Martin L. Jarrett, Jarrettsville, Md.
- WASHINGTON:** Clarence L. Fleming, Upperville, Va.
 Henry K. Field, Alexandria, Va.
 David L. Coon, 627 G St., N. W., Washington, D. C.
 Edwin M. Rouse, Remington, Va.
- WINCHESTER:** *James W. Gaver, Berryville, Va.
 John W. Rice, Winchester, Va.
 John W. Irvin, Shenandoah Junction, W. Va.
 *John J. Ridgeway, Ridgeway, W. Va.
- ROCKINGHAM:** Samuel A. Walton, Luray, Va.
 Archie B. Sapp, Goshen, Va.
 John N. Mohler, Mt. Solon, Va.
 James W. Level, North River, Va.
- ROANOKE:** Frank B. Thomas, Roanoke, Va.
 John A. Taylor, Roanoke, Va.
 Samuel R. Pritchard, Blacksburg, Va.
 *W. W. Echols, Newport, Va.
- LEWISBURG:** *A Elias Huddleston, White Sulphur, W. Va.
 Edward L. Warren, Lewisburg, W. Va.
 *Charles A. Yeager, Marlinton, W. Va.
 James B. Grimes, Lobelia, W. Va.
- MOOREFIELD:** *William F. Compton, Great Cacapon, W. Va.
 Benjamin H. Hiner, Franklin, W. Va.
 A. Russell Heltzell, Wardensville, W. Va.
 Fuller Bernard, Piedmont, W. Va.

*Absent.

GENERAL MINUTES.

Ques. I. Who are admitted on trial?

Ans. Elmer A. Lambert, Allen A. P. Neel, Jr.

Ques. II. Who remain on trial?

Ans. Arthur E. Owens, James S Keene, William R Hardesty, Robert K Nevitt, R. Clarence Meeks, Rembert D. McNeer, D. Garfield Brimlow, Stewart H. Greene, Okey D. Lambert, S. Regester Neel. (Franklin F. Neel, Harvey L. Bivens, Thomas A. Burch, and Letcher S Shires were continued in the Class of the first year.) (S. J. Lester Du Laney was continued in the Class of the second year.)

Ques. III. Who are discontinued?

Ans. Harvey S. Willey, at his own request.

Ques. IV. Who are admitted into full connection?

Ans. John Paul Tyler, Joseph J. Rives, Hubert Sydenstricker, Harry V. Wheeler, Wendell Allen.

Ques. V. Who are re-admitted?

Ans. Lloyd H. Kincaid.

Ques. VI. Who are received by transfer from other Conferences?

Ans. A. Lee Barret.

Ques. VII. Who are the deacons of one year?

Ans. Melvin T. Tabler, Frank M. Richardson, Jacob N. Mast, A. Knox, Marvin H. Keen, Charles I. Flory. (John C. Copenhaver, James B. Hedrick, Lewis L. Lowance, were continued in the Class of the Fourth Year.)

Ques. VIII. What traveling preachers are elected Deacons?

Ans. Joseph J. Rives, Hubert Sydenstricker, Wendell Allen, Arthur E. Owens, Harry V. Wheeler, Okey D. Lambert, William R. Hardesty.

Ques. IX. What traveling preachers are ordained Deacons?

Ans. Joseph J. Rives, Hubert Sydenstricker, Wendell Allen, Arthur E. Owens, Harry V. Wheeler, Okey D. Lambert, William R. Hardesty.

Ques. X. What local preachers are elected Deacons?

Ans. Frank T. Ridgeway, J. H. Watkins, M. A. Patrick.

Ques. XI. What local preachers are ordained Deacons?

Ans. Frank T. Ridgeway, J. H. Watkins, M. A. Patrick.

Ques. XII. What traveling preachers are elected Elders?

Ans. Charles L. De Long, William F. Lowance, Albert Van Devander, Wilmer P. Johnston, Edwin W. Brubaker.

Ques. XIII. What traveling preachers are ordained Elders?

Ans. Charles L. De Long, William F. Lowance, Albert Van Devander, Wilmer P. Johnston, Edwin W. Brubaker.

Ques. XIV. What local preachers are elected Elders?

Ans. None.

Ques. XV. What local preachers are ordained Elders?

Ans. None.

Ques. XVI. Who are located this year?

Ans. None.

Ques. XVII. Who are supernumerary?

Ans. Lemuel W. Haslup, German O. Homan, John S. Engle.

Ques. XVIII. Who are superannuated?

Ans. Samuel K. Cox, John T. Wightman, Ephraim L. Kregelo, Hamilton A. Gaver, James H. Wolff, Joseph J. Engle, William G. Hammond, J. Hervey Du Laney, A. Poe Boude, William Hedges, Lewis R. Jones, John P. Hyde, J. Lester Shipley, Adam Q. Flaherty, Joseph J. Crickenberger, A. A. P. Neel, Charles L. Dameron, Addison Weller, Isaac W. Canter, John T. Maxwell, James R. Van Horne, Rufus H. Wilson, Walter W. Watts, Thomas W. Brown, Asbury R. Martin, John S. Hutchinson, Hamilton W. Kinzer, Asbury C. McNeer, Lafayette Fox, J. Frederick Baggs, Jacob S. Hopkins, W. P. Conway Coe, J. M. Hawley, Charles B. Le Few, Isaac Ryder, Norman N. Hall, Hamilton M. Roane.

Ques. XIX. What preachers have died during the past year?

Ans. James E. Armstrong, William G. Eggleston.

Ques. XX. Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?

Ans. Their names were called one by one and their characters were examined and passed.

Ques. XXI. What is the number of local preachers and members in the several Circuits, Stations and Missions of the Conference?

Ans. Local preachers, 87; Members, 59,632; total, 59,719.

Ques. XXII. How many infants have been baptized during the year?

Ans. 1,455.

Ques. XXIII. How many adults have been baptized during the year?

Ans. 1,964.

Ques. XXIV. What is the number of Epworth Leagues?

Ans. Senior, 169; Junior, 77; total, 246.

Ques. XXV. What is the number of Epworth League members?

Ans. 10,370.

Ques. XXVI. What is the number of Sunday Schools?

Ans. 600.

Ques. XXVII. What is the number of Sunday-School officers and teachers?

Ans. 5,681.

Ques. XXVIII. What is the number of Sunday-School scholars enrolled during the Conference year?

Ans. 50,485.

Ques. XXIX. What was assessed by the last Conference for the superannuated preachers, and the widows and orphans of preachers?

Ans. \$20,000.

Ques. XXX. What has been collected on the foregoing account, and how has it been applied?

Ans. Collections, \$15,996; Invested Funds, \$1,300; total, \$17,296. Distributed, \$17,300.

Ques. XXXI. What has been contributed for missions?

Ans. Foreign, \$15,625; Domestic, \$12,762.56.

Ques. XXXII. What has been contributed for Church Extension?

Ans. \$7,716.00.

Ques. XXXIII. What has been contributed for the American Bible Society?

Ans. \$966.

Ques. XXXIV. What has been contributed for the support of Presiding Elders and Preachers in charge?

Ans. Presiding Elders, \$13,030; Preachers in Charge, \$137,647.

Ques. XXXV. What has been contributed for the support of Bishops?

Ans. \$2,704.

Ques. XXXVI. What is the number of Societies, and of houses of worship owned by them?

Ans. Number of Societies, 657; Number of Houses of Worship, 599.

Ques. XXXVII. What is the value of houses of worship, and what is the amount of indebtedness thereon?

Ans. Value, \$1,986,862; Indebtedness, \$161,702.

Ques. XXXVIII. What is the number of pastoral charges, and of parsonages owned by them?

Ans. Pastoral Charges, 195; Number of Parsonages, 164.

Ques. XXXIX. What is the value of parsonages, and what is the amount of indebtedness thereon?

Ans. Value, \$385,600; Indebtedness, \$30,906.

Ques. XL. What is the number of districts, and of district parsonages?

Ans. Number of Districts, 8; Number of District Parsonages, 5.

Ques. XLI. What is the value of district parsonages, and what is the amount of indebtedness thereon?

Ans. Value, \$26,000. No indebtedness.

Ques. XLII. What number of churches have been damaged or destroyed during the year by fire or storm, and what was the amount of damage?

Ans. Number of Churches Damaged, 5; Amount of Damage, \$4,061.

Ques. XLIII. What are the insurance statistics?

Ans. Insurance Carried, \$961,407; Losses Sustained, \$4,061; Premiums paid, \$3,314; Collections on Losses, \$2,325.

Ques. XLIV. What are the educational statistics?

Ans. Collections for Educational Extension, \$1,356; for Randolph-Macon College, \$2,552; Children's Day Collections, \$562.86; Total, \$4,470.86. Institutions—Randolph-Macon System; Randolph-Macon College, Ashland, Va.—Value of Property, \$136,304.76; Endowment, \$234,767; Professors, 19; Pupils, 134. Randolph-Macon Woman's College, Lynchburg, Va.—Value of Property, \$361,000; Endowment, \$211,000; Professors, 34; Pupils, 410. Randolph-Macon Academy, Front Royal, Va.—Value of Property, \$110,000; Professors, 8; Pupils, 141. Randolph-Macon Academy, Bedford City, Va.—Value of Property, \$110,000; Professors, 12; Pupils, 196; Randolph-Macon Institute, Danville, Va.—Value of Property, \$90,000; Professors, 21; Pupils, 191. (All the above Institutions are under the joint patronage of the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences, and are in moral right the property of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, and are controlled by a self-perpetuating corporate board.) Southern Seminary System: Southern Seminary, Buena Vista, Va.—Value of Property, \$100,000; Professors, 16; Pupils, 128. Alleghany Collegiate Institute, Alderson, W. Va.—Value of Property, \$12,000; Professors, 9; Pupils, 152. (The above are private Institutions under the patronage of, and recommended by, the Conference.) Total of all Institutions owned, recommended or jointly patronized by the Conference—Value of Property, \$919,304.76; Endowment, \$445,767; Professors, 119; Pupils, 1,352.

Ques. XLV. Where shall the next session of the Conference be held?

Ans. Mt. Vernon Place Church, Washington, D. C.

Ques. XLVI. Where are the preachers stationed this year?

Ans. (See Appointments.)

APPOINTMENTS.

1909-1910.

The Figures refer to the Year of Appointment.

Baltimore District.	
E. V. REGESTER, P. E.	1
Trinity and Calvary--	
W. S. Neighbors	1
Central and { C. D. Harris	3
Caernarvon { J. A. Anderson	1
St. Paul's--R. L. Fultz	4
St. John's-Emmanuel--	
M. D. Mitchell	3
Wilkins' Avenue--George Hasel	4
L. W. Haslup, Supy.	
Frederick Avenue--J. N. Mast	2
Arlington--B. V. Switzer	2
Taylor and { J. J. Ringer	2
Perry Hall { A. E. Owens	2
St. John's of Hamilton--	
F. M. Richardson	2
North Point--J. H. Wilhite	1
Jarrettsville--H. C. Febrey	4
Aberdeen--F. A. Tyler	3
Kent--J. A. Rood	2
Church Hill--C. L. DeLong	2
Ingleside and { J. D. Pope	3
Goldsboro { J. H. Davidson	1
Hillsboro--Hubert Sydenstricker	2
Easton and { J. C. Thrasher	2
Caroline { John Rosser, Supply	2
Trappe--J. W. Grubb	2
Royal Oak and Tilghman--	
W. D. King	1
Reistertown { M. H. Keen	1
and Sudbrook { J. C. Copenhaver	1
Freedom--J. B. Hupman	2
Howard--C. L. Kennard	2
Linganore--J. H. Kuhlmann	1
Frederick City--John Paul Tyler	4
Wesley Grove--J. R. Jacobs	4
Laurel Mission and Savage--	
A. B. Sites	5
Patuxent--Chas. I. Flory	2
Severn--J. H. Billingsley, Supply	1
Chesapeake--W. H. Sanders	2

Calvert--W. M. Waters	2
A. O. Lyons, Supply	1
<i>Student in Randolph-Macon College--</i>	
S. J. Lester DuLaney.	
<i>Missionary to Japan--J. T. Meyers</i>	
<i>Editor Baltimore Southern</i>	
<i>Methodist--E. V. Regester.</i>	

Washington District.	
F. J. PRETTYMAN, P. E.	1
Mt. Vernon Place { J. H. Wells	2
and City Mission { P. W. Jeffries	1
Epworth--J. W. Smith	3
Marvin--S. K. Cockrell	2
St. Paul--W. E. Henry	2
Calvary and { W. H. Ballengee	5
Clarendon { J. J. Rives	3
Emory and { C. M. Hesser	2
Colesville { W. C. Smith	3
Alexandria and { C. D. Bulla	4
Del Ray { O. C. Beall	4
Fredericksburg--W. L. Dolly	2
Falls Church--B. W. Bond	1
Leesburg--D. L. Blakemore	1
Warrenton--J. L. Kibler	1
Fairfax--F. A. Strother	3
George Oliver, Supply	2
Sterling--G. W. Gaither	3
E. A. Lambert	3
Occoquan--C. Sydenstricker	3
One to be supplied.	
Fauquier--O. F. Burgess	3
Remington--E. A. Roades, Supply	1
Sudley--Homer Welch	2
Manassas and { W. T. Gover	3
Prince William { L. A. Snow	1
Stafford--W. R. Hardesty	1
Morrisville--M. P. Weikel	2
Rockville--W. D. Keene	1
Goshen--W. P. Johnston	1
Potomac--W. L. Smith	2

Gaithersburg—H. W. Burruss	3	Churchville—C. E. Simmons	1
Clarksburg—E. T. Caton, Supply	1	Augusta—R. L. Eutsler	3
Hyattsville and Brentwood—		Waynesboro—A. A. Walker	2
H. L. Bivens	1	Basic—H. P. Baker	3
Beltsville—G. H. Fielding	1	New Hope—W. M. Compton	2
Forest Grove—J. W. Mitchell	2	Port Republic—T. G. Nevitt	1
Prince George—E. W. Brubaker	2	Rockingham—Frazier Furr	4
Charles and St. Mary's—		East Rockingham—C. B. Sutton	2
C. M. Sarver	1	Elkton—M. T. Tabler	1
<i>Principal of Bowling Green Seminary—S. A. Parker.</i>		Shenandoah and Marksville—	
<i>Missionary to Japan—B. W. Waters.</i>		R. M. Wheeler	2

Winchester District.

G. T. TYLER, P. E.	3	Jollett's and Mt. Pleasant—	
Winchester—J. W. Duffey	1	C. W. Mark	1
Frederick—L. R. Markwood	1	Luray—L. Hammond	1
Middletown—J. P. Stump	3	Page—H. M. Strickler	2
Stephens' City—J. H. Smith	1	New Market—G. R. Mays	1
Strasburg—A. Knox	2	Mt. Jackson—W. E. Miller	1
Front Royal and Riverton—		Edenburg—Wm. Melville	1
J. H. Light	2	Woodstock Mission—	
Warren—J. W. McNeil	1	G. K. Heydrick	1
Linden—C. W. Stump	2	Fairfield—W. D. Eye	1
Marshall—O. W. Lusby	4	Rockbridge Baths—	
Woodstock—H. M. Canter	4	A. B. Sapp, Supply	1
White Post—J. M. Kline	1	Goshen—L. Butt	1
W. A. Lynch, Supply	1	McDowell—H. A. Wilson	1
Berryville—I. G. Michael	1	Monterey—C. L. Potter	1
Hillsboro—W. B. Dorsey	4	Crabbottom—Thomas Cooper	2
Hamilton—S. V. Hildebrand	3		
Loudoun—D. F. Eutsler	4		
J. S. Keene	2		
Middleburg—J. H. Balthis	2		
Jefferson—D. A. Beery	2		
Charles Town—J. T. Williams	4		
Shenandoah Junction—			
R. K. Nevitt	3		
Shannondale—			
J. W. Reeves, Supply	1		
Shepherdstown—H. I. Stephens	4		
Brunswick—Frank Jenkins	1		
Brucetown—H. V. Wheeler	3		
A. L. Goodall, Supply	1		
<i>Professor in Vanderbilt University—</i>			
J. A. Kern			

Rockingham District.

G. D. WHITE, P. E.	4		
Staunton—D. H. Kern	1		
Staunton Mission—			
B. D. Harrison	2		
Harrisonburg—H. H. Sherman	1		
Bridgewater—G. W. Richardson	3		
Mt. Crawford—B. F. Ball	2		
Parnassus—J. L. Henderson	1		

Roanoke District.

J. R. ANDREW, P. E.	4		
Salem—D. Bush	4		
Greene Memorial—E. L. Woolf	1		
G. O. Homan, Supy.			
Trinity—W. H. H. Joyce	1		
St. James—Wm. Stevens	1		
Grace—L. L. Lloyd	1		
Belmont and East End—J. H. Bean	2		
Melrose and Mt. Olivet—			
T. C. Jones	1		
West End—Wm. Prout, Supply	1		
Vinton—J. B. Henry	2		
Roanoke Circuit—F. E. Hammond	1		
Bethany—A. L. Harnesberger	1		
Buchanan—W. J. Whitesell	3		
Fincastle—E. Barber	1		
Glen Wilton—J. H. Haley	2		
Eagle Rock—L. H. Kincaid	1		
Buena Vista—W. H. D. Harper	2		
J. S. Engle, Supy.			
Rockbridge—T. A. Jordan	2		
Lexington—W. S. Hammond	3		
Collierstown—G. W. Staples	4		
Elliston—H. S. Coe	1		
Christiansburg—A. Lee Barret	1		
Cambria Circuit—G. D. Kidner	2		
Blacksburg—H. P. Hamill	1		
Newport—G. R. Fringer	2		

New Castle—H. A. Murrill 2
 Oriskany—R. D. McNeer 1
 Catawba—J. K. Gilbert 1
Professor in Vanderbilt University—
 Collins Denny.
Conference Secretary and Treasurer
of Education—H. P. Hamill.

Lewisburg District.

T. J. LAMBERT, P. E. 3
 Lewisburg—D. M. Brown 1
 Frankford and Richlands—
 S. R. Neel 1
 One to be supplied
 Williamsburg—
 V. W. Wheeler, Supply 1
 Blue Sulphur—To be supplied.
 Hinton—C. S. Stanton 3
 Talcott—B. L. Parrott 2
 Greenville—W. F. Lowance 2
 Peterstown—J. B. Hedrick 2
 Alderson—C. K. Millican 2
 Union—J. M. York 2
 Sweet Springs and Highland
 Park—O. D. Lambert 3
 Ronceverte—W. H. Best 1
 White Sulphur—J. H. Schooley 2
 Alvon—A. Van Devander 1
 Callaghan—J. W. Beall 1
 Covington—J. O. Knott 3
 East Covington—R. C. Meeks 1
 Potts Creek—
 L. G. Hudson, Supply 1
 Clifton Forge—W. O. Talbert 2
 Selma—L. L. Lowance 2
 Bath—I. H. Van Devander, Supply 3
 Hot Springs—S. R. Snead, Supply 2
 Huntersville—S. T. Hale, Supply 1
 Back Creek—D. G. Brimlow 1
 Marlinton—A. M. Cackley 1
 Levelton—L. B. Atkins 2
 Greenbank—W. C. Sponaule 1
 One to be supplied

Durbin—H. Q. Burr 1
Professor in Allegany Collegiate
Institute—L. S. Shires.

Moorefield District.

W. H. WOOLF, P. E. 4
 Moorefield—W. H. Marsh 2
 Petersburg—Wendell Allen 2
 Franklin—H. L. Myerly 2
 North Fork—To be supplied
 Keyser—J. C. Hawk 2
 Cumberland—L. S. Rudasill 3
 South Cumberland—
 G. T. D. Collins 4
 Elk Garden—G. W. Yost, Supply 3
 Piedmont—H. L. Hout 4
 Frostburg—W. E. Woolf 1
 Flintstone—J. I. Thomas, Supply 2
 Romney—H. A. Brown 3
 Burlington—A. A. P. Neel, Jr. 1
 Springfield—G. H. Echols 4
 Thomas Morgan, Supply 2
 Martinsburg—W. F. Locke 2
 Berkeley—C. H. Cannon 1
 Morgan—Thomas Burch 3
 Gainsboro—S. H. Green 1
 Wardensville—
 S. G. Thomas, Supply 1
 Capon Bridge—F. F. Neel 2
 Listonburg—George Pope, Supply 1
 Slanesville—W. Lawson 2
 Hardy—H. C. Bitner, Supply 1
 Transferred: W. F. Melton to the
 North Georgia Conference.
 James M. Greene to the Virginia
 Conference.
 W. N. Wagner to the Florida Con-
 ference.
 J. A. Gere Shipley to the China
 Mission Conference.

Officers, Boards, Committees.

SESSION MARCH 24-30, 1909.

OFFICERS.

President.

BISHOP ALPHEUS W. WILSON, D. D.

Secretary.

REV. E. V. REGESTER.

Recorder.

REV. HARRY W. BURRUSS.

Statistical Secretaries.

REV. HENRY S. COE,

REV. W. M. WATERS,

REV. J. HARRY SMITH,

REV. HENRY A. BROWN.

Assistant Secretary.

REV. GRACEN D. KIDNER.

BOARDS.

I—Joint Board of Finance.

T. T. FISHBURNE, *President.*

A. C. NADENBOUSCH, *Secretary.*

J. T. HOUCK, *Treasurer.*

Clerical.

E. L. Woolf,

D. M. Brown,

D. F. Eutsler,

D. H. Kern,

B. F. Ball,

D. Bush,

T. Cooper,

A. M. Cackley,

Lay.

L. W. Davis,

J. B. Myers,

H. K. Field,

A. C. Nadenbousch,

J. T. Houck,

T. T. Fishburne,

A. E. Huddleston,

J. W. Vandiver.

II—Conference Board of Missions—(Incorporated.)C. D. HARRIS, *President.*J. E. SWIFT, *Vice-President.*W. H. TALBOTT, *Secretary.*J. E. ALEXANDER, *Treasurer.**Clerical.*

C. D. Harris,
F. A. Tyler,
C. D. Bulla,
I. W. Canter,
G. W. Richardson,
J. H. Haley,
C. K. Millican,
L. S. Rudasill,

Lay.

W. H. Talbott,
J. E. Swift,
J. E. Alexander,
W. J. Knott,
H. H. Jones,
F. B. Thomas,
J. J. Hobbs,
J. R. Portmess.

III—Board of Education.H. H. SHERMAN, *President.*J. M. ANDERSON, *Secretary.**Clerical.*

J. H. Wells,
J. M. Anderson,
J. L. Kibler,
William Stevens,
H. P. Hamill,
H. H. Sherman,
W. O. Talbert,
H. L. Hout,

Lay.

A. R. Duderar,
C. M. Armstrong,
D. L. Coon,
E. D. Newman,
E. S. Conrad,
J. W. Woods,
E. C. Bare,
J. H. Markwood.

IV—Sunday School Board.C. S. STANTON, *President.*W. W. MILLAN, *Secretary.*WILLIAM DEAN, *Treasurer.**Clerical.*

S. A. Parker,
H. C. Febrey,
W. F. Locke,
H. M. Canter,
R. L. Eutsler,
D. L. Blakemore,
C. S. Stanton,
W. E. Woolf,

Lay.

C. E. Muller,
O. C. Michael,
W. W. Millan,
M. L. Walton,
William Dean,
J. A. Taylor,
R. D. Nuckolls,
Joshua Zimmerman.

V—Board of Church Extension.R. L. FULTZ, *President.*P. W. JEFFRIES, *Secretary.*P. V. D. CONWAY, *Treasurer.**Clerical.*

R. L. Fultz,
F. J. Prettyman,
P. W. Jeffries,
J. T. Williams,
R. L. Eutsler,
W. S. Neighbors,
H. Q. Burr,
G. T. D. Collins,

Lay.

A. W. Mears,
G. C. D. Townsend,
P. V. D. Conway,
J. B. Clary,
I. B. Kagey,
P. V. Jones,
W. E. Nelson,
A. L. Pugh.

VI—Epworth League Board.W. H. H. JOYCE, *President.**Clerical.*

W. H. Best,
 W. E. Henry,
 W. L. Dolly,
 J. P. Stump,
 A. A. Walker,
 W. H. H. Joyce,
 J. M. York,
 C. W. Stump,

W. H. Best, *Secretary.**Lay.*

G. H. Lamar,
 C. A. Duvall,
 A. B. Pugh,
 W. B. Snider,
 W. B. Dodge,
 S. R. Pritchard.
 J. S. Dice,
 L. J. Foreman.

VII—Bib'le Board.F. A. STROTHER, *President.**Clerical.*

J. H. Balthis,
 L. B. Atkins,
 F. A. Strother
 W. L. Smith,
 W. H. Marsh,
 C. H. Cannon,
 R. M. Wheeler,
 G. H. Echols.

J. H. BALTHIS, *Secretary.*G. W. LAYCOCK, *Treasurer.**Lay.*

W. T. Griffith,
 W. H. Hellen,
 G. W. Laycock,
 W. B. Conrad,
 J. B. Roden,
 H. H. Diekey,
 A. E. Smith,
 George Haines.

VIII—Corporate Board of Finance.J. A. ANDERSON, *President.*GEO. N. NUMSEN, *Treasurer.**Clerical.*

J. W. Duffey,
 J. A. Anderson,
 E. V. Regester,
 T. G. Nevitt,
 J. S. Hutchinson.

J. S. HUTCHINSON, *Secretary.**Lay.*

Geo. N. Numsen,
 L. W. Davis,
 Dr. H. F. Hill,
 C. W. Prettyman.

COMMITTEES.**On Conference Relations.**

J. W. McNeil, Jesse D. Pope, O. F. Burgess, H. M. Strickler, R. M. Wheeler,
 L. Butt, L. Hammond, G. T. D. Collins.

On Admissions.

M. D. Mitchell, H. W. Burruss, W. H. Sanders, W. M. Waters, W. B. Dorsey,
 O. W. Lusby, C. L. Potter, H. M. Canter, J. L. Henderson.
 H. A. Wilson, W. E. Miller, W. Melville, L. B.
 Atkins, A. L. Harnesberger, L. S.
 Rudasill, J. C. Hawk.

On Publishing Interests.

<i>Clerical.</i>	<i>Lay.</i>	<i>Clerical.</i>	<i>Lay.</i>
C. D. Harris,	W. H. Talbott,	J. W. Duffey,	S. A. Walton,
J. J. Ringer,	C. A. Duvall,	C. H. Cannon,	S. R. Pritchard,
W. H. Ballengee,	H. K. Field,	J. W. Beall,	J. B. Grimes,
W. F. Locke,	J. W. Gaver,	G. H. Echols,	Fuller Bernard.

On Temperance.

<i>Clerical.</i>	<i>Lay.</i>	<i>Clerical.</i>	<i>Lay.</i>
J. H. Kuhlmann,	A. W. Mears,	L. L. Lloyd,	J. N. Mohler,
J. C. Thrasher,	M. L. Jarrett,	D. L. Blakemore,	J. A. Taylor,
J. W. Smith,	E. M. Rouse,	L. A. Snow,	C. A. Yeager,
H. I. Stephens,	J. W. Irvin,	L. R. Markwood,	A. R. Heltzell.

On Sabbath Observance.

<i>Clerical.</i>	<i>Lay.</i>	<i>Clerical.</i>	<i>Lay.</i>
C. L. Kennard,	W. T. Griffith,	C. B. Sutton,	J. W. Level,
J. A. Rood,	F. P. Robson,	C. E. Simmons,	W. W. Echols,
W. D. Keene,	C. L. Fleming,	B. L. Parrott,	E. L. Warren,
D. A. Beery,	J. J. Ridgeway,	C. W. Mark,	W. F. Compton.

On District Conference Records.

J. H. Wilhite, J. W. Grubb, S. K. Cockrell, N. N. Hall, H. P. Baker, J. H. Bean, L. L. Lowance, W. H. Marsh.

On Memoirs.

B. V. Switzer, J. C. Thrasher, S. V. Hildebrand, J. H. Light, J. W. Duffey, J. B. Henry, A. M. Cackley, L. S. Rudasill.

On Collections.

Geo. Hasel, Frank Jenkins, J. L. Kibler, C. W. Stump, W. M. Compton, W. J. Whitesell, J. H. Schooley, H. L. Myerly.

On Publication of Conference Minutes.

E. V. Regester, H. W. Burruss, H. S. Coe, C. D. Bulla.

To Canvass for Minutes.

W. B. Dorsey, Geo. W. Richardson.

To Nominate Place of Conference Session.

J. P. Hyde, I. W. Canter, T. T. Fishburne, A. C. Nadenbousch.

Railroad Transportation Agent.

C. S. Stanton.

COMMITTEES FOR 1909-10.

On Memoirs.

B. V. Switzer, H. W. Burruss, J. H. Light, H. H. Sherman,
J. B. Henry, A. M. Cackley, L. S. Rudasill.

On Examination, for the Quadrennium.

FOR ADMISSION ON TRIAL,

H. A. Brown, H. H. Sherman, J. H. Wells.

First Year.

Wm. S. Hammond, J. H. Smith, Wm. L. Dolly.

Second Year.

J. C. Hawk, H. M. Canter. J. B. Henry.

Third Year.

A. M. Cackley, J. H. Light. J. O. Knott.

Fourth Year.

J. A. Kern, D. H. Kern. S. V. Hildebrand.

Visitors 1908-9.

To Southern Seminary.—H. L. Hout, B. F. Ball, G. W. Richardson.

To Allegany Collegiate Institute—W. O. Talbert, A. L. Harnesberger,
J. B. Hedrick.

To Preach Opening Sermon 1910.

M. D. Mitchell. Alternate—H. M. Canter.

Railroad Transportation Agent.

C. S. Stanton.

Journal of the Daily Proceedings. 1909.

FIRST DAY.

THE ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FIFTH SESSION.

The Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, commenced its One Hundred and Twenty-Fifth Session in St. Paul's M. E. Church, South, Baltimore, Md., Wednesday, March 24th, 1909, at 9 o'clock A. M.

Bishop Alpheus W. Wilson, President of the Conference, in the Chair.

The Bishop read as a Scripture lesson a part of I Cor., 3rd Chapter.

Conference united in singing Hymn No. 222: "Jesus the Name High Over All."

The Bishop led in prayer.

The Roll was called by E. V. Regester, at the request of the Bishop, and 152 clerical and 13 lay members answered to their names.

E. V. Regester and George T. Tyler were nominated for Secretary.

Secretaries—E. V. Regester was elected Secretary, and upon his nomination Harry W. Burruss, H. S. Coe, H. A. Brown, W. M. Waters, J. Harry Smith and G. D. Kidner were elected Assistant Secretaries.

E. D. Newman was substituted for J. W. Gaver in the list of Lay Delegates from the Winchester District.

The Hour of meeting was fixed at 9 o'clock A. M., and for adjournment at 12.30 P. M.

The Bar was fixed at a line running across the church from the front of the fifth window.

Committees—The nominations by the Presiding Elders were presented and read, and they were elected as follows: (See list.)

Substitutes on Boards and Committees—Committee on Publishing Interests: E. D. Newman for J. W. Gaver. Quarterly Conference Records: I. G. Michael for N. N. Hall. Board of Church Extension: A. W. Mears for E. D. Preston. Board of Missions: C. K. Millican for J. A. Gere Shipley, W. J. Knott for M. L. Walton.

Resolutions—The following resolutions were presented and read, and on motion they were adopted:

Resolved, That the presiding Bishop be requested to appoint an official messenger or messengers, who shall collect or receive all reports,

resolutions and notices, and deliver the same to the Secretary, the officers of Boards or to the parties to whom addressed.

Resolved, That the foregoing be adopted as a part of the regular order of business, and so continue from year to year until revoked.

WM. H. TALBOTT.

LOUIS W. DAVIS.

C. D. HARRIS.

Resolved, That all notices of Board and Committee meetings shall be made in writing and sent to the Secretary of the Conference, to be by him read to the Conference at the proper time.

Resolved, That the foregoing be adopted as one of the rules of this Conference, and so continue from year to year until revoked.

WM. H. TALBOTT,

LOUIS W. DAVIS,

C. D. HARRIS.

In 1906 the Washington District Epworth League secured the plot of ground on which stood the house of George Arnold, near Spottsylvania C. H., Va., where Bishop Francis Asbury died, March 31st, 1816, and on June 27th, 1907, dedicated a granite monument marking the spot. At that time Rev. Charles D. Bulla secured a piece of oak from the Arnold house, from which he had a gavel made, suitably inscribed, which he presented to the Conference. Bishop Wilson accepted the gavel and placed it with the Secretary for safekeeping.

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, namely: *Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

Supernumeraries.—The characters of Lemuel W. Haslup, German O. Homan, John S. Engle, were examined and passed, and their names were referred to the Committee on Conference Relations for a Supernumerary Relation.

Superannuates.—The characters of S. K. Cox, John T. Wightman, Ephraim L. Kregelo, Hamilton A. Gaver, James H. Wolff, Joseph J. Engle, William G. Hammond, J. Hervey Du Laney, A. Poe Boude, William Hedges, Lewis R. Jones, John P. Hyde, J. Lester Shipley, Adam Q. Flaherty, Joseph J. Crickenberger, Allen A. P. Neel, Charles L. Dameron, Addison Weller, Isaac W. Canter, John T. Maxwell, James R. Van Horne, Rufus H. Wilson, Walter W. Watts, Thomas W. Brown, Asbury R. Martin, Hamilton W. Kinzer, Lafayette Fox, J. Frederick Baggs, Jacob S. Hopkins, James M. Hawley, Charles B. Le Few, were examined and passed, and their names were referred to the Committee on Conference Relations for a superannuated relation.

The characters of John S. Hutchinson, Asbury C. McNeer, W. P. Conway Coe, George K. Heydrick, were examined and passed.

When the names of William G. Eggleston and James E. Armstrong were called, they were reported as having died in the Lord, and their names were referred to the Committee on Memoirs.

Reports were received from Board of Missions, signed by W. R. Lambuth, W. W. Pinson, Jno. R. Nelson; Board of Church Extension, signed by W. F. McMurry; Board of Trustees of the M. E. Church, South, signed by W. F. Tillett, President, and John R. Stewart, Secretary; Smith and Lamar, Book Agents of the Publishing House at Nashville, Tenn.; the Sunday-School Editor, E. B. Chappell; W. H. M. S. of the Baltimore Conference, and referred to the appropriate Conference Boards.

A communication was received from John R. Pepper, President of the Laymen's Missionary Movement of the M. E. Church, South, read and referred to the following Special Committee: Wm. H. Talbott, O. C. Michael, W. W. Millan, E. D. Newman, J. N. Snell, W. B. Compton, J. M. McWhorter, A. R. Heltzell.

An invitation was received from the Y. M. C. A. of this City, tendering the use of their buildings to the Conference.

A communication, signed by Collins Denny, J. A. Kern, F. J. Prettyman, B. W. Bond, E. V. Regester and Henry P. Hamill, with reference to Church ownership of the Randolph-Macon College property, was presented and read. The resolutions were unanimously adopted *seriatim*; after which the paper, as a whole, was unanimously adopted. (Printed elsewhere in Minutes. See Index.)

The following resolution, signed by Henry P. Hamill and E. V. Regester, was presented, read, and, upon motion, it was unanimously adopted:—

Resolved by the Baltimore Annual Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, in Conference Assembled, That Bishop Alpheus W. Wilson, the oldest living Trustee of Randolph-Macon College, who has had perfect understanding of all the facts concerning the relationship of the College to this Baltimore Conference, having been from the beginning an eyewitness and a participant in establishing and continuing the subsisting relationship between the College and the Conference, be requested to shape his work so that he can attend the next meeting of the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, and to use his knowledge of the facts and his deservedly great influence to settle this vexed question and to protect the interest of the Church."

Resolutions, signed by Collins Denny, J. A. Kern, F. J. Prettyman, B. W. Bond, E. V. Regester and Henry P. Hamill, requesting a change in the Charter of the Randolph-Macon College, were presented and read, together with correspondence and legal opinions relating to the matter requested; upon motion of Dr. Denny the resolutions were laid on the table. (Printed elsewhere in Minutes. See Index.)

The Bishop appointed W. H. Best and W. E. Miller messengers, as requested in resolution adopted earlier in the session.

On motion, a Committee on Public Worship was appointed, composed of the Presiding Elders of the Baltimore and East Baltimore Districts and the pastors in Baltimore City and vicinity.

On motion, the name of J. P. Houck, for many years Treasurer of the Joint Board of Finance, was referred to the Committee on Memoirs.

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, namely: *Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

Presiding Elders.—B. W. Bond, of the Baltimore District; J. A. Anderson, of the East Baltimore District; E. V. Regester, of the Washington District, represented the condition of their several Districts, and their characters were examined and passed.

A communication from Rev. W. M. Ivey, pastor of the C. M. E. Church, in this City, was presented, and referred to the Board of Missions.

On motion, the Committee on Publication of Conference Minutes was appointed, as follows: E. V. Regester, H. S. Coe, H. W. Burruss, C. D. Bulla.

G. W. Richardson and W. B. Dorsey were appointed to canvass for subscribers, and to collect money due for the Minutes.

C. B. Sutton and S. V. Hildebrand were granted leaves of absence.

Introductions.—Bishop Warren A. Candler, of the M. E. Church, South; Rev. James Cannon, Jr., D. D., Editor of the Baltimore and Richmond Christian Advocate; C. B. Duncan, of the Correspondence School, at Nashville, Tenn.; Rev. W. W. Davis, of the M. E. Church, were introduced.

Conference adjourned with singing the Doxology and with the Benediction by Bishop Candler, to meet tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

SECOND DAY.

THURSDAY, March 25th, 1909.

Conference met at the appointed hour.

Bishop A. W. Wilson in the Chair.

Religious services were conducted by Bishop Candler; J. S. Hutchinson led in prayer.

The Roll of those not present yesterday was called, and 30 clerical and 5 lay members answered to their names.

The Journal of yesterday was read and approved.

Upon motion, the further calling the Roll was dispensed with.

Bishop Candler took the Chair.

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

Presiding Elders.—T. J. Lambert, of the Lewisburg District; George T. Tyler, of the Winchester District; G. Dorsey White, of the Rockingham District; J. R. Andrew, of the Roanoke District; W. H. Woolf, of the Moorefield District, represented the condition of their several Districts and their characters were examined and passed.

Revs. E. L. Watson, C. W. Baldwin, W. A. Koontz, representing the Baltimore Preachers' Meeting of the M. E. Church, bearing fraternal greetings, were introduced and addressed the Conference.

Bishop Candler briefly, but impressively and candidly responded to the addresses.

Rev. W. W. Davis, representing the Mountain Lake Park Association, addressed the Conference in reference to the interests of his Association.

Report of the Committee on Temperance was presented and read.

Wm. H. Anderson, Superintendent of the Anti-Saloon League of Maryland, and J. D. McAllister, State Secretary of the Anti-Saloon League of Virginia, were introduced and addressed the Conference.

Upon motion the Report was adopted.

The Committee on Memoirs was, upon motion, requested to prepare a Memoir of Mrs. A. W. Wilson.

On motion, the Resolutions requesting a change in the Charter of Randolph-Macon College, which were laid on the table yesterday, were taken up.

Upon motion of Dr. Denny, the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College who may be present, but who are not members of the Conference, were granted the privilege of the floor.

Dr. Denny presented further legal opinions bearing on the matter of a change in the Charter of Randolph-Macon College.

The previous question was ordered.

Upon motion the resolutions were adopted by a rising vote—ayes, 126; nays, 19.

The papers presented by Dr. Denny, together with the correspondence and legal opinions presented by him, were upon motion, ordered to be printed in the Conference Minutes. (See Index.)

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

The characters of Isaac Ryder, N. N. Hall, H. M. Roane, were examined and passed and their names were, upon motion, referred to the Committee on Conference Relations for a superannuated relation.

Rev. Dr. Noble, President of the Woman's College, in this City, was introduced.

The Report of the Committee on Federation, appointed at the last session of the Conference, was presented.

Upon motion, the time of the session was extended until the completion of the pending business.

Dr. B. W. Bond, Chairman of the Committee, read the report, which was, upon motion, adopted.

Conference adjourned with singing the Doxology and with the Benediction by Dr. Du Laney, to meet tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

THIRD DAY.

FRIDAY, March 26th, 1909.

Conference met pursuant to adjournment.

Bishop Candler in the Chair.

Religious services were conducted by Isaac W. Canter.

The Journal of yesterday was read and approved.

C. M. Armstrong, rising to a question of personal privilege, notified the Conference that a protest would be made against the action of the Conference in the matter of the ownership of the Randolph-Macon College and the change in the Charter of the College.

Report of the Board of Directors of the Baltimore Southern Methodist was presented and read, and upon motion it was referred to the Committee on Publishing Interests.

Reports of the Corresponding Secretary and the Treasurer of the W. F. M. Society, of the Baltimore Conference, were presented and read, and upon motion they were referred to the Board of Missions.

Question Two of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who remain on trial?* The characters of Arthur E. Owens, James S. Keene, William R. Hardesty, Robert K. Nevitt, R. Clarence Meeks, Rembert D. McNeer, D. Garfield Brimlow, Stewart H. Greene, Okey D. Lambert, S. Regester Neel, were examined and passed and, having also passed in examination before the Committee on the Course of Study, they were advanced to the class of the second year.

The characters of Franklin F. Neel, Harvey L. Bivens, Thomas A. Burch, Letcher S. Shires, were examined and passed, but not having been before the Committee of Examination on the Course of Study, they were continued in the class of the first year.

Question Three of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are discontinued?* The character of Harvey S. Willey was examined and passed, and on motion he was discontinued at his own request.

Question Four of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are admitted into full connection?* John Paul Tyler, Joseph J. Rives, Hubert Sydenstricker, Wendell Allen, Harry V. Wheeler, having passed in examination of character, were also reported as having passed in examination before the Committee of Examination on the Course of Study.

The character of S. J. Lester Du Laney was examined and passed, but not having been before the Committee of Examination on the Course of Study, he was continued in the class of the second year.

Question Eight of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What traveling preachers are elected deacons?* Joseph J. Rives, Hubert Sydenstricker, Wendell Allen, Harry V. Wheeler, Arthur E. Owens, Okey D. Lambert, William R. Hardesty.

Question Seven of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are the deacons of one year?* The characters of Melvin T. Tabler, Frank M. Richardson, Jacob N. Mast, A. Knox, Marvin H. Keen, Charles I. Flory, were examined and passed, and having also passed in examination before the Committee on the Course of Study, they were advanced to the class of the fourth year.

Question Twelve of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What traveling preachers are elected elders?* The characters of Charles L. De Long, William F. Lowance, Albert Van Devander, Wilmer P. Johnston, Edwin W. Brubaker, were examined and passed, and having also passed in examination on the course of study, they were elected to elders' orders.

The characters of John C. Copenhagen, James B. Hedrick, Lewis L. Lowance, were examined and passed, but not having been before the Committee on Examination on the Course of Study, they were continued in the class of the fourth year.

Question Ten of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What local preachers are elected deacons?* Frank T. Ridgeway, J. H. Watkins, M. A. Patrick.

Question Fourteen of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What local preachers are elected elders?*

Answer. None.

Rev. E. B. Sanford, D. D., Corresponding Secretary of the Federal Council of the Churches of Christ in America, was introduced and addressed the Conference.

On motion, the powers of the Committee on Federation were enlarged so as to take into consideration the federation of all Christian organizations.

The following resolution, signed by W. F. Locke, F. J. Prettyman, J. S. Hutchinson, was upon motion adopted:

"Resolved, That we have heard with profound thanksgiving to Almighty God that Bishop E. E. Hoss has so far recovered from his extreme illness as to be considered out of danger. We desire to express to him our sincere sympathy in his present suffering, and to assure him of our united prayers for his complete recovery."

Dr. Denny, who had just seen Bishop Hoss, brought greetings from him to the Conference.

Report No. 1 of the Committee on Conference Relations was presented and read, as follows: "We recommend the continuance of the supernumerary relation to Lemuel W. Haslup, German O. Homan, John S. Engle.

"We recommend that a continuance of the superannuated relation be granted to the following: John T. Wightman, Ephraim L. Kregelo, Hamilton A. Gaver, James H. Wolff, Joseph J. Engle, William G. Hammond, William Hedges, John P. Hyde, J. Lester Shipley, Adam Q. Flaherty, Joseph J. Crickenberger, Charles L. Dameron, Addison Weller, John T. Maxwell, Walter W. Watts, Thomas W. Brown, Asbury R. Martin, Hamilton W. Kinzer, Lafayette Fox, Jacob S. Hopkins, J. Frederick Baggs, Charles B. Le Few, J. Hervey Du Laney, Samuel K. Cox, Lewis R. Jones, Isaac W. Canter, James M. Hawley, Allen A. P. Neel, James R. Van Horne, A. Poe Boude.

"We recommend that a superannuated relation be granted to Isaac Ryder, Norman N. Hall, Hamilton M. Roane.

"G. T. D. COLLINS, *Secretary*.

J. W. McNEIL, *Chairman*."

Upon motion, the report was adopted.

Question Seventeen of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are Supernumerary?*

Answer: Lemuel W. Haslup, German O. Homan, John S. Engle.

Question Eighteen of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are Superannuated?* The brethren recommended in the report of the Committee on Conference Relations were granted a superannuated relation.

Rev. T. J. Lambert, Presiding Elder of the Lewisburg District, presented from the Lewisburg District Conference a recommendation of the recognition of the deacon's orders of Charles M. Anderson, which were given by the M. E. Church, together with his Certificate of Ordination, which was also accompanied by the certificate of his belief in the doctrine and discipline of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South.

Upon motion, his deacon's orders were recognized.

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Are all preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

The Baltimore District was called. The characters of C. D. Harris, M. D. Mitchell, G. H. Fielding, R. L. Fultz, George Hasel, J. N. Mast, B. V. Switzer, J. H. Kuhlmann, J. B. Hupman, C. L. Kennard, J. W. McNeil, H. W. Burruss, E. L. Woolf, W. L. Smith, W. C. Smith, C. M. Hesser, J. H. Wilhite, A. B. Sites, E. Barber, J. R. Jacobs, W. H. Best, were examined and passed.

Prof J. A. Bray, President of the Miles Memorial College, Birmingham, Ala., addressed the Conference in relation to the work the College is doing. A collection was taken for the College, amounting to \$151.50.

Rev. W. W. Pinson, D. D., Junior Missionary Secretary, was introduced

Rev. John R. Stewart, D. D., Agent of the Superannuate Endowment Fund, was introduced and addressed the Conference.

Conference adjourned, with the Doxology and with the Benediction by Mr. Pinson, to meet tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

FOURTH DAY.

SATURDAY, March 27th, 1909.

Conference met at the appointed hour.

Bishop Candler in the Chair.

Religious services were conducted by David Bush.

The Journal of yesterday was read and approved.

Substitutes.—Rev. J. H. Light was substituted in the place of Rev. W. N. Wagner, in the Committee on Examinations in the class of the third year. J. J. Hobbs, in the place of A. E. Huddleston, in the list of Lay Delegates. J. J. Hobbs, in the place of C. Lon Johnson, on the Board of Missions.

The following resolution, signed by F. J. Prettyman, J. A. Anderson, J. T. Williams, J. S. Hutchinson, W. H. Woolf, J. R. Andrews, E. V. Regester, was upon motion adopted:

"Whereas, at the last General Conference of our Church, held in Birmingham, Alabama, in May, 1906, an effort was made to detach the Lewisburg District from this Conference; and

"Whereas, the assertion was made that considerable numbers of our leading official members in the Lewisburg District desired that the District should be transferred from this Conference; therefore,

"*Resolved*, That the Presiding Elder of the Lewisburg District be instructed to take a vote of the official members of his District, each official member to sign a paper indicating his preference as to the transfer of the District from our Conference, and that the Presiding Elder shall bring the papers to the next Session of our Conference."

Report of the Special Committee appointed to take into consideration the letter of Mr. J. R. Pepper, President of the Layman's Missionary Movement, begs leave to report as follows:

That it recommends that the Baltimore Annual Conference recognize the Conference Committee provided for in the plan of the Layman's Missionary Movement, and consider as the report of this Special Committee such recommendations as said Conference Committee may report.

O. C. MICHAEL, *Secretary*.

WM. H. TALBOTT, *Chairman*.

Question One of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are admitted on trial?*

The recommendation of Elmer A. Lambert, from the Washington District Conference; Allen A. P. Neel, Jr., from the Winchester District Conference, were presented, and having passed in examination before the

Committee on the Course of Study and having been recommended by the Committee on Admissions, they were severally admitted on trial.

Question Five of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are re-admitted?*

The certificate of Lloyd H. Kincaid, from the Washington District Conference, was presented in due form; as he was not present at the Conference and therefore had not been before the Committee on Admission, his Presiding Elder represented the case.

Upon motion, the Committee was directed to consider the case.

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

The East Baltimore District was called. The characters of F. J. Prettyman, J. J. Ringer, F. M. Richardson, H. C. Febrey, F. A. Tyler, J. A. Rood, J. D. Pope, Frank Jenkins, J. C. Thrasher, J. W. Grubb, J. M. Anderson, W. H. Sanders, W. M. Waters, J. W. Mitchell, D. M. Brown, W. F. Melton, were examined and passed.

Rev. G. C. Kelly, D. D., of the Virginia Conference, was introduced and addressed the Conference in the interests of the institutional work undertaken by the Virginia Conference in Richmond.

Upon motion, the Board of Missions was granted leave of absence.

The names of John S. Hutchinson and W. P. Conway Coe were referred to the Committee on Conference Relations for a superannuated relation.

On motion, the Memorial Service for deceased brethren was made the order of the day for Monday morning at 11 o'clock.

Question Five of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are re-admitted?*

The recommendation of Lloyd H. Kincaid, from the Washington District Conference, was presented in due form, and having been recommended by the Committee on Admissions, he was upon motion re-admitted.

The Committee on Conference Relations was granted leave of absence.

Question Twenty was resumed.

Washington District was called. The characters of J. H. Wells, J. W. Smith, S. K. Cockrell, W. E. Henry, W. H. Ballengee, O. C. Beall, C. D. Bulla, W. L. Dolly, J. L. Kibler, D. F. Eutsler, J. H. Balthis, W. B. Dorsey, S. V. Hildebrand, P. W. Jeffries, F. A. Strother, G. W. Gaither, C. Sydenstricker, O. F. Burgess, J. H. Davidson, Homer Welch, W. T. Gover, C. M. Sarver, M. P. Weikle, James M. Greene, were examined and passed.

Question Four of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are admitted into full connection?*

John Paul Tyler, Joseph J. Rives, Hubert Sydenstricker, H. V. Wheeler, Wendell Allen, having been called forward, Bishop Candler,

after an impressive address, propounded the disciplinary questions; these questions were severally answered in the affirmative, and they were admitted into full connection.

Report No. 2 of the Committee on Conference Relations was presented, as follows: "We, the Committee on Conference Relations, recommend the continuance of the superannuated relation to the following brethren: John S. Hutchinson, W. P. Conway Coe.

J. W. McNEIL, *Chairman*.

G. T. D. COLLINS, *Secretary*.

Question Eighteen of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are Superannuated?*

Answer: John S. Hutchinson and W. P. Conway Coe.

Resolution, signed by F. J. Prettyman, J. H. Light, C. M. Armstrong, D. L. Blakemore, was upon motion adopted, as follows:

Whereas, this is the first time in thirty-five years that we have not had the services of Bro. G. T. Tyler as the Statistical Secretary, where he has rendered such efficient and faithful work; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we tender to Brother Tyler a vote of thanks for his past work in this important office and assure him of our hearty appreciation of his skill and accuracy in the discharge of this difficult task.

Rev. W. W. Pinson, D. D., one of the Missionary Secretaries, from Nashville, Tenn., was introduced and addressed the Conference.

Resolution, signed by William H. Talbott and E. D. Newman, was upon motion adopted, as follows:

Resolved, That the President be requested to appoint a committee of three members to ascertain the cost and to report upon the advisability of securing a fire-proof place of storage for the Records of this Conference and of the several District Conferences under its control, to the end that all the old and present Records thereof may be brought together in a place of permanent safety.

Resolution, signed W. H. Talbott and E. D. Newman, was presented, and upon motion adopted, as follows:

Resolved, That the several Quarterly Conferences and the preachers in charge be urged to collect all the old Records of Quarterly Conference Sessions and Church Registers, and to store the same in some fire-proof place, making in the Trustees' Report and carrying forward from year to year a note of the fact and place of such storage.

Question Twenty was resumed.

The characters of D. A. Beery, D. H. Kern, C. L. Potter, Wm. Stevens, J. P. Stump, H. M. Canter, T. G. Nevitt, J. H. Light, C. W. Stump, H. M. Strickler, J. H. Smith, W. F. Locke, I. G. Michael, J. T. Williams, H. I. Stephens, J. A. Kern, T. C. Jones, of the Win-

chester District, and G. R. Mays, of the Rockingham District, were examined and passed.

Leaves of absence were granted D. A. Beery and G. R. Mays.

Rev. J. Sidney Peters, Assistant Editor of the Baltimore and Richmond Christian Advocate, was introduced, and addressed the Conference.

Rev. A. F. Campbell and Rev. R. W. H. Weech, of the M. E. Church, were introduced.

Conference adjourned, with singing the Doxology and with the Benediction by Dr. J. P. Hyde, to meet Monday morning at 9 o'clock.

FIFTH DAY.

MONDAY, March 29th, 1909.

Conference met pursuant to adjournment.

Bishop Candler in the Chair.

Religious services were conducted by A. M. Cackley.

Journal of Saturday was read and approved.

Substitutes.—L. S. Rudasill, in the place of W. N. Wagner, on the Mission Board; J. N. Fishpaw, in the place of J. J. Ridgeway; J. E. Easter, in the place of W. W. Echols; George Haines, in the place of W. F. Compton.

A letter of greeting from F. T. Griffith was read.

Dr. J. H. Du Laney and J. S. Hutchinson addressed the Conference in reference to the Conference Benevolent Society.

Question Nine of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What traveling preachers are ordained deacons?*

Question Eleven: *What local preachers are ordained deacons?*

Question Thirteen: *What traveling preachers are ordained elders?*

Question Fifteen: *What local preachers are ordained elders?*

The Bishop presented the following certificate in response to the above-named questions:

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, March 29, 1909.

This is to certify that I, Alpheus W. Wilson, one of the Bishops of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, did at the close of the morning service in St. Paul's M. E. Church, South, in the City of Baltimore, Md., on the 28th of March, 1909, ordain the following persons deacons:

Traveling Preachers—Joseph J. Rives, Hubert Sydenstricker, Wendell Allen, Arthur Elmer Owens, Harry V. Wheeler, Okey D. Lambert, William R. Hardesty.

Local Preachers—Frank T. Ridgeway, J. H. Watkins, M. A. Patrick.

In Trinity-Calvary Church, in the same City, on the evening of the same day, after a sermon by Bishop Warren A. Candler, I did also, with the assistance of Bishop W. A. Candler, J. S. Hutchinson, G. T.

Tyler, B. W. Bond and E. V. Regester, ordain the following persons elders:

Traveling Preachers—Charles L. DeLong, William F. Lowance, Albert Van Devander, Wilmer P. Johnston, Edwin W. Brubaker.

(Signed) A. W. WILSON.

The Bishop announced the following Committees:

Federation—B. W. Bond, J. A. Anderson, E. V. Regester, J. R. Andrew, T. J. Lambert.

To Ascertain Cost and Place of Storage for Conference and District Conference Records—P. E. of the Baltimore District, Chas. M. Armstrong, Louis W. Davis, Thos. N.openhaver, B. F. Westcott

Report of the Committee on Publishing Interests was presented and read, and upon motion adopted.

Question Six of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are received by transfer from other Conferences?*

Answer: A. L. Barret.

The name of A. C. McNeer was referred to the Committee on Conference Relations for a superannuated relation.

Communication from Secretary of Education was presented and referred to Conference Board of Education.

Report No. 1 of the Board of Missions was presented, read, and upon motion adopted.

Report of the Bible Board was presented, read, and upon motion adopted.

Report of the Committee on District Conference Records was presented, read, and upon motion was adopted.

The Order of the Day having arrived for the memorial services of our deceased co-laborers, the Committee on Memoirs presented their report.

Conference united in singing Hymn No. 611—"Come, let us join our friends above."

The memoir of James E. Armstrong was read by J. S. Hutchinson.

The memoir of Wm. G. Eggleston was read by J. H. Light.

The memoir of Mrs Susan Bond Wilson was read by F. J. Prettyman.

The memoir of Joseph P. Houck, for many years the Treasurer of the Joint Board of Finance, was read by D. H. Kern.

The memoir of W. N. Simpson was read by J. C. Thrasher.

Loving tributes were made to the memory of our deceased by G. T. Tyler, Collins Denny, J. T. Wightman, D. H. Kern.

On motion, the report of the Committee on Memoirs was adopted.

On motion, it was ordered that the names of the writers of the several memoirs be signed to the memoirs.

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

Rockingham District was called: The characters of H. P. Hamill, B. D. Harrison, J. W. Duffey, G. W. Richardson, B. F. Ball, J. M. Kline, H. A. Wilson, R. L. Eutsler, A. A. Walker, H. P. Baker, W. M. Compton, Frazier Furr, C. B. Sutton, R. M. Wheeler, L. L. Lloyd, F. E. Hammond, J. L. Henderson, W. N. Wagner, Thomas Cooper, were examined and passed.

Roadoke District was called: The characters of David Bush, W. S. Neighbors, H. H. Sherman, D. L. Blakemore, C. H. Cannon, J. H. Bean, J. B. Henry, C. E. Simmons, W. D. King, W. J. Whitesell, L. Butt, J. H. Haley, W. Melville, W. H. D. Harper, T. A. Jordan, W. S. Hammond, G. W. Staples, W. E. Miller, Charles Lynch, G. D. Kidner, W. H. H. Joyce, G. R. Frieger, H. A. Murrill, J. K. Gilbert, Collins Denny, were examined and passed.

Rev. W. F. McDowell, D. D., of the M E. Church, was introduced.

Conference adjourned, with singing the Doxology and with the Benediction by I. W. Canter, to meet tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

SIXTH DAY.

TUESDAY, March 30, 1909.

Conference met at the appointed hour.

Bishop Candler in the Chair.

Religious services were conducted by J. R. Van Horne.

Journal of yesterday was read and approved.

A protest against the action of the Conference in reference to the change in Charter and Church ownership of Randolph-Macon College was presented and read by C. M. Armstrong. (See Index.)

Question Twenty of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Are all the preachers blameless in their life and official administration?*

Lewisburg District was called: The characters of L. Hammond, A. L. Harnesberger, L. A. Snow, C. S. Stanton, B. L. Parrott, C. K. Milligan, J. M. York, A. M. Cackley, J. H. Schooley, W. C. Sponangle, J. O. Knott, W. O. Talbert, J. A. Gere Shipley, A. Lee Barret, L. B. Atkins, H. Q. Burr, J. W. Beall, were examined and passed.

Report of the Joint Board of Finance was presented and read and adopted.

Question Twenty was resumed.

Moorefield District was called: The characters of W. H. Marsh, H. L. Myerly, J. C. Hawk, L. S. Rudasill, G. T. D. Collins, H. L. Hout, H. A. Brown, W. E. Woolf, G. H. Echols, C. W. Mark, L. R. Markwood, W. D. Eye, were examined and passed.

Question Sixteen of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Who are located this year?*

Answer: None.

Reports of the Treasurer and Secretary of the Board of Missions were presented and read, and the report of the Secretary was adopted.

Question Thirty-one of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What has been contributed for Missions?*

Foreign, \$15,625.00. Domestic, \$12,762.56.

Question Twenty-nine of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What was assessed by the last Conference for the superannuated preachers, and the widows and orphans of preachers?*

Answer: \$20,000.00.

Question Thirty of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What has been collected on the foregoing account, and how has it been applied?*

Answer: Collections, \$15,996.00; Invested Funds, \$1,300.00; Total, \$17,296.00; Distributed, \$17,300.00.

Reports of the Secretary and Treasurer of the Board of Church Extension were presented, read, and upon motion adopted.

Question Thirty-two of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What has been contributed for Church Extension?*

Answer: \$7,716.00.

Report of Conference Layman's Committee of the Layman's Missionary Movement was presented, read and adopted.

Question Thirty-three of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What has been contributed for the American Bible Society?*

Answer: \$966.00.

Question Forty-five of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Where shall the next Session be held?*

Answer: Mount Vernon Place, Washington, D. C.

Resolution, signed by R. L. Fultz, F. J. Prettyman, C. D. Harris, I. W. Canter, was presented, read, and on motion adopted, as follows:

"Whereas, we have heard with pleasure the fraternal greetings of Revs. E. L. Watson, D. D., C. W. Baldwin, D. D., and W. H. Koontz, D. D., representing the City Preachers' Meeting of the Methodist Episcopal Church; and

"Whereas, our Preachers' Meeting of Baltimore City and Vicinity will not meet in time for the appointment of a committee to convey greetings to the Baltimore Conference of our sister Church, which will begin its Session in this City on Wednesday next;

"Resolved, That the Presiding Bishop be requested to appoint three messengers to convey the greetings of this body to the Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church.

"Resolved Secondly, That the Presiding Bishop be requested to appoint three messengers to convey the greetings of this body to the Maryland Conference of the Methodist Protestant Church, soon to meet in this City."

The Bishop appointed the following Committee to bear greetings of this Conference to the Conferences of the M. E. Church and the Methodist Protestant Church, soon to meet in this City: F. J. Prettyman, C. D. Harris and R. L. Fultz.

Committee on Place of Conference Session was continued.

Report of Board of Education was presented, and, after being amended, was upon motion adopted.

Question Forty-four of the General Minutes was called, viz: *What are the Educational Statistics?*

Answer: Collections for Educational Extension, \$1,356.00; for Randolph-Macon College, \$2,552.00; Children's Day Collections, \$562.86; Total, \$4,470.86. Institutions—Randolph-Macon System: Randolph-Macon College, Ashland, Virginia—Value of property, \$136,304.76; Endowment, \$234,767.00; Professors, 19; Pupils, 134. Randolph-Macon Woman's College, Lynchburg, Va.—Value of Property, \$361,000.00; Endowment, \$211,000.00; Professors, 34; Pupils, 410. Randolph-Macon Academy, Front Royal, Va.—Value of Property, \$110,000.00; Professors, 8; Pupils, 141. Randolph-Macon Academy, Bedford City, Va.—Value of Property, \$110,000.00; Professors, 12; Pupils, 196. Randolph-Macon Institute, Danville, Va.—Value of Property, \$90,000.00; Professors, 21; Pupils, 191. (All of the above institutions are under the joint patronage of the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences, and are in moral right the property of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, and are controlled by a self-perpetuating corporate board.) Southern Seminary System—Southern Seminary, Buena Vista, Va.—Value of Property, \$100,000.00; Professors, 16; Pupils, 128. Allegany Collegiate Institute, Alderson, W. Va.—Value of Property, \$12,000.00; Professors, 9; Pupils, 152. (The above are private institutions under the patronage of and recommended by the Conference.) Total of all Institutions owned, recommended or jointly patronized by the Conference—Value of Property, \$919,304.76; Endowment, \$445,767.00; Professors, 119; Pupils, 1,352.

Report No. 3 of Committee on Conference Relations was presented and read, and on motion it was adopted as follows:

"We recommend the continuance of the superannuated relation to the following brethren: Asbury C. McNeer, Rufus H. Wilson.

"G. T. D. COLLINS, *Secretary*.

J. W. McNEIL, *Chairman*."

Report of Epworth League Board was presented, read and upon motion adopted.

Report of Sunday-School Board was presented, read and, after being amended, was upon motion adopted.

Notice having been received of the serious illness of Rev. A. L. Goodall at his Conference home in this City, Conference was led in prayer by G. T. Tyler for his recovery.

Questions Twenty-one, Twenty-two, Twenty-three, Twenty-four, Twenty-five, Twenty-seven, Twenty-eight, Thirty-four, Thirty-five, Thirty-six, Thirty-seven, Thirty-eight, Thirty-nine, Forty, Forty-one, Forty-two, Forty-three, were severally called and answered.

The following resolutions were presented and read, and upon motion they were adopted:

"Resolved, That the thanks of the Conference are due, and are hereby extended, to the pastors of the City and membership of St. Paul's Church and all of our people in Baltimore for their gracious hospitality during this session of our Conference."

"Resolved, That the thanks of the Conference are extended to the City papers for publishing reports of the Conference proceedings."

Collection for the Secretaries and the Sexton was taken, amounting to \$54.75.

The Journal of this session was read, and upon motion approved.

Committees for 1909-10 were announced.

Question Forty-six of the General Minutes was called, viz: *Where are the preachers stationed this year?*

Bishop Candler addressed the Conference and read the appointments, as follows (see pages 8-10):

Conference adjourned, with singing the Doxology and with the Benediction pronounced by Bishop Candler, to meet in Mt. Vernon Place Church, Washington, D. C., March, 1910.

REPORTS.

Joint Board of Finance for Year 1908-9.

TREASURER'S REPORT.

Debits.

Balance at close of Conference, 1908.....	\$ 101 00
Emergency fund.....	1,000 00
Interest earned.....	33 00
Bishop's collection.....	2,704 00
Superannuate's collection.....	15,996 00
R. M. College.....	2,552 00
Educational extension.....	1,356 00
Endowment fund for Superannuates.....	679 00
From Corporate Board of Finance.....	1,300 00
Interest on endowment fund.....	140 00
Colvin fund.....	48 00
Total.....	<u>\$25,909 00</u>

Credits.

Paid Bishops.....	\$2,704 00
Superannuates, etc.....	17,300 00
R. M. College.....	2,552 00
Parent Board of Education.....	1,356 00
Endowment for Superannuates.....	679 00
Committee for Publishing Minutes.....	5 00
For Stationery.....	3 00
Balance on hand—Emergency fund, \$1,000; General fund, \$310.....	1,310 00
	<u>\$25,909 00</u>

Respectfully submitted,

J. T. HOUCK, *Treas.*

Comparative Receipts.

CAUSE.	1908	1909	Increase	Decrease
Bishops.....	\$ 2,643	\$ 2,704	\$ 61
Superannuates	15,427	15,996	569
R. M. College.....	2,197	2,552	355
Educational Extension.....	1,264	1,356	92
Endowment Sup.....	(No col.)	679
	\$21,531	\$23,287	\$1,077

Estimates for 1909-10.

DISTRICTS.	Bishops.	Super's.	R. M. College.	Educa'n'l Ext'n.	Endow't Super's	Ex. Del. to Gen. Conf.
Baltimore	\$512	\$3,100	\$543	\$284	\$155	\$186
Washington	597	3,560	623	326	178	214
Winchester	455	2,760	483	253	138	166
Rockingham	433	2,680	469	246	134	161
Roanoke.....	501	3,040	532	280	152	182
Lewisburg ..	422	2,560	448	235	128	153
Moorefield....	380	2,300	402	211	115	138
	\$3,300	\$20,000	\$3,500	\$1,835	\$1,000	\$1,200

Payment per Member to Joint Board of Finance.

Baltimore District.....	59.56 cents.
East Baltimore District	47.36 cents.
Washington District	42.04 cents.
Winchester District.....	39.37 cents.
Rockingham District.....	31.78 cents.
Roanoke District.....	42.39 cents.
Lewisburg District.....	36.77 cents.
Moorefield District.	22.71 cents.

ALLOWANCES AND PAYMENTS TO CLAIMANTS ON SUPERANNUATE FUND.

<i>Claimant.</i>	<i>Paid 1909.</i>	<i>Claimant.</i>	<i>Paid 1909.</i>
Allen, Mrs. Blanche (acct. Mrs. G. B. Allen, dec'd)..	\$ 100 00	Vandiver, Mrs. E. G.....	\$150 00
Armstrong, Mrs. A. O.....	200 00	Wheat, Mrs. Q. A.....	175 00
Briley, Mrs. Thos.....	175 00	Waugh, Miss Carrie.....	300 00
Bishop, Mrs. H. D.....	175 00	Armstrong, Jas. E. (widow)	300 00
Boyd, Mrs. J. H.....	175 00	Brown, T. W.....	300 00
Cunningham, Mrs. R. S....	200 00	Baggs, J. Fred.....	350 00
Carson, Mrs. Thos. E.....	200 00	Boude, A. Poe.....	350 00
Canter, Mrs. Jno. W.....	300 00	Crickenberger, J. J.....	350 00
Dolly, Mrs. S. B.....	150 00	Coe, W. P. C.....	350 00
Eavey's, J. M. children....	250 00	Cox, S. K.....	400 00
Ferguson, Mrs. S. G.....	50 00	Canter, Isaac W.....	400 00
Ferguson, Miss Margaret..	50 00	Damaron, C. L.....	350 00
Greene, Mrs. L. R.....	200 00	DuLaney, J. H.....	300 00
Gains, Mrs. F. A.....	325 00	Eggleston, W. G.....	200 00
Graybill, Mrs. L. H. (Estate)	150 00	(Miss Emma)	
Gardner, Mrs. J. S.....	150 00	Fox, L.....	350 00
Hamill, Mrs. A. C.....	125 00	Flaherty, A. Q (Emerg'y)..	150 00
Haddaway, Mrs. S. W.....	150 00	Gaver, H. A.....	325 00
Hammond, Mrs. Wesley...	150 00	Hedges, Wm.....	275 00
Henry, Mrs. E. H.....	175 00	Hyde, J. P.....	150 00
Hildebrand, Mrs. Thos....	175 00	Hall, N. N. (Emergency)...	150 00
Jones, Mrs. J. C. and child.	150 00	Hopkins, J. S.....	100 00
Joyce, Mrs. C. A.....	175 00	Hammond, W. G.....	200 00
Liggett, Mrs. J. F.....	150 00	Hawley, J. M.....	300 00
Long, Mrs. M. M.....	250 00	Hutchinson, J. S.....	400 00
Martin, Mrs. J. D.....	175 00	Jones, L. R.....	350 00
Mercer, Mrs. F. A.....	175 00	Kregeloe, E. L.....	50 00
Miller, Mrs. L. C.....	200 00	LeFew, C. B.....	350 00
Miller, Mrs. T. J.....	250 00	Maxwell, J. T.....	300 00
McDonald, Mrs. W. A.....	175 00	Martin, Asbury R.....	350 00
Martin, Mrs. L. G.....	175 00	McNeer, A. C.....	300 00
Perry, Mrs. W. J.....	100 00	Neel, A. A. P.....	350 00
Pitzer, Mrs. H. C.....	100 00	Ryder, Isaac (Emergency)..	75 00
Ross, Mrs. W. O.....	250 00	Roane, H. M. (Emerg'y)...	250 00
Snapp, Mrs. S. R.....	175 00	Van Horne, J. R.....	350 00
Stringer, Mrs. W. R.....	100 00	Wolff, Jas. H.....	200 00
Smithson, Mrs. Rumsey...	175 00	Watts, W. W.....	350 00
Sixear, Mrs. P. S. E.....	175 00	Wightman, J. T.....	225 00
Townsend, Mrs. S.....	150 00	Weller, Addison.....	300 00
Trone, Mrs. J. T.....	100 00		
		Total.	\$17,300 00

Respectfully submitted,

ADRIAN C. NADENBOUSCH,

March 30, 1909.

Secretary.

CLAIMANTS FOR 1909-10.

CLAIMANT.	P. O. ADDRESS.	DISTRICT.
Armstrong, Mrs. A. O.....	Rockville, Md.....	Washington.
Armstrong, Mrs. Jas. E.....	College Park, Va.....	Virginia Conference.
Briley, Mrs. Thos.....	Harrisonburg, Va.....	Rockingham.
Bishop, Mrs. H. D.....	Covington, Va.....	Lewisburg.
Boyd, Mrs. J. H.....	Roanoke, Va.....	Roanoke.
Cunningham, Mrs. R. S.....	Summit Point, W. Va.....	Winchester.
Carson, Mrs. Thos. E.....	Roanoke, Va.....	Roanoke.
Canter, Mrs. Jno. W.....	Fairfield, Va.....	Rockingham.
Dolly, Mrs. S. B.....	Chapel Hill, S. C.....	
Eavey's, J. M. children.....	Dayton, Va.....	Rockingham.
Ferguson, Mrs. S. G.....	Front Royal, Va.....	Winchester.
Greene, Mrs. L. R.....	Rockville, Md.....	Washington.
Gaines, Mrs. F. A.....		
Gardner, Mrs. J. S.....	Paris, Va.....	Winchester.
Hamill, Mrs. A. C.....	Elk Garden, W. Va.....	Moorefield.
Haddaway, Mrs. S. W.....	Washington, D. C.....	Washington.
Hammond, Mrs. Wesley.....	Romney, W. Va.....	Moorefield.
Henry, Mrs. E. H.....	Warrenton, Va.....	Washington.
Hildebrand, Mrs. Thos.....	McDowell, W. Va.....	Moorefield.
Jones, Mrs. J. C. and child....	Romney, W. Va.....	Moorefield.
Joyce, Mrs. C. A.....	Baltimore, Md.....	Baltimore.
Liggett, Mrs. J. F.....	Staunton, Va.....	Rockingham.
Long, Mrs. M. M.....	Hamburg, Va.....	Winchester.
Martin, Mrs. J. D.....	Charlottesville, Va.....	Virginia Conference.
Mercer, Mrs. F. A.....	Baltimore, Md.....	Baltimore.
Miller, Mrs. L. C.....	Baltimore, Md.....	Baltimore.
Miller, Mrs. Thos. J.....	Hedgesville, W. Va.....	Winchester.
McDonald, Mrs. W. A.....	Baltimore, Md.....	Baltimore.
Martin, Mrs. L. G.....	Aberdeen, Md.....	Baltimore.
Perry, Mrs. W. J.....	Staunton, Va.....	Rockingham.
Pitzer, Mrs. H. C.....	Lexington, Va.....	Roanoke.
Ross, Mrs. W. O.....	Abbeyville, S. C.....	South Carolina Conf.
Snapp, Mrs. S. R.....	Grottoes, Va.....	Rockingham.
Stringer, Mrs. W. R.....	Glyndon, Md.....	Baltimore.
Smithson, Mrs. Rumsey.....	Mansfield, Ill.....	Illinois Conference.
Sixear, Mrs. P. S. E.....	Newcastle, Va.....	Roanoke.
Townsend, Mrs. S.....		
Trone, Mrs. J. T.....	Trone, Va.....	Moorefield.
Vandiver, Mrs. E. G.....	Ashland, Va.....	Virginia Conference.
Wheat, Mrs. Q. A.....	Ronceverte, W. Va.....	Lewisburg.
Wagh, Miss Carrie.....	Harrisonburg, Va.....	Rockingham.
Brown, T. W.....	Gardenville, Md.....	Baltimore.
Baggs, J. Fred.....	Berwyn, Md.....	Baltimore.
Boude, A. Poe.....	Stanleyton, Va.....	Rockingham.

CLAIMANT.	P. O. ADDRESS.	DISTRICT.
Crickenberger, J. J.	Lewisburg, W. Va....	Lewisburg.
Coe, W. P. C.	Washington, D. C....	Washington.
Cox, S. K.	Harrisonburg, Va....	Rockingham.
Canter, Isaac W.	Baltimore, Md.	Baltimore.
Damaron, C. L.	Baltimore, Md.	Baltimore.
DuLaney, J. H.	Baltimore, Md.	Baltimore.
Engle, J. J.	Berryville, Va.	Winchester.
Fox, L.	Front Royal, Va.	Winchester.
Flaherty, A. Q.		
Gaver, H. A.	Middletown, Va.	Winchester.
Hedges, Wm.	Martinsburg, W. Va..	Winchester.
Hyde, J. P.	Winchester, Va.	Winchester.
Hopkins, J. S.	Strasburg, Va.	Winchester.
Hammond, W. G.	Dranesville, Va.	Washington.
Hawley, J. M.	Hamilton, Va.	Winchester.
Hutchinson, J. S.	Edinburg, Va.	Winchester.
Hall, N. N.		
Jones, L. R.	Luray, Va.	Rockingham.
Kregeloe, E. L.	Shepherdst'n, W. Va..	Winchester.
LeFew, C. B.	Salem, Va.	Roanoke.
Maxwell, J. T.	Staunton, Va.	Rockingham.
Martin, Asbury R.	Shenandoah, Va.	Rockingham.
McNeer, A. C.		
Neel, A. A. P.	Lewisburg, W. Va....	Lewisburg.
Ryder, Isaac.		Florida.
Roane, H. M.	Staunton, Va.	Rockingham.
Van Horne, J. R.	Woodstock, Va.	Winchester.
Wolff, Jas. H.	Charles Town, W. Va..	Winchester.
Watts, W. W.	Strasburg, Va.	Winchester.
Wightman, J. T.	Baltimore, Md.	Baltimore.
Weller, Addison.	Govanstown, Md.	Baltimore.
Wilson, Rufus H.	Crozet, Va.	Virginia Conference.

Respectfully submitted,

ADRIAN C. NADENBOUSCH,
Secretary.

REPORT
—OF THE—
TREASURER OF THE BOARD OF MISSIONS
—FOR—
Conference Year Ending March 31, 1909.

Assessments and Payments by Districts with Percentage of Payments.

DISTRICTS.	FOREIGN MISSIONS.		Percentage.	DOMESTIC MISSIONS.		Percentage.
	Assessed.	Paid.		Assessed.	Paid.	
Baltimore	\$1,960 00	\$2,235 75	114	\$1,756 00	\$1,822 15	103
East Baltimore ..	1,501 00	1,264 75	84	1,345 00	1,179 50	88
Washinton	2,538 00	2,298 00	90	2,273 00	2,138 65	94
Winchester.....	1,973 00	2,193 50	111	1,767 00	1,768 65	100
Rockingham.....	2,159 00	1,687 00	78	1,934 00	1,480 50	76
Roanoke.....	2,254 00	2,533 00	112	2,019 00	1,871 50	93
Lewisburg	1,861 00	1,664 00	89	1,668 00	1,508 90	90
Moorefield	1,577 00	1,076 00	70	1,413 00	915 55	65
	\$15,823 00	\$14,952 00	94.5	\$14,175 00	\$12,685 40	89.4

Comparative Receipts.

DISTRICTS.	FOREIGN MISSIONS.			
	1907-8.	1908-9.	Increase.	Decrease.
Baltimore	\$1,935 25	\$2,235 75	\$ 300 50
East Baltimore	1,078 00	1,264 75	186 75
Washington	2,244 00	2,298 00	54 00
Winchester	2,028 00	2,193 50	165 50
Rockingham	1,471 25	1,687 00	215 75
Roanoke	2,511 00	2,533 00	22 00
Lewisburg	1,691 50	1,664 00	\$ 27 50
Moorefield	953 50	1,076 00	122 50
Totals	\$1,067 00	\$ 27 50
Less decrease	27 50
Net increase	\$1,039 50
	DOMESTIC MISSIONS.			
	1907-8.	1908-9.	Increase.	Decrease.
Baltimore	\$1,725 75	\$1,822 15	\$ 96 40
East Baltimore	1,030 20	1,179 50	143 30
Washington	1,992 25	2,138 65	146 40
Winchester	1,796 27	1,768 65	\$ 27 62
Rockingham	1,237 00	1,480 50	243 50
Roanoke	1,958 00	1,871 50	86 50
Lewisburg	1,429 07	1,508 90	79 83
Moorefield	828 36	915 55	87 19
Totals	\$ 796 62	\$114 12
Less decrease	114 12
Net increase	\$ 682 50
Net increase (Foreign)	1,039 50
Total increase (Foreign and Domestic)	\$1,722 00

ASSESSMENTS AND RECEIPTS FOR 1908 AND 1909.

APPOINTMENTS.	No. of Members.	FOREIGN MISSIONS.		For. Paid per Mem	DOMESTIC MISSIONS.		Dom. Paid per Mem.
		Assessed.	Paid.		Cts.	Assessed.	
BALTIMORE DISTRICT.							
Central.....	605	\$165 00	\$206 25	\$.34	\$148 00	\$148 00	\$.24
St. John's-Emmanuel.....	333	143 00	178 75	.54	128 00	128 00	.38
Caernarvon.....	110	26 00	16 00	.15	23 00	15 00	.14
St. Paul's.....	507	190 00	194 00	.38	170 00	180 00	.33
Wilkins Avenue.....	188	52 00	37 00	.20	46 00	30 00	.16
Frederick Avenue.....	119	40 00	40 00	.34	36 00	36 00	.30
Arlington.....	210	155 00	155 00	.74	139 00	139 00	.66
Reisterstown.....	160	58 00	58 00	.36	52 00	52 00	.32
Sudbrook.....	40	14 00	12 00	.30	13 00	12 00	.30
Freedom.....	234	62 00	62 00	.26	55 00	55 00	.23
Howard.....	364	81 00	114 00	.31	74 00	74 00	.20
Linganore.....	228	72 00	72 00	.32	65 00	65 00	.28
Frederick City.....	179	67 00	67 00	.37	60 00	60 00	.33
Brunswick.....	136	57 00	39 00	.30	51 00	36 00	.26
Poolesville.....	179	67 00	83 75	.47	60 00	60 00	.33
Clarksburg.....	210	61 00	61 00	.30	55 00	55 00	.26
Gaithersburg.....	367	103 00	180 00	.50	92 00	125 00	.34
Rockville.....	179	72 00	72 00	.40	65 00	65 00	.36
Goshen.....	166	56 00	100 00	.60	50 00	88 00	.50
Potomac.....	266	53 00	60 00	.22	48 00	50 00	.19
Colesville.....	135	41 00	41 00	.30	37 00	37 00	.27
Emory.....	97	67 00	67 00	.70	60 00	35 00	.36
Hyattsville.....	131	52 00	52 00	.40	46 00	46 00	.35
Brentwood.....	44	23 00	23 00	.50	20 00	20 00	.45
Beltsville and Laurel.....	120	40 00	20 00	.17	36 00	18 00	.15
Savage.....	154	40 00	50 00	.32	36 00	36 00	.23
Wesley Grove.....	286	103 00	175 00	.60	91 00	94 00	.33
Conf. Missionary Sect'y.....						63 15
	5747	\$1,960 00	\$2,235 75	\$.39	\$1,756 00	\$1,822 15	\$.32
E. BALTIMORE DISTRICT.							
Trinity and Calvary.....	300	\$240 00	\$155 00	\$.50	\$217 00	\$148 00	\$.49
Taylor and.....	78	40 00	40 00	.50	36 00	36 00	.46
Perry Hall.....	68	20 00	20 00	.30	18 00	18 00	.30
St. John's of Hamilton...	89	26 00	26 00	.30	23 00	23 00	.26
North Point.....	77	58 00	58 00	.75	52 00	52 00	.67
Jarrettsville.....	129	59 00	59 00	.46	53 00	55 50	.43
Aberdeen.....	214	81 00	101 25	.47	73 00	73 00	.34
Kent.....	207	72 00	72 00	.35	64 00	64 00	.30
Church Hill.....	164	45 00	45 00	.27	40 00	40 00	.24
Ingleside.....	80	54 00	67 50	.84	48 00	50 00	.62
Goldsboro.....	115	43 00	20 00	.17	38 00	34 00	.30
Hillsboro.....	88	47 00	47 00	.53	42 00	47 00	.53
Easton and.....	249	78 00	65 00	.26	71 00	45 00	.18
Caroline.....	60	15 00	8 00	.13	13 00	5 00	.06
Trappe.....	80	49 00	49 00	.60	44 00	44 00	.56
Royal Oak and Tilghman's.	202	70 00	40 00	.20	63 00	35 00	.17
Severn.....	120	51 00	16 00	.13	45 00	12 00	.10
Chesapeake.....	562	112 00	116 00	.20	100 00	100 00	.18
Calvert.....	487	118 00	59 00	.12	105 00	52 00	.11
Patuxent.....	128	34 00	26 00	.20	30 00	22 00	.17
Forest Grove.....	164	52 00	31 00	.20	47 00	31 00	.20
Prince George.....	315	59 00	59 00	.19	53 00	53 00	.17
Charles and St. Mary's....	250	78 00	78 00	.31	70 00	70 00	.28
Conf. Missionary Sec'y.....						65 00
Caroline, Md., 1907 & '08..			7 00		5 00
	4226	\$1,501 00	\$1,264 75	.30	\$1,345 00	\$1,179 50	\$.26

ASSESSMENTS AND RECEIPTS FOR 1908 AND 1909.

APPOINTMENTS.	No. of Members.	FOREIGN MISSIONS.		For. Paid per Mem	DOMESTIC MISSIONS.		Dom. Paid per Mem.
		Assessed.	Paid.		Cts.	Assessed.	
WASHINGTON DISTRICT.							
Mt. Vernon Place.....	802	\$314 00	\$314 00	\$.39	\$280 00	\$280 00	\$.35
Epworth.....	450	133 00	133 00	.30	119 00	119 00	.26
Marvin.....	165	110 00	110 00	.67	101 00	101 00	.61
St. Paul's.....	231	33 00	33 00	.14	30 00	30 00	.13
Calvary and Clarendon....	242	55 00	60 00	.25	48 00	56 55	.23
Del Ray.....	92	13 00	13 00	.14	12 00	12 00	.13
Alexandria.....	776	249 00	251 00	.32	222 00	223 00	.29
Fredericksburg.....	480	155 00	155 00	.32	139 00	139 00	.29
Falls Church.....	220	121 00	96 00	.44	108 00	89 00	.40
Leesburg.....	371	121 00	121 00	.33	108 00	108 00	.29
Loudoun.....	367	129 00	90 00	.24	115 00	71 00	.20
Middleburg.....	351	87 00	87 00	.25	78 00	78 00	.22
Hillsboro.....	550	100 00	63 00	.11	90 00	44 00	.08
Hamilton.....	467	100 00	101 00	.22	90 00	91 00	.19
Warrenton.....	238	107 00	72 00	.30	97 00	63 00	.26
Marshall.....	473	88 00	88 00	.19	78 00	78 00	.16
Fairfax.....	530	91 00	91 00	.17	81 00	89 00	.17
Sterling.....	437	88 00	53 00	.12	79 00	61 00	.14
Occoquan.....	365	107 00	90 00	.25	96 00	45 00	.12
Fauquier.....	214	53 00	53 00	.25	48 00	48 00	.22
Remington.....	224	46 00	46 00	.20	41 00	41 00	.18
Sudley.....	220	73 00	37 00	.17	65 00	24 00	.11
Manassas.....	190	53 00	53 00	.18	48 00	48 00	.25
Stafford.....	456	43 00	43 00	.10	38 00	38 00	.08
Morrisville.....	405	43 00	32 00	.08	38 00	38 00	.09
Prince William.....	154	26 00	13 00	.08	24 00	13 00	.08
Conf. Missionary Sec'y.....						111 10	
	9470	\$2,538 00	\$2,298 00	\$.24	\$2,273 00	\$2,138 65	\$.23
WINCHESTER DISTRICT.							
Winchester.....	657	\$156 00	\$166 00	\$.24	\$139 00	\$139 00	\$.20
Frederick.....	242	60 00	75 00	.30	57 00	57 00	.24
Stephens City.....	421	102 00	102 00	.24	90 00	74 00	.17
Middletown.....	345	110 00	80 00	.23	103 00	75 00	.20
Strasburg.....	364	75 00	75 00	.20	68 00	68 00	.19
Woodstock.....	355	156 00	181 00	.50	137 00	142 00	.40
Woodstock Mission... ..	297	46 00	9 00	.03	32 00	6 00	.02
Edinburg.....	279	63 00	90 00	.32	57 00	97 00	.35
Front Royal and Riverton..	398	108 00	108 00	.27	100 00	100 00	.25
Warren.....	306	53 00	31 00	.10	46 00	29 00	.09
Linden.....	216	63 00	30 00	.14	53 00	30 00	.14
Page.....	354	48 00	35 00	.10	44 00	30 00	.09
White Post.....	358	75 00	35 00	.10	68 00	25 00	.07
Berryville.....	465	100 00	165 00	.35	90 00	110 00	.24
Brucetown.....	719	118 00	180 00	.25	107 00	122 00	.17
Martinsburg.....	640	158 00	188 00	.30	140 00	140 00	.22
Berkeley.....	344	88 00	88 00	.25	79 00	79 00	.23
Charles Town.....	374	116 00	226 00	.60	105 00	110 00	.30
Jefferson.....	507	100 00	130 00	.26	90 00	105 00	.20
Shepherdstown.....	530	114 00	133 00	.25	105 00	105 00	.20
Shenandoah Junction.....	242	64 00	64 00	.26	57 00	60 00	.25
Shannondale.....			2 50			2 50	
Conf. Missionary Sec'y.....						63 15	
	8413	\$1,973 00	\$2,193 50	\$.26	\$1,767 00	\$1,768 65	\$.21

ASSESSMENTS AND RECEIPTS FOR 1908 AND 1909.

APPOINTMENTS.	No. of Members.	FOREIGN MISSIONS.		For. Paid per Mem	DOMESTIC MISSIONS.		Dom. Paid per Mem.
		Assessed.	Paid.		Cts.	Assessed.	
ROCKINGHAM DISTRICT.							
Staunton	605	\$226 00	\$226 00	\$.37	\$202 00	\$202 00	\$.33
Staunton Mission	104	56 00	56 00	.50	50 00	55 00	.50
Harrisonburg	593	191 00	191 00	.32	171 00	171 00	.30
Bridgewater	519	99 00	99 00	.19	89 00	89 00	.17
Mt. Crawford	321	85 00	85 00	.26	76 00	76 00	.24
Parnassus	277	78 00	78 00	.28	70 00	70 00	.25
Churchville	216	57 00	33 00	.15	51 00	29 00	.13
Augusta	413	99 00	70 00	.17	89 00	60 00	.15
Waynesboro	284	99 00	99 00	.35	89 00	95 00	.33
Basic	215	60 00	60 00	.30	54 00	54 00	.25
New Hope	191	63 00	63 00	.33	57 00	57 00	.30
Port Republic	303	72 00	72 00	.24	64 00	64 00	.20
Rockingham	254	71 00	30 00	.12	63 00	30 00	.12
East Rockingham	250	74 00	55 00	.22	66 00	35 00	.14
Elkton	290	85 00	35 00	.12	76 00	25 00	.09
Shenandoah and Marksville	487	92 00	46 00	.09	83 00	42 00	.09
Luray	269	113 00	100 00	.37	101 00	15 00	.06
New Market	292	77 00	40 00	.14	69 00	38 00	.13
Mt. Jackson	150	56 00	26 00	.17	50 00	24 00	.16
Fairfield	267	85 00	30 00	.11	76 00	20 00	.07
Rockbridge Baths	108	42 00	16 00	.15	38 00	15 00	.14
Goshen	240	46 00	25 00	.10	41 00	25 00	.10
McDowell	387	78 00	73 00	.19	70 00	63 00	.16
Monterey	289	92 00	54 00	.20	82 00	44 00	.15
Crabbottom	168	63 00	25 00	.15	57 00	25 00	.15
Conf. Missionary Secretary						57 50
	7492	\$2,159 00	\$1,687 00	.23	\$1,934 00	\$1,480 50	\$.20
ROANOKE DISTRICT.							
Salem	527	\$137 00	\$137 00	\$.26	\$120 00	\$120 00	.23
Greene Memorial	1219	310 00	310 00	.25	276 00	276 00	.23
Trinity	455	132 00	600 00	1.32	117 00	117 00	.26
St. James	500	144 00	144 00	.30	128 00	118 00	.24
Grace	492	112 00	112 00	.23	104 00	101 00	.20
Belmont and East End	425	95 00	95 00	.22	84 00	84 00	.20
Melrose	184	49 00	49 00	.27	44 00	44 00	.24
Vinton	335	80 00	80 00	.25	73 00	73 00	.21
Roanoke Circuit	264	62 00	40 00	.15	56 00	35 00	.13
Bethany	244	58 00	58 00	.24	52 00	52 00	.21
Buchanan	599	136 00	54 00	.09	124 00	50 00	.08
Fincastle	264	58 00	58 00	.22	52 00	52 00	.20
Glen Wilton	232	73 00	108 00	.46	66 00	100 00	.43
Eagle Rock	125	50 00	50 00	.40	46 00	46 00	.37
Buena Vista	245	73 00	92 00	.37	67 00	67 00	.27
Rockbridge	240	28 00	28 00	.12	25 00	25 00	.10
Lexington	284	98 00	98 00	.35	88 00	88 00	.30
Collierstown	222	39 00	30 00	.14	34 00	20 00	.09
Elliston	300	62 00	45 00	.15	54 00	43 00	.14
Christiansburg	193	68 00	50 00	.26	62 00	45 00	.23
Cambria	206	48 00	48 00	.23	43 00	43 00	.20
Blacksburg	278	80 00	80 00	.27	70 00	70 00	.24
Newport	537	86 00	86 00	.16	77 00	77 00	.14
New Castle	374	64 00	37 00	.10	59 00	35 00	.09
Oriskany	170	40 00	22 00	.13	37 00	20 00	.12
Catawba	398	72 00	22 00	.06	64 00	21 00	.05
Conf. Missionary Secretary						49 50
	9312	\$2,254 00	\$2,533 00	\$.27	\$2,022 00	\$1,871 50	\$.20

ASSESSMENTS AND RECEIPTS FOR 1908 AND 1909.

APPOINTMENTS.	No. of Members.	FOREIGN MISSIONS.		For. Paid per Mem	DOMESTIC MISSIONS.		Dom. Paid per Mem.
		Assessed.	Paid.	Cts.	Assessed.	Paid.	Cts.
LEWISBURG DISTRICT.							
Lewisburg.....	229	\$123 00	\$123 00	\$.50	\$112 00	\$112 00	\$.50
Frankford.....	661	122 00	124 00	.19	110 00	111 00	.17
Blue Sulphur.....	286	78 00	39 00	.14	70 00	35 00	.12
Hinton.....	251	132 00	132 00	.52	120 00	60 00	.24
Talcott.....	257	40 00	30 00	.12	36 00	25 00	.10
Greenville.....	547	87 00	60 00	.11	78 00	60 00	.11
Peterstown.....	200	43 00	43 00	.21	39 00	39 00	.20
Alderson.....	218	87 00	87 00	.40	78 00	78 00	.36
Union.....	349	87 00	87 00	.25	78 00	79 00	.23
Highland Park and Sweet Springs }	156	30 00	20 00	.13	27 00	18 00	.11
Ronceverte.....	296	99 00	99 00	.33	87 00	87 00	.30
White Sulphur.....	216	64 00	64 00	.30	57 00	57 00	.26
Alvon.....	195	47 00	30 00	.16	42 00	30 00	.15
Callaghan.....	386	55 00	33 00	.08	49 00	35 00	.09
Covington.....	378	141 00	141 00	.37	125 00	125 00	.33
Pott's Creek.....	90	13 00	12 00
Clifton Forge.....	454	141 00	141 00	.31	125 00	125 00	.27
Selma.....	24
Burnsville and Millboro...	279	44 00	35 00	.13	40 00	27 00	.10
Hot Springs.....	177	44 00	44 00	.25	40 00	45 00	.25
Huntersville.....	170	38 00	38 00	.22	34 00	34 00	.20
Back Creek.....	106	22 00	22 00	.20	20 00	20 00	.19
Marlinton.....	170	82 00	84 00	.50	72 00	73 00	.43
Levelton.....	503	108 00	109 00	.21	97 00	98 00	.19
Green Bank.....	371	76 00	46 00	.13	68 00	42 00	.11
Durbin.....	137	58 00	33 00	.24	52 00	29 00	.20
Conf. Missionary Secretary.....	64 90
	7106	\$1,861 00	\$1,664 00	\$.23	\$1,668 00	\$1,508 90	\$.21
MOOREFIELD DISTRICT.							
Moorefield.....	359	\$ 90 00	\$ 36 00	\$.10	\$ 81 00	\$ 33 00	\$.09
Petersburg.....	193	49 00	25 00	.13	46 00	24 00	.12
Franklin.....	393	90 00	90 00	.23	81 00	81 00	.20
North Fork.....	118	24 00	21 00
Keyser.....	317	127 00	106 00	.33	104 00	94 00	.13
Cumberland.....	336	66 00	121 00	.36	60 00	60 00	.18
South Cumberland.....	323	96 00	56 00	.17	86 00	40 00	.12
Elk Garden.....	288	62 00	25 00	.09	58 00	20 00	.07
Piedmont.....	394	129 00	130 00	.33	105 00	107 00	.27
Frostburg.....	280	72 00	30 00	.11	64 00	20 00	.07
Flintstone.....	191	72 00	30 00	.16	64 00	5 00	.03
Romney.....	524	90 00	91 00	.17	81 00	81 00	.15
Burlington.....	235	54 00	54 00	.23	49 00	49 00	.20
Springfield.....	482	86 00	57 00	.12	78 00	52 00	.11
Slanesville.....	335	64 00	56 00	.17	56 00	42 00	.12
Hardy.....	482	62 00	38 00	.08	55 00	24 00	.05
Morgan.....	411	61 00	30 00	.07	55 00	35 00	.08
Gainsboro.....	282	66 00	26 00	.09	55 00	26 00	.09
Wardensville.....	290	67 00	10 00	.03	54 00	11 00	.04
Capon Bridge.....	347	72 00	35 00	.10	65 00	30 00	.09
Listonburg.....	319	72 00	30 00	.09	65 00	25 00	.08
Assessm't not apportioned.....	6 00	30 00
Conf. Missionary Secretary.....	56 55
	6899	\$1,577 00	\$1,076 00	\$.16	\$1,413 00	\$915 55	.13

APPOINTMENTS OVERPAYING FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC ASSESSMENTS IN THE ORDER OF
PERCENTAGE—THE HIGHEST BEING 454 PER CENT.; THE LOWEST 101 PER CENT.

FOREIGN MISSIONS.		DOMESTIC MISSIONS.	
APPOINTMENT.	DISTRICT.	APPOINTMENT.	DISTRICT.
1. Trinity	Roanoke.	1. Goshen	Baltimore.
2. Charlestown	Winchester.	2. Edinburg	Winchester.
3. Central-Cumberland..	Moorefield.	3. Glen Wilton	Roanoke.
4. Goshen	Baltimore.	4. Gaithersburg.....	Baltimore.
5. Gaithersburg.....	Baltimore.	5. Berryville	Winchester.
6. Wesley Grove.	Baltimore.	6. Jarrettsville	E. Baltimore.
7. Berryville	Winchester.	7. Calvary & Clarendon..	Washington.
8. Brucetown.....	Winchester.	8. Jefferson.....	Winchester.
9. Glen Wilton.....	Roanoke.	9. Brucetown.....	Winchester.
10. Edinburg	Winchester.	10. { Hillsboro.....	E. Baltimore.
11. Howard	Baltimore.	10. { Hot Springs	Lewisburg.
12. Jefferson	Winchester.	11. Fairfax	Washington.
12. { Central.....	Baltimore.	12. Waynesboro	Rockingham.
12. { St. John's-Emmanuel..	Baltimore.	13. St. Paul's.....	Baltimore.
12. { Poolesville.....	Baltimore.	13. { Charles Town.....	Winchester.
12. { Savage.....	Baltimore.	14. { Shenandoah Junction..	Winchester.
13. { Aberdeen.....	E. Baltimore.	14. { Potomac..	Baltimore.
13. { Ingleside	E. Baltimore.	15. { Ingleside.....	E. Baltimore.
13. { Frederick.....	Winchester.	15. { Woodstock.....	Winchester.
13. { Buena Vista.	Roanoke.	16. Wesley Grove.....	Baltimore.
14. Martinsburg	Winchester.	17. Piedmont.....	Moorefield.
15. Shepherdstown	Winchester.	17. { Hamilton.....	Washington.
16. Woodstock.....	Winchester.	17. { Frankford	Lewisburg.
17. Potomac.....	Baltimore.	18. { Marlinton.....	Lewisburg.
18. Calvary & Clarendon..	Washington.	18. { Levelton.....	Lewisburg.
19. Winchester	Winchester.	18. { Union	Lewisburg.
20. Chesapeake.	E. Baltimore.	18. { Central.....	Baltimore.
20. { St. Paul.....	Baltimore.	18. { St. John's-Emmanuel..	Baltimore.
21. { Frankford	Lewisburg.	18. { Poolesville	Baltimore.
21. { Marlinton.....	Lewisburg.	18. { Savage	Baltimore.
21. { Alexandria.....	Washington.	18. { Howard	Baltimore.
21. { Hamilton.....	Washington.	18. { Aberdeen	E. Baltimore.
22. { Levelton.....	Lewisburg.	18. { Chesapeake.....	E. Baltimore.
22. { Piedmont.....	Moorefield.	18. { Alexandria	Washington.
22. { Romney	Moorefield.	18. { Winchester	Winchester.
22. { Jarrettsville	E. Baltimore.	18. { Frederick.....	Winchester.
22. { Hillsboro	E. Baltimore.	18. { Martinsburg	Winchester.
22. { Fairfax	Washington.	18. { Shepherdstown.....	Winchester.
22. { Shenandoah Junction..	Winchester.	18. { Trinity	Roanoke.
22. { Waynesboro	Rockingham.	18. { Buena Vista.....	Roanoke.
22. { Union	Lewisburg.	18. { Central-Cumberland..	Moorefield.
22. { Hot Springs.....	Lewisburg.	18. { Romney	Moorefield.

PAID FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC ASSESSMENTS IN FULL.

Baltimore District—Frederick Avenue, Arlington, Reisterstown, Freedom, Linganore, Frederick City, Clarksburg, Rockville, Colesville, Brentwood, Hyattsville.

East Baltimore District—Taylors, Perry Hall, St. John's of Hamilton, North Point, Kent, Church Hill, Trappe, Prince George, Charles and St. Mary's.

Washington District—Mt. Vernon Place, Epworth, Marvin, St. Paul's, Del Ray, Fredericksburg, Leesburg, Middleburg, Marshall, Fauquier, Remington, Manassas, Stafford.

Winchester District—Strasburg, Front Royal and Riverton, Berkeley.

Rockingham District—Staunton, Staunton Mission, Harrisonburg, Bridgewater, Mt. Crawford, Parnassus, Basic, New Hope, Port Republic.

Roanoke District—Salem, Greene Memorial, Belmont, Melrose, Vinton, Bethany, Fincastle, Eagle Rock, Rockbridge, Lexington, Cambria, Blacksburg, Newport.

Lewisburg District—Lewisburg, Peterstown, Alderson, Ronceverte, White Sulphur, Covington, Clifton Forge, Huntersville, Back Creek.

Moorefield District—Franklin, Burlington.

Paid One Assessment in Full.

Baltimore District—Emory (foreign).

Washington District—Morrisville (domestic).

Winchester District—Stephens City (foreign).

Roanoke District—St. James (foreign), Grace (foreign).

Lewisburg District—Hinton (foreign).

RECEIVED BY CONFERENCE TREASURER

From Rev. W. H. Best, Conference Missionary Secretary.

Baltimore District—

District Meeting W. H. M. Society.....	\$ 1 75	
District Conference.....	61 40	
		\$ 63 15

East Baltimore District—

*Hillsboro.....	5 00	
*Jarrettsville (Fairview).....	2 50	
District Conference.....	65 00	
		72 50

Washington District—

District Meeting W. F. M. Society.....	1 60	
*Clarendon.....	1 55	
*Fairfax.....	8 00	
District Conference.....	109 50	
		120 56

Winchester District—		
*Shenandoah Junction.....	3 00	
District Conference..	63 15	
	<hr/>	66 15
Rockingham District—		
*Waynesboro ...	6 00	
District Conference.....	57 50	
	<hr/>	63 50
Roanoke District—		
District Conference.....		49 50
Lewisburg District—		
*Hot Springs..	5 00	
District Conference....	64 90	
	<hr/>	69 90
Moorefield District—		
District Conference.....		56 55
		<hr/>
		\$561 90

The total amount has been credited to Domestic Mission assessment as follows:

*The amount in each of these cases has been credited to the particular appointment in the respective district, and the balance from each district as received from the Conference Missionary Secretary.

RECEIVED BY CONFERENCE TREASURER.

Foreign Specials.

Baltimore District—		
Wesley Grove—J. H. Kelbaugh (for China).....	\$ 10 00	
Winchester District—		
Charles Town W. Va. (for Kindergarten in Japan)...	25 00	
Rockingham District—		
Augusta Va., J. H. Clark (Education boy in China)...	25 00	
Roanoke District—		
Greene Memorial (for Susan B. Wilson School in China).....	25 00	
Greene Memorial (for Greene Memorial Church in Japan).....	500 00	
	<hr/>	\$585 00

In payment of subscriptions to the Anniversary Collection, at Roanoke, Va., March, 1908, for work in Japan under Rev. B. W. Waters:

Baltimore District—Rockville, Md....	\$25 00	
J. H. Kuhlmann.....	25 00	
Washington District—W. H. Ballengee.....	10 00	
Alexandria, Va.....	25 00	
Winchester District—Brucetown, Va.....	10 00	
	<hr/>	95 00
Total foreign specials received.....		<hr/>
		\$680 00

Domestic Specials.

Baltimore District—

*St John's, Emmanuel (for "Caernarvon")..... \$15 00

East Baltimore District—

†Aberdeen, Md. (for distribution by Presiding Elder). 20 00

Total domestic specials received..... \$ 35 00

Note—*The amount for Caernarvon has been sent to Rev. J. A. Anderson.

†The amount from Aberdeen has been credited to "charges" of the East Baltimore District in table of assessments, by direction of the Presiding Elder, \$10.00 on Foreign and \$10.00 on Domestic Missions.

DISBURSEMENTS FOR DOMESTIC MISSIONS.

Baltimore District.

Paid.

Caernarvon	G. H. Fielding	\$ 323 36
Wilkins Avenue	George Hasel.....	150 50
Reisterstown.....	J. H. Kuhlmann.....	30 00
Linganore	S. A. Parker.....	150 50
Clarksburg.....	H. L. Bivens.....	129 00
Colesville.	W. C. Smith.....	172 00
Hyattsville.....	W. P. Johnston.....	86 00
Brentwood.....	J. H. Wilhite.	129 00
Beltsville and Laurel.....	A. B. Sites.....	258 00

\$1,428 36

East Baltimore District.

Perry Hall.....	A. E. Owens.....	\$ 86 00
St. John's of Hamilton.....	F. M. Richardson.....	172 00
Church Hill.....	C. L. DeLong.....	129 00
Ingleside.....	J. D. Pope.....	107 50
Goldsboro	F. Jenkins.....	172 00
Hillsboro	H. Sydenstricker.....	86 00
Caroline	F. T. Fairbank.....	32 25
Caroline	J. Rosser.....	32 25
Trappe.....	J. W. Grubb.....	86 00
Severn.....	I. Ryder.....	64 50
Severn.....	L. W. Haslup.....	64 50
Patuxent.....	C. I. Flory.....	172 00
Forest Grove.....	J. W. Mitchell.....	86 00
Charles and St. Mary's.....	D. M. Brown... ..	86 00

\$1,376 00

Washington District.

St. Paul.....	W. E. Henry.....	\$ 458 00
Calvary and.....	W. H. Ballengae.....	172 00
Clarendon.....	J. J. Rives.....	129 00
Del Ray	O. C. Beall.....	258 00
Stafford	C. M. Sarver.....	107 50
Morrisville.....	M. P. Weikel.....	129 00
Prince William.....	A. Van Devander.....	175 00

\$1,428 50

Winchester District.

Frederick.....	C. L. Potter.....	\$193 50
Woodstock Mission.....	J. H. Willey.....	129 00
Edinburg.....	T. G. Nevitt.....	172 00
Warren.....	N. N. Hall.....	215 00
Linden.....	C. W. Stump.....	86 00
Page.....	H. M. Strickler.....	172 00
White Post.....	T. M. Swann.....	25 00
		<hr/>
		\$992 50

Rockingham District.

Staunton Mission.....	B. D. Harrison.....	\$172 00
Parnassus.....	J. M. Kline.....	64 50
Churchville.....	H. A. Wilson.....	172 00
Basic.....	H. P. Baker.....	43 00
New Hope.....	W. M. Compton.....	86 00
Port Republic.....	Geo. R. Mays.....	86 00
Shenandoah and Marksville.....	R. M. Wheeler.....	86 00
Mt. Jackson.....	F. E. Hammond.....	301 10
Rockbridge Baths.....	J. W. Reeves.....	129 00
Goshen.....	A. B. Sapp.....	107 50
Crabbottom.....	Thos. Cooper.....	86 00
		<hr/>
		\$1,333 10

Roanoke District.

Melrose.....	R. C. Meeks.....	\$150 00
Roanoke Circuit.....	C. E. Simmons.....	172 00
Rockbridge.....	T. A. Jordan.....	150 00
Collierstown.....	G. W. Staples.....	129 00
Cambria.....	G. D. Kidner.....	150 00
Blacksburg.....	W. H. H. Joyce.....	172 00
Oriskany.....	J. K. Gilbert.....	43 00
Catawba.....	J. H. Watkins.....	43 00
		<hr/>
		\$1,009 00

Lewisburg District.

Talcott.....	B. L. Parrott.....	\$125 00
Peterstown.....	J. B. Hedrick.....	107 50
Highland P'k & Sweet Springs.....	O. D. Lambert.....	25 00
White Sulphur.....	J. H. Schooley.....	110 00
Alvon.....	W. C. Sponaugle.....	129 00
Potts Creek.....	A. L. Goodall.....	50 00
Selma.....	L. L. Lowance.....	300 00
Hot Springs.....	S. R. Snead.....	172 00
Back Creek.....	H. C. Bitner.....	50 00
East Covington.....	T. C. Jones.....	100 00
East Covington.....	G. E. Kercheval.....	80 00
		<hr/>
		\$1,248 50

Moorefield District.

Petersburg.....	W. Allen.....	\$107 50
Central-Cumberland.....	L. S. Rudasill.....	344 00
Frostburg ...	S. H. Greene.....	107 50
Flintstone	J. L. Thomas.....	86 00
Burlington.....	W. E. Woolf.....	50 00
Springfield for J. P.....	Thos. Morgan.....	172 00
Morgan.....	Thos. Burch.....	172 00
Gainsboro.....	C. W. Mark.....	172 00
Wardensville.....	L. R. Markwood...	172 00
Capon Bridge.....	F. F. Neel.....	107 50
Listonburg.....	W. D. Eye.....	107 50
		<hr/>
		\$1,598 00

Amount disbursed to mission appointments.....	\$10,413 96
Special Contribution to Caernarvon, Md... ..	15 00
Expenses—Salary Conf. Missionary Secretary.....	\$1,500 00
Expenses Conf. Missionary Secretary.....	358 58
Other Expenses of Board.....	125 89
	<hr/>
	1,984 47

Total disbursements for Domestic Missions \$12,413 43

RECAPITULATION AND BALANCE.

RECEIPTS.		DISBURSEMENTS.	
Balance March 31, 1908.	\$ 242 90	Space in Conference Minutes, 1907 and 1908....	\$ 20 00
<i>Foreign Assessments.</i>		Salary Conference Missionary Secretary.....	1,500 00
Baltimore District.....	\$2,235 75	Expenses Conference Secretary.....	358 58
East Baltimore District..	1,264 75	Other expenses of the Board.....	105 89
Washington District.....	2,298 00	<i>Domestic Missions.</i>	
Winchester District.....	2,193 50	Baltimore District.....	\$1,428 36
Rockingham District.....	1,687 00	East Baltimore District..	1,376 00
Roanoke District.....	2,533 00	Washington District. ...	1,428 50
Lewisburg District.....	1,664 00	Winchester District.. ...	992 50
Moorefield District.....	1,076 00	Rockingham District....	1,333 10
<i>Specials.</i>		Roanoke District.	1,009 00
Rockville, Md., B. W. Waters, work in Japan.	\$ 25 00	Lewisburg District.....	1,248 50
J. H. Kuhlmann, for B. W. Waters, work in Japan.	25 00	Moorefield District.....	1,598 00
W. H. Ballengee, for B. W. Waters, work in Japan.	10 00	<i>Special.</i>	
Alexandria, Va., for B. W. Waters, work in Japan.	25 00	To Caernarvon	15 00
Brucetown, Va., for B. W. Waters, work in Japan.	10 00	5% Domestic Collections to Reserve Fund	634 27
Wesley Grove, J. H. Kelbaugh for China.....	10 00	Interest on account of Reserve Fund.....	77 40
Charlestown, W. Va., for Kindergaten, Japan....	25 00	<i>Foreign Missions.</i>	
Augusta, Va., J. H. Clark, education boy in China.	25 00	To Parent Board (Assessments).....	14,952 00
Roanoke, Va., Greene Memorial for S. B. Wilson School, China.....	25 00	To Parent Board (Specials).....	680 00
Roanoke, Va., Greene Memorial for Greene Memorial Church, Japan..	500 00		
<i>Domestic Assessments.</i>			
Baltimore District.....	\$1,822 15		
East Baltimore District..	1,179 50		
Washington District.....	2,138 65		
Winchester District.....	1,768 65		
Rockingham District....	1,480 50		
Roanoke District.....	1,871 50		
Lewisburg District.....	1,508 90		
Moorefield District.....	915 55		
Conf. Missionary Secretary to close account..	3 32		
Anniversary Collection March 25, 1909.....	40 00		
Interest on account.....	77 40		
Loan from Reserve Fund to balance account....	61 08		
Special—St. Johns—Emmanuel for Caernarvon, Maryland	15 00		
	<u>\$28,757 10</u>		<u>\$28,757 10</u>

REPORTED AS SENT DIRECT.

FOREIGN.

Baltimore District.

Rockville, Md.—For S. B. Wilson School—China.....	\$40 00	
For Korean Scholarship—Japan	60 00	
		<hr/> \$100 00

Washington District.

Hamilton, Va.—For Japanese student.....	\$ 7 00	
For Italian sufferers.....	12 00	
		<hr/> 19 00

Winchester District.

Martinsburg, W. Va.—Scholarship in Korea.....	\$50 00	
		<hr/> 50 00

Rockingham District.

Staunton, Va.—Epworth League contribution to Korean Missionary, supported by Rockingham District....	\$25 00	
Augusta—For China and Korea.....	40 00	
Basic—For Chaldean Church	4 00	
Elkton—For Korean Missionary.....	5 00	
Staunton Mission Sunday School raises the Missionary assessment.....		
		<hr/> 74 00

Roanoke District.

Greene Memorial—Contributes the largest amount (\$835), including foreign assessment, reported from the charges of the Conference.....		
Trinity—Supports a Missionary by payment of \$600, and in this amount foreign assessment is included.....		
		<hr/>

Lewisburg District.

Lewisburg—For J. A. G. Shipley fund.....	\$111 00	
White Sulphur—For J. A. G. Shipley fund.....	25 00	
Alvon—For J. A. G. Shipley fund.....	30 00	
Hot Springs—For J. A. G. Shipley fund.....	15 00	
Levelton Sunday School—For J. A. G. Shipley fund.....	65 00	
Highland Park and Sweet Springs—For J. A. G. Shipley fund.....	25 00	
Durbin—For J. A. G. Shipley fund.....	5 00	
Covington—For Cuba Mission..	5 00	
Clifton Forge—Italian Relief Fund.....	10 00	
Lewisburg Sunday School raises all Missionary funds...		291 00
		<hr/>
Total.....		\$534 00

DOMESTIC MISSIONS.

Baltimore District.

Central—For “Caernarvon,” Baltimore, Md.....	\$15 00	
		\$15 00

Washington District.

Fauquier, Va.—For flood sufferers.....	\$55 00	
Hamilton, Va.—For Children’s Home Society, Richmond, Va.	34 00	
		89 00

Rockingham District.

Staunton—For Staunton Mission, Va.....	\$50 00	
		50 00
Total....		\$154 00

ASSESSMENTS FOR 1909 AND 1910.

DISTRICTS.	MISSIONS.	
	Foreign.	Domestic.
Baltimore.....	\$ 2,464 00	\$ 2,335 00
Washington..	2,849 00	2,701 00
Winchester ..	2,227 00	2,111 00
Rockingham..	2,091 00	1,982 00
Roanoke.....	2,399 00	2,274 00
Lewisburg....	1,948 00	1,847 00
Moorefield .	1,845 00	1,750 00
	\$15,823 00	\$15,000 00

MISSION APPOINTMENTS—CLAIMANTS UPON THE DOMESTIC
MISSION FUNDS FOR CONFERENCE YEAR 1909 AND 1910.

Baltimore District—

Caernarvon.
Wilkins Avenue.
Reisterstown.
Sudbrook.
Linganore.
Laurel and Savage.
Perry Hall.
St. Johns of Hamilton.
Severn.
Goldsboro.
Trappe.
Ingleside.
Caroline.
Church Hill.
Patuxent.
Hillsboro.

Washington District—

Mt. Vernon Place Mission.
St. Paul.
Calvary and Clarendon.
Del Ray.
Stafford.
Morrisville.
Prince William.
Fauquier.
Clarksburg.
Colesville.
Beltsville.
Forest Grove.

Winchester District—

Frederick.
Warren.
Linden.

Rockingham District—

Staunton Mission.
Churchville.
New Hope.

Shenandoah and Marksville.
Port Republic.
Goshen.
Mt. Jackson.
Rockbridge Baths.
Crabbottom.
Jollette's and Mt. Pleasant.
Woodstock Mission.
Edinburg.
Page.

Roanoke District—

Blacksburg.
Catawba.
Cambria.
Collierstown.
Rockbridge.
West End.

Lewisburg District—

White Sulphur—
Alvon.
Peterstown.
Hot Springs.
Selma.
East Covington.
Williamsburg.
Potts Creek.

Moorefield District—

Central—Cumberland, Md.
Frostburg.
Listonburg.
Burlington.
Petersburg.
Wardensville.
Morgan.
Gainsboro.
Capon Bridge.
Flintstone.
Springfield—for Junior Preacher

Note—By resolution of the Conference Board of Missions, the appropriations for claimants will not be determined until the collections for 1909 and 1910 are received and the aggregate ascertained.

Respectfully submitted,

J. E. ALEXANDER, *Treasurer.*

REPORT NO. 1 OF THE BOARD OF MISSIONS.

The Board of Missions hereby nominates Rev. W. H. Ballengee for appointment as missionary at Calvary and Clarendon, in the Washington District, and Rev. A. B. Sites for appointment as missionary at Laurel and Savage, in the Baltimore District.

WM. H. TALBOTT, *Secretary.*

C. D. HARRIS, *President.*

REPORT NO. 2 OF THE BOARD OF MISSIONS.

To the Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South—

The Board of Missions begs leave to report as follows:

We note with pleasure the increased interest in Missions. This interest has been manifested in the increase of the collections and in the sympathy which the pastors meet in their endeavors to bring up their missionary collections in full.

It has been gratifying to us to learn of the progress that has been made along all lines in the foreign fields.

Last year twenty-two (22) annual conferences paid their mission assessments in full, a gain of 3 over last year; 98 districts and 3,757 charges; 18 districts and 1,605 charges, received aid from the domestic fund.

We recognize that a great forward step has been taken in the mission work in the support of missionaries and native helpers by individuals and churches, also in assisting to build churches in the foreign fields.

The Board commends the faithful and efficient work done by our Conference Missionary Secretary Brother Wm. H. Best, to whose untiring efforts the increase of the Missionary spirit and increase in collections are largely due.

We endorse the programme of the General Board of Missions as contained in their report to the Board of Missions to the Baltimore Annual Conference.

We are glad to note the progress of our Woman's Missionary societies and pledge them our earnest support and prayers.

We rejoice to see our Layman's Missionary movement gaining foothold in our Conference, and in this report we pledge them our hearty support.

RECOMMENDATIONS.

1st. We recommend the holding of an anniversary as per Discipline, and that Friday night of the next Annual Conference be set apart for that purpose.

2nd. That our pastors study the work that has been done and is being done, and that they preach on the subject of Missions at least once a year at each appointment, as required by the Discipline.

3rd. That we commend to our preachers the Missionary literature of our church, especially our missionary periodical, "Go Forward," than which there is none better, and urge them to do all in their power to increase their circulation. We also urge the organization of Mission study classes wherever possible.

THE WESLEY CORPORATION.

4th. Dr. Gilby C. Kelly and committee appeared before the Board and outlined the enterprise of the Virginia Conference known as the Wesley Corporation for the training of Christian workers and the establishing of a hospital.

We congratulate our brethren upon this forward movement, in the way of helpful ministry, and give the plan our hearty indorsement.

5th. We heartily commend those appointments, 19 in number, including four missionary appointments, which have not only met both mission assessments in full, but have overpaid by 25 per cent. the foreign mission assessment.

6th. While there are some exceptions to the rule, the comparative statistics establish the rule that where all the Sunday-schools of a charge are organized as missionary societies the mission assessments are paid in full or overpaid, and where they are not so organized the assessments are not paid in full.

We therefore urge the immediate organization of all Sunday-schools as missionary societies.

7th. That the preachers do all in their power to pay out their mission assessments in full as the least that should be done, and so put our Conference in the list of those that pay out in full.

8th. That we nominate Rev. W. H. Best as our Conference Missionary Secretary in connection with his regular pastoral duty.

9th. It is requested that the pastors see that all missionary money for any person or persons, raised in their respective charges, by any or all of the organizations of their charges, be sent through the Board of Missions.

10th. We further call attention to the resolution passed at the last Conference under which the Board undertakes to investigate the work of the mission appointments. The Board has decided it wise this year not to apply the rule too strictly, but expects to do so next year.

WM. H. TALBOTT, *Secretary.*

CARLTON D. HARRIS, *President.*

REPORT OF CORRESPONDING SECRETARY OF THE WOMAN'S
FOREIGN MISSIONARY SOCIETY OF THE BALTIMORE
CONFERENCE, FOR THE YEAR ENDING
MARCH, 1909.

Dear Fathers and Brethren—

I present the report of the Treasurer of the Woman's Foreign Missionary Society of our Conference. In comparison with former years, there is an increase; indeed, each year shows an addition of two or three hundred dollars. In this quarter we make the closing payment of the loan from the Woman's Board, by which we built our Susan Bond Wilson School in Sung-kiang, China. There seems to be a fresh interest in special work. In the last quarter notice has been given me that the support of two new Bible women and one scholarship will be assumed, probably in China. These will make our list of specials now, one missionary's salary, four Bible women, nine scholarships. I feel it important to keep up the effort for a large collection; and at our annual meeting, to be held next fall at Epworth, Washington, I shall ask the Society to undertake the support of one or two more missionaries. It would be my preference to begin on a large collection for the buildings so greatly needed in our foreign fields; but the sentiment of the members seems to be more in favor of the missionaries.

With this increase in collections, and good prospects for the coming year, I must acknowledge that we do not grow in numbers. Nor do we keep a hold on the children. The Golden Links appear to increase their number. Our lack of money for travel through the Conference is the main source of hindrance. We have also had no large gifts toward our general work or the specials. Our record, under these circumstances, is a noble one; and the women of the Baltimore Conference Society are not surpassed in devotion and zeal. We ask your active help as you carry on your own work; and we trust that the Laymen's Movement may also touch the laywomen, those in particular who are at present more or less indifferent to such wide interests as our work for heathen women.

Respectfully,

NINA WILSON, *Corresponding Secretary.*

REPORT OF TREASURER OF WOMAN'S FOREIGN MISSIONARY
SOCIETY OF THE BALTIMORE CONFERENCE,
MARCH, 1908, TO MARCH, 1909.

For General Fund.....	\$2,650.96
For Support of Missionary.....	832.21
For Susan Bond Wilson School.....	2,098.48
For Scholarships.....	214.20
For Front Royal Day School.....	90.22
For Furniture in Susan Bond Wilson School.....	24.90
For Debt of Board.....	85.74
For Buds and Blossoms.....	34.50
For Melissa Baker Scholarship in Training School.....	14.80
For Support of Bible Women.....	73.75
For Korea (special).....	47.25
For Cuba (special).....	2.50
For Library.....	13.21
For Contingent Fund.....	264.10
	<hr/>
	\$6,446.82

VIRGINIA CLOUD MASSIE, *Treasurer.*

REPORT OF THE WOMAN'S HOME MISSION SOCIETY OF THE
BALTIMORE CONFERENCE FOR THE YEAR 1908-9.

Number of adult auxiliaries.....	73
Number of young people's societies.....	3
Number of adult members.....	1,369
Number of members of young people's societies.....	68
	<hr/>
Total members.....	1,437
Number of Brigade members.....	569
Number of names on Baby Roll.....	1,022
Number of subscribers to "Our Homes".....	266
Number pledged to tithing.....	193
Number of boxes of supplies reported to Supt. of Supplies.....	11
Number of deaconess' scholarships supported.....	1
Number of papers and leaflets distributed.....	2,966
Number of auxiliaries observing "Week of Prayer".....	20
Number of visits made to sick and strangers.....	6,575
Number of visits made to corrective and benevolent institutions..	65
Number of cottage prayer-meetings or Bible readings held.....	182
Number of garments in good order distributed.....	1,472
Number of Woman's Board of City Missions.....	1
Amount of money sent to Conf. Treasurer for connectional work.	\$2,047.09

LOCAL WORK.

Value of supplies sent off and reported to Supt.....	\$523.94
Value of supplies given locally.....	514.48
Amount expended for assistance of needy.....	732.41
Amount expended on parsonages.....	3,402.89
Amount expended for furnishing churches, etc.....	1,711.31
Amount expended for City Mission work.....	87.57

Total expended and reported for Local Work.....	\$6,972.60
Total given to connectional work.....	2,047.09
Donations for building and repairing parsonages.....	600.00

Grand total.....\$9,619.69

PARSONAGES AIDED.

Basic City.....	\$100.00
Colesville.....	100.00
Belmont.....	100.00
Crabbottom.....	100.00
Warrenton.....	100.00
Marlinton.....	100.00
	<hr/>
	\$600.00

Respectfully submitted,

MRS. J. B. PERRIE, *Conf. Cor. Sec.*

REPORT OF THE BALTIMORE CITY MISSION WORK.

Number of salaried workers employed by the Board.....	2
Number of volunteer workers.....	4
Number of Kindergartens supported.....	2
Number of children enrolled in Kindergartens.....	107
Number of Mothers' Clubs.....	2
Number of members enrolled in clubs.....	76
Number of clubs for boys.....	1
Number of members enrolled.....	35
Number of clubs for men.....	1
Number of men enrolled.....	15

Respectfully submitted,

MRS. CARRIE H. PAINTER, *President.*

REPORT OF THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE OF THE LAYMAN'S MISSIONARY MOVEMENT.

We recognize the Layman's Missionary Movement, not as an independent organization, but as a movement among the laymen of our church for the purpose of disseminating missionary information, awakening missionary interests and creating missionary zeal. We welcome it, therefore, not as an additional burden to weigh us down, but as wings to accelerate our flight to the ends of the earth with the gospel of the Son of God.

The plan of the movement is peculiarly adapted to our church organization.

The officers are:

A president, several vice-presidents, secretary, treasurer and other members of the executive committee, elected by the General Conference of the Layman's Missionary Movement, which convenes every two years.

The leaders are:

1st. A General Secretary, chosen by the Executive Committee.

2nd. A Conference Leader, chosen by the lay members of the Annual Conference.

3rd. A District Leader, chosen by the lay members of the District Conference.

4th. A Church Leader for each congregation, chosen by the Quarterly Conference.

The committees are:

1st. The Executive Committee chosen by the General Conference of the Layman's Missionary Movement.

2nd. The Central Committee, composed of the General Secretary and all the Conference Leaders.

3rd. A Conference Committee, composed of the Conference Leader and all the District Leaders in his Conference.

4th. A District Committee, composed of the District Leader and all the Church Leaders in his District.

5th. A Congregational Committee, composed of the Church Leader and five or more members chosen by the Church Conference.

We are glad to report as follows:

1st. A Conference Leader, Mr. F. B. Thomas, was chosen at our last Annual Conference and re-elected at this session. District Leaders were chosen by each of the District Conferences. Church Leaders have been elected for most of the Congregations of the Conference by the Quarterly Conferences. Church Leaders, however, have reported the election of but comparatively few Congregational Committees by the Church Conference.

2nd. One District—Winchester—had a District meeting, in which most of the charges of the District were represented.

3rd. Literature from Nashville outlining the work has been mailed to each of our Church Leaders, and they have been further informed of the objects of the Movement by correspondence from the Conference and District Leaders.

The "Call of God to Men" has been put into the hands of quite a number of our preachers and laymen.

4th. There has been a gratifying interest manifested by our laymen throughout the Conference, as is evidenced by the large number of inquiries received by the Conference and District Leaders as to the workings of the Movement, as well as by the increased offerings to missions shown by the reports at this Conference. We firmly believe our laymen are catching the spirit of the Great Chattanooga Conference. This was evidenced on last Saturday afternoon, when the lay members of this Conference by unanimous vote ratified the action of the Chattanooga Conference in its undertaking to evangelize the forty millions of heathen apportioned to our church during the present generation; pledging themselves to use their utmost endeavor to help secure the increase of funds necessary for the undertaking as set forth in the declaration of that Conference—*i. e.*, one million dollars this year, one million five hundred thousand dollars next year, three million dollars the following year, and a like amount each succeeding year.

5th. Several specials in Mexico and Japan were brought to the attention of the Conference Layman's Meeting, held on last Saturday, and interest has already been awakened in them, which, we believe, will result in having some of them provided for as specials by local congregations, in addition to their regular assessments.

We recommend:

1st. That the Quarterly Conferences, that have not already done so, elect, at their next meeting, a Church Leader for every congregation within the bounds of their charges.

2nd. That the preachers, as soon as they can conveniently do so, call a Church Conference at each appointment on their work for the purpose of electing the Congregational Committees to assist the Church Leaders.

3rd. That one of the lay delegates to the District Conference from each pastoral charge be elected from among the Church Leaders of the Layman's Missionary Movement.

4th. We recommend "The Call of God to Men" and our missionary organ "Go Forward," requesting our preachers to read "The Call" and urging our Church and District Leaders not only to read it, but to make a decided effort to put it in the hands of the other laymen of our Congregations, and also to push the circulation of "Go Forward" until it

shall be subscribed for by every Methodist family within the bounds of our Conference.

5th. We recommend the splendid leaflets gotten out by our Executive Committee at Nashville, and request our preachers to make mention of them from their pulpits and to otherwise encourage the Church Leaders in their circulation.

We mention among them the following: "Declaration of the Layman's Missionary Conference," "How Can I Work in the Layman's Missionary Movement in My Church," "The Leader in the Congregation," "Hints on Operating the Plan," "War Is On" and "The World is Our Parish."

Respectfully submitted,

F. B. THOMAS, *Chairman.*

JOHN A. TAYLOR, *Secretary.*

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

With the exception of a slight loss at the College in Ashland and the Academy in Front Royal, Va., for the current year, the attendance of students has been well sustained. The total number is 1,072; they are served by 109 officers and teachers; the two colleges are endowed in the sum of \$445,767.00, and the property of the system is given a valuation of \$807,304.76. For the high Christian character of these officers and teachers, together with their efficient service in the cause of our Lord we not only retain them in our confidence, but also again heartily commend the entire system to our ministers and laymen for both pupils and gifts.

By direction of the Board, our Secretary has ascertained during the year that there are within our bounds 658 persons of student age and need who may be reasonably regarded as loyally and denominationally due to attend the schools either owned or under the auspices of the Church. Of these 112 were sent to the Woman's College; 70 to the College at Ashland; 209 to the Academy at Front Royal; 227 to the Southern Seminary at Buena Vista; and 40 to the Alleghany Collegiate Institute at Alderson, W. Va. The girls exceed the boys by 60, dividing the number for A. C. I. in half. We submit that here is brought into view a fine opportunity for the exercise of influence to have the children of the Church educated in the schools of the Church. Specially should this influence be exerted to prevent as far as possible the present widespread drift to purely technical schools, to the neglect of that broader training which is characteristic of the truly educated, and also so essential in the end at least to real success in purely technical fields.

We beg to call your attention to certain facts relative to our students for the ministry. The Superintendent of our Correspondence School reports 1,155 undergraduates in our 38 English-speaking Conferences. Recently he inquired for their educational preparation for the ministry; 992 of them replied, and these are briefly the facts: Of the 992 men, 16 report no educational advantages whatever, 206 as having had only a common school education, 412 as having attended some form of preparatory school, 81 as having had as much as one year at college, 66 two years at college, 45 three years at college, and 166 as being college graduates. In theological training, 42 men have had only one year, 20 have had two years, and 33 as much as three years. According to these facts, only about thirty-six per cent. of the young preachers pursuing the Conference Course have had any college education whatever, and less than seventeen per cent. are college graduates; nine and a half per cent. have received some practical training in theology, and less than three and a half per cent. are graduates.

Beginning with 1902, in seven years 58 ministers have been received on trial in this Conference, only 21 have been students at Front Royal, and only 20 have attended the college. This is not to say that some have not had advantages elsewhere. Of the 141 students enrolled at Front Royal for the current year, but 11 are candidates for the ministry; and a humiliating fact about these—humiliating to us, if honoring to them—is that 5 are performing service for the Academy as compensation for their board. Under any other condition it would be impossible for them to obtain even the advantages of the Academy for our ministry. Besides, even these advantages must, we are persuaded, be secured at more or less social, religious and academic disadvantages that may not leave them even when they leave there. Our thought is, How can a Conference of 57,000 members reasonably expect God to call an adequate number of men to the ministry so long as it allows such a condition to exist or continue? Or, where our young men are impressed with the demands now made of the ministry, how may we expect them cheerfully and instantly to heed such a call, when, in the face of their own inadequate means, the Church will make no provision for their training, that, if secured at all, must be under more or less humiliating conditions. This we honestly believe to be a prime factor in the solution of our present problem of ministerial supply. The selection of Paul and Barnabas for the work of missions to the Gentiles was of the Holy Spirit, but the Church at Antioch "sent them away." Evidently the Church has its part in providing an adequate and efficient ministerial force; and, pray, what part could more readily commend itself to our consideration than to add to self-dedication to the ministry of the Gospel that mental training which will insure high and clear thinking? Your Board of Education would, therefore, earnestly beg your prayerful interest in this phase of our ministerial supply, and that you often commend it to the sympathetic and practical consideration of your congregations.

THE SOUTHERN SEMINARY SYSTEM.

The Southern Seminary system includes the Southern Seminary at Buena Vista, Va., the Alleghany Collegiate Institute at Alderson, W. Va., and the Bowling Green Seminary at Bowling Green, Va.

SOUTHERN SEMINARY.

This institution of learning has been growing steadily since its location within our borders and the report shows it has reached the highest point of prosperity in its history. Without the cost of a dollar to the church of actual expenditure, and without entailing financial liability, we have in the Southern Seminary at Buena Vista, Va., a Methodist school offering excellent educational advantages to the daughters of our homes. Its property is valued at \$100,000. Its building is beautifully located, recently remodeled and improved and admirably adapted to its work, and has received nothing but words of commendation from patrons and our visitors. It has an able faculty and an enrollment representing our own territory and reaching other sections and States of our country. Its boarding department is about full, and the personnel of the student body exceptionally fine. The religious work of the institution keeps pace with its general progress, and the effort of the management is to mould the character of the young ladies to the highest ideals of womanhood. The Southern Seminary is the only Southern Methodist Institution exclusively for young ladies in the Baltimore Conference, and is proving itself worthy of the continued support, encouragement and patronage of our people.

We recommend that Rev. H. L. Hout, Rev. B. F. Ball and Rev. G. W. Richardson be appointed as Visiting Committee, and that they be requested to make their report in writing to this Board, and, further, that the expenses incurred be paid by the Seminary

ALLEGHANY COLLEGIATE INSTITUTE.

The Alleghany Collegiate Institute, located at Alderson, W. Va., is now the property of the Southern Seminary, and the marked increase in enrollment, as well as the improved character of the work done is sufficient evidence that the Board of District Trustees of the Lewisburg District acted wisely in transferring the management of the school to Rev. E. H. Rowe and his associates. The total enrollment for the present session is 152, the largest in the history of the institution. Rev. L. S. Shires is the principal, assisted by a faculty of nine teachers.

The school has three buildings valued at \$15,000. The Alleghany Collegiate Institute is located in the heart of one of the most prosperous communities to be found anywhere within the bounds of the Baltimore Conference, and is the only Methodist school in all the Greenbrier Valley. We recommend that Rev. W. O. Talbert, Rev. A. L. Harnesberger and Rev. J. B. Hedrick be appointed as Visiting Committee and

that they be requested to make their report in writing to this board, and further, that the expenses incurred be paid by the Institute.

At the request of the management we recommend the appointment of Rev. S. A. Parker as Principal of the Bowling Green Seminary.

APPOINTMENTS RECOMMENDED.

We recommend that the Conference request the Bishop to appoint Rev. J. A. Kern, D. D., and Rev. Collins Denny, D. D., Professors in Vanderbilt University; Rev. L. S. Shires, Principal of Alleghany Collegiate Institute; Rev. H. P. Hamill, Conference Secretary and Treasurer of Education, and Stephen J. L. DuLaney, student at Randolph-Macon College.

We recommend an assessment of \$3,500 for the benefit of Randolph-Macon College at Ashland, and Randolph-Macon Academy, at Front Royal, Va., and of \$1,835 for educational extension, as assessed upon this Conference by the General Board of Education under the direction of the General Conference.

H. H. SHERMAN, *President.*

J. M. ANDERSON, *Secretary.*

REPORT OF THE SUNDAY-SCHOOL BOARD.

Each succeeding year but emphasizes the importance of the Sunday-school in the work of the Church. The Sunday-School Board therefore views with great satisfaction the steady progress, both in numerical strength and in efficiency of work, which the schools throughout the Conference are making. The figures for this year have not been tabulated at the time this report is written, but it is quite apparent that they will show an advance over last year, when Sunday-school enrollment was 92½ per cent. of church membership in the Baltimore Conference, thus placing us at the head of all the Conferences in that respect.

SUNDAY-SCHOOL LITERATURE.

We desire to express our appreciation of the high character of our Sunday-school literature. During the year our publications have fully sustained the high reputation which they already enjoyed and have steadily advanced in quality. They have been warmly praised, even outside our own church. We earnestly recommend that all our Schools use the literature and supplies issued by our publishing house, not only because they are ours, but also because none better can be found. The Adult Bible Student, admirably adapted to the needs of adult classes, should be more largely used.

"Our Boys and Girls" is a young people's paper of the very highest grade and should be widely read.

SUPPLY DEPARTMENT.

We also commend the enterprise of our Publishing House and the General Sunday-School Board in establishing a department of Sunday-school supplies, where everything that enters into the equipment of a modern Sunday-school can be had at minimum prices, and we urge our schools to patronize and avail themselves of the privileges it offers.

BOOK DEPOSITORY AT STAUNTON, VIRGINIA.

The Southern Methodist Book Depository, at Staunton, Va., under the management of Brother E. B. Lipscomb, continues to merit the favor of our people and ought to be liberally patronized, as all orders are promptly and courteously handled at publishing-house prices.

DISTRICT ORGANIZATION.

Five of the Presiding Elder's Districts have now been organized, substantially in accordance with the uniform plan adopted last year, and three Districts—Winchester, Lewisburg and Moorefield—have held successful Institutes during the year. The Board is continuing to push this work and has made an appropriation for its support during the present year. It is hoped that the organization of all the Districts may be reported by the meeting of Conference next year.

SUNDAY-SCHOOL ANNIVERSARY.

The Sunday-school anniversary observed at this meeting of the Conference has been highly successful, the interest of the occasion being greatly advanced by the presence of Superintendent of Training Work, Dr. H. M. Hamill. We recommend that such service be continued.

MISSIONARY.

A large number of the schools have made and maintained an enviable record on missionary contributions. We recommend that all schools not already organized into Missionary Societies, as required by the Discipline, be so organized at once; that the subject of missions be made prominent in all schools, and that our officers, teachers and scholars be urged to enroll in Mission Study Classes.

ORGANIZED ADULT CLASSES.

In the organized adult class we see an agency of the greatest usefulness and efficiency. These classes are producing surprising results in many of the great schools of the country, and will be a great source of strength to any school where they may be introduced. The officers of the Board invite correspondence on this subject from all who may desire to know more about it.

CHILDREN'S DAY OFFERING.

We are much concerned that only a little more than one-half of the pastoral charges are reporting Children's Day offerings. Reports from

circuits where there are several schools do not, in most instances, indicate how many schools participated in the offering, but it is highly probable that not many more than one-fourth of the entire number of schools in the Conference are doing so. Ten per cent. of this fund goes to the General Board of Education, ten per cent. to the General Sunday-School Board, and the balance, after paying the expenses of the Board and supplying the wants of needy schools, goes to the endowment of a chair of religious pedagogy in Vanderbilt University. It is more than ever our duty to make these offerings liberal and of all schools to take them. We are able to send a small sum to the endowment fund this year. It ought to be largely increased next year.

TEACHER TRAINING AND INTELLIGENT LEADERSHIP.

We fear that our people are not appreciating, as fully as they should, the opportunities afforded by our teacher training courses. The greatest need of the Sunday-school is trained teachers and intelligent leadership. We urge the formation, wherever practicable, of teacher training classes; also that our Superintendents and other officers shall keep themselves abreast of the times by reading, from the vast output of Sunday-school matter, the best books and articles on methods and management, so many of which are to be had at trifling cost.

We invite special attention to our First Course, or Legion of Honor, training lessons.

OFFICIAL SUPPORT.

We urge the official members of our various churches to support the Sunday-school and to give it the encouragement of their regular attendance, assuring them that in no other way can they more effectively strengthen the church and advance the cause of Christ.

GROWTH.

The splendid increase of more than 45,000 in Sunday-school enrollment, in our general Church, shown by the last report of the Sunday-School Editor, is cause for congratulation. We believe that the schools of our own Conference will continue in the forefront of this splendid advance.

SUNDAY-SCHOOL CONVENTION.

The annual convention, held at Alexandria, Va., in June, was fully up to the high standard which has been set and was largely attended. The Convention Executive Committee will, at an early date, issue a statement as to the time and place of the Convention for this year, at which gathering the usual high order of programme will be presented.

Respectfully submitted,

W. W. MILLAN, *Secretary.*

M. L. WALTON, *Chairman Pro Tem.*

REPORT OF THE TREASURER OF THE SUNDAY-SCHOOL BOARD.

To balance on hand as per last report.....	\$538.38
By amount paid for space in Conference Minutes....	\$15.00
By amount paid for printing and mailing year book...	92.25
By amount paid for Expenses of S. S. Convention.....	120.20
By amount paid for Programmes.....	45.90
By amount paid for Needy Sunday-schools.....	134.99
By Balance.....	130.04
	<hr/> \$538.38

<i>Baltimore District</i> —Frederick Ave.....	\$4.25
Arlington.....	16.85
Reisterstown.....	8.00
Howard.....	12.00
Linganore.....	9.00
Gaithersburg.....	10.87
Goshen.....	15.35
Potomac.....	10.13
Colesville.....	4.00
Emory.....	5.00
Brentwood.....	5.00
	<hr/> \$100.45

<i>East Baltimore District</i> —St. John's of Hamilton.....	\$2.30
North Point.....	4.00
Aberdeen.....	10.56
Church Hill.....	6.38
Ingleside.....	2.00
Goldsboro.....	2.00
Royal Oak and Tilghman's.....	4.00
Chesapeake.....	10.00
Patuxent.....	5.61
Forest Grove.....	5.00
Prince George.....	8.00
Charles and St. Mary's.....	6.00
	<hr/> \$65.85

<i>Washington District</i> —Mt. Vernon Place.....	\$15.00
Epworth.....	5.00
Marvin.....	5.00
St. Paul.....	5.00
Calvary and Clarendon.....	5.00
Del Ray.....	7.00
Alexandria.....	6.00
Fredericksburg.....	11.10
Falls Church.....	5.00
Leesburg.....	7.73
Hamilton.....	7.00
Fairfax.....	17.00
Sterling.....	3.00
Remington.....	6.90
Sudley.....	5.00
Manassas.....	6.50
Stafford.....	2.00
	<hr/> \$119.23

<i>Winchester District</i> —	
Winchester.....	\$8.00
Strasburg.....	3.00
Woodstock.....	5.00
Woodstock Mission.....	1.48
Ft. Royal and Riverton.....	4.06
Warren.....	2.00
Linden.....	3.13
Berryville.....	13.00
Martinsburg.....	8.50
Berkley.....	4.00
Jefferson.....	13.00
	<hr/>
	\$65.17
<i>Rockingham District</i> —	
Staunton.....	\$15.00
Staunton Mission.....	5.00
Harrisonburg Mission.....	.92
Harrisonburg.....	23.25
Bridgewater.....	2.00
*Augusta.....	13.00
Waynesboro.....	7.03
Port Republic.....	4.00
Rockingham.....	2.00
East Rockingham.....	5.00
Shenandoah and Marksville.....	5.00
New Market.....	4.36
	<hr/>
	\$86.56
<i>Roanoke District</i> —	
Salem.....	\$8.00
Greene Memorial.....	8.50
St. James.....	3.00
Grace.....	2.00
Belmont and East End.....	3.50
Vinton.....	4.20
Roanoke Circuit.....	15.00
Buena Vista.....	4.00
Lexington.....	3.00
Cambria Circuit.....	3.00
	<hr/>
	\$54.20
<i>Lewisburg District</i> —	
Lewisburg.....	\$6.00
Frankford.....	6.00
Talcott.....	1.00
Peterstown.....	1.00
Union.....	1.00
White Sulphur.....	7.41
Alvon.....	1.00
Marlinton.....	8.83
Levelton.....	5.00
	<hr/>
	\$37.24

*Augusta paid \$13.32 last year, but this was incorrectly credited to Rockingham.

<i>Moorefield District</i> —Keyser.....	\$3.00	
S. Cumberland.....	3.00	
Piedmont.....	3.00	
Frostburg.....	2.50	
Romney.....	6.00	
Springfield.....	2.50	
Slanesville.....	9.16	
Hardy.....	5.00	
		<hr/> \$34.16
Special birthday offering from Monterey, Rockingham District..	\$5.00	
		<hr/>
To total amounts received from Districts.....	\$562.86	
By 10 per cent. to General Conference Sunday-School Board.....	\$56.28	
By 10 per cent. to Board of Education.....	56.28	
		<hr/> 112.56
		<hr/>
To balance on hand for distribution.....	\$450.30	

Respectfully submitted,

WM. DEAN, *Treasurer.*

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF CHURCH EXTENSION.

BALTIMORE, Md., March 29th, 1909.

To the Baltimore Annual Conference, Methodist Episcopal Church, South—

Your Board has done its work with a feeling of considerable responsibility, being exceedingly anxious to make the most effective disposition of the funds at its disposal, each case being judged on its own merits and no funds being appropriated until every case has been heard.

Our people are responding more liberally than ever. Two years ago our collections amounted to \$3,962.50; last year, \$7,538.25; this year, \$7,741.95.

Dr. W. F. McMurry, our General Secretary, has again made his stimulating and generous offer to give us dollar for dollar on any amount we raised over our assessment by the General Board.

We recommend that we again assess ourselves \$10,138, as last year.

REFUNDING BONDS.

The amount for which your Board now requires Refunding Bonds has been raised from \$100 to \$250.

APPLICATIONS FOR AID.

All applications for aid will in future be obtained from the Secretary of the Board, and must be duly made out and returned to him not later than 10 days before the opening of Conference in order to secure their consideration.

DEVELOPMENT OF WORK.

The development of our work is most encouraging, the number of cases being :—

To the Conference Board.....	1907—10	1908—18	1909—26
To the General Board.....	1907— 3	1908— 7	1909— 9

We notice particularly the work that is being done in our cities.

REPRESENTATIVE CHURCH.

The Representative Church in Washington, D. C., commands our warmest sympathy. We have again made a grant of \$2,000. We heartily commend it to the consideration of all our churches.

MEETINGS OF CONFERENCE REPRESENTATIVES.

A meeting of Conference Representatives will be held at Memphis, Tenn., April 27-28. Your Board has appointed as its representatives its President and Secretary; as alternates, Mr. A. W. Mears and Rev. R. L. Eutsler.

DISTRICT CONFERENCES.

We would especially request that the subject of Church Extension be brought before our people in the District Conferences.

W. H. M. S. AND BALTIMORE CITY MISSIONS.

We commend the excellent work done by these societies.

R. L. FULTZ, *President*.

P. W. JEFFRIES, *Secretary*.

BOARD OF CHURCH EXTENSION—TREASURER'S REPORT.

Balance on hand from 1907-08.....	\$	53.26
Received on assessments.....		7,741.95
		<hr/> \$7,795.21
Paid for postage, printing, etc.....	\$	8.35
Remitted to General Board.....		3,211.50
		<hr/> 3,219.85
		<hr/> \$4,575.36
Due by General Board, under proposition of Dr. McMurry....		1,318.95
		<hr/> \$5,894.31
Appropriated to twenty-five churches.....	\$5,800.00	
Balance on hand.....		94.31
		<hr/> \$5,894.31

APPROPRIATIONS BY DISTRICTS.

Baltimore District—

Caernarvon (conditional).....	\$335.00	
Branchville (Hyattsville).....	135.00	
Trinity (Laurel).....	260.00	
		<hr/>
		\$730.00

East Baltimore District—

St. John's of Hamilton.....	\$550.00	
		<hr/>
		\$550.00

Lewisburg District—

Central (Union, W. Va.).....	\$ 60.00	
Cold Spring (Frankford).....	25.00	
Epworth (Selma, W. Va.).....	170.00	
Epworth Chapel (Covington, Va.).....	125.00	
McLaughlin (Greenbank).....	50.00	
Wylie Memorial (Highland Park).....	50.00	
		<hr/>
		\$480.00

Moorefield District—

Central (Cumberland, Md.).....	\$240.00	
Greenwood (Morgan).....	50.00	
		<hr/>
		\$290.00

Roanoke District—

Howell's Chapel.....	\$ 45.00	
Mount Zion (Rockbridge, Va.).....	45.00	
Trinity (Buchanan, Va.).....	140.00	
Whisner Memorial (Blacksburg, Va.)..	250.00	
		<hr/>
		\$480.00

Rockingham District—

Bethany (Churchville).....	\$ 75.00	
Ebenezer (Rockbridge).....	100.00	
Greeville.....	145.00	
		<hr/>
		\$320.00

Washington District—

Representative Church (Washington, D. C.).....	\$2,000.00	
Calvary (Washington, D. C.).....	340.00	
Ilda (Fairfax Co., Va.).....	25.00	
Metzger Memorial (Occoquan, Va.)...	65.00	
St. Paul's (Washington, D. C.).....	290.00	
		<hr/>
		\$2,720.00

Winchester District—

Front Royal, Va.....	\$230.00	
		<hr/>
		\$230.00

Total..... \$5,800.00
(April 1st, 1909.)

NOTE.—There is still due by the General Board on Dr. McMurry's proposition of 1907-08—\$1,000.25.

Application blanks can be had by writing to Rev. P. W. Jeffries at his address in Washington, D. C. *Do not write to the Treasurer for blanks.*

ASSESSMENTS AND PAYMENTS BY DISTRICTS.

<i>Districts.</i>	<i>Assessed.</i>	<i>Paid.</i>	<i>Deficit.</i>	<i>Pd. in '08.</i>	<i>Inc.</i>	<i>Dec.</i>
Baltimore	\$1,261	\$1,209	\$ 52	\$1,281	\$72
East Baltimore...	941	702	239	661	\$41
Lewisburg	1,197	878	319	845	33
Moorefield	1,001	446	555	368	79
Roanoke	1,552	1,294	258	1,233	61
Rockingham	1,237	770	467	793	23
Washington	1,631	1,328	303	1,235	93
Winchester	1,318	1,114	204	1,122	8
Total.....	\$10,138	\$7,741	\$2,397	\$7,538	\$306	\$103

ASSESSMENTS FOR THE YEAR 1909-10.

Baltimore District.....	\$1,575
Lewisburg District.....	1,300
Moorefield District.....	1,153
Roanoke District.....	1,545
Rockingham District.....	1,360
Washington District.....	1,805
Winchester District.....	1,400
Total.....	\$10,138

P. V. D. CONWAY, *Treasurer.*

BALTIMORE, Md., April 1st, 1909.

REPORT OF THE EPWORTH LEAGUE BOARD.

The reports before your Board are to the effect that every District in the Conference has formed a District Organization. Owing to the fact that an annual League Conference is not at present practicable, the interests of the Epworth League must be cared for largely through the District Organization.

We would recommend that our preachers make arrangements as far as possible to attend these District Conferences, and that they urge the same upon their people.

It is desirable that the Secretaries of the several District League Conferences make a report of the proceedings of the same to the Chairman of the Conference Board in order that the Conference Board, knowing the real facts, may take such action as will be helpful to the Epworth League throughout the Conference.

We would again call the attention of the Conference to the Junior Epworth League. Through their agency the Church has not only the opportunity of impressing the child life for good, but also to reach, through them, the parents and many others, and bring them under the influence of the Gospel of the Son of God.

The Boys' League is proving a real success wherever honestly used. It is a specific means close at hand for the solution of the vexed boy problem. We believe that there is a field for it in every charge in the Baltimore Conference.

We regret that our educational institutions have not made use of the League in the religious training of their students. On the contrary, it is a fact so marked as to attract attention that many young people who before going to college were enthusiastic League workers upon their return home have exhibited great indifference to this work. We believe that our colleges and our Leagues could be associated with great advantage to both. And we would most heartily recommend that wherever at all practicable Epworth League Chapters be organized in the student body of our schools and colleges, and that the young people, destined to leadership in the Church, be given a thorough training in methods of League work.

The Epworth Era, by dint of energetic canvassing, has reached a very large circulation. It has a wide field and an inspiring opportunity. We would express our belief that this opportunity could be more fully met if the Era were made less a journal of general religious miscellany and its resources brought more definitely to bear upon the problems and activities of the Epworth League. We believe that thereby it would more surely reach the place of genuine leadership in the young life of the Church.

ANDREW A. WALKER, *Secretary.*

W. H. H. JOYCE, *Chairman.*

REPORT OF THE BIBLE BOARD.

To the Baltimore Conference, Methodist Episcopal Church, South—

Your Bible Board during the past year has endeavored to bring before our people in an intelligent manner the necessity of contributing liberally to the work of the American Bible Society. We are gratified that we can report a small increase in the contributions of this year, but regret that the increase is not that for which we had hoped.

The work of the American Bible Society is recognized throughout the world, and deserves our most hearty support. This Society is a positive necessity for the intelligent and fruitful dissemination of the Gospel, and without its aid in providing the Scriptures in the native tongues of the heathen, the Church would be seriously hindered in her saving mission.

We regret to find that no collection for the Bible cause has been taken in a number of our charges, and we attribute to this fact our failure to report materially increased collections. We insist that the requirements of the discipline be followed by every pastor.

We recommend that our pastors preach at least once a year on this most important work, and also avail themselves of any opportunity to have agents of local auxiliaries preach in their charges and assist in the collections. We recommend, secondly, that the Presiding Elders emphasize the collection for the American Bible Society in the various charges over which they have supervision. We recommend, thirdly, that the cause be presented and the collections taken early in the year.

We insist that all money collected for the American Bible Society be paid to the Treasurer of the Bible Board. Should there be any reason, because of local conditions, why the collection of any charge should be paid to a local auxiliary, and a request from the pastor to that effect be presented to the Board, the Treasurer is instructed to apply the funds as requested.

JOSEPH H. BALTHIS, *Secretary.*

F. A. STROTHER, *President.*

REPORT OF THE TREASURER OF THE BIBLE BOARD, BALTIMORE CONFERENCE, M. E. CHURCH, SOUTH, 1908-1909.

Amount paid by Baltimore District.....	\$137.78	Increase...\$7.53
“ “ East Baltimore District.....	76.58	Increase... 3.58
“ “ Washington District.....	212.88	Decrease..14.38
“ “ Winchester District.....	167.44	Increase...64.94
“ “ Rockingham District.....	98.80	Increase... 8.15
“ “ Roanoke District.....	107.21	Decrease.. 1.97
“ “ Lewisburg District.....	107.57	Decrease..23.93
“ “ Moorefield District.....	84.00	Increase... 7.36

Total.....\$992.26

Amount paid in 1907-1908..... 940.98

Net Increase..... \$51.28

Total.....\$992.26

Less Printing, Postage, etc.....\$ 6.51

Less Amount Paid Agents by Preachers.....120.01

126.52

Balance for Distribution..... \$865.74

(Signed)

March 30th, 1909.

GEORGE W. LAYCOCK, *Treasurer.*

STATEMENT.

Statement of the Bible Money distributed during the Conference Year 1907-1908:—

To W. S. Campbell, Richmond.....	\$185.35
To Wm. Foulke, Treasurer, New York.....	440.97
To Dr. L. T. Widerman, Baltimore.....	181.25
Total.....	<u>\$807.57</u>

GEORGE W. LAYCOCK, *Treasurer.*

REPORT OF TREASURER OF CORPORATE
BOARD OF FINANCE.

RECEIPTS.

Balance on hand, March 19, 1908.....	\$2,148.36
Income from Ground Rents.....	880.24
Income from City Stock and Railway Bond.....	145.00
Interest on Deposits and Sundry Loans.....	306.04
	<u>\$3,479.64</u>

DISBURSEMENTS.

Premium on Treasurer's Bond.....	\$ 4.00
Paid Joint Board of Finance.....	1,300.00
	<u>1,304.00</u>
March 23, 1909—Balance on Hand.....	\$2,175.64

ASSETS.

Cash on Hand (as above).

Ground Rent, 1330 and 1332 Cleveland St.....	yielding \$72.00 per annum.
“ “ 729 and 731 Little Second St....	“ 40.00 “ “
“ “ Ostend and Marshall Sts.....	“ 90.74 “ “
“ “ Ostend St., near Marshall St....	“ 81.00 “ “
“ “ 701 N. Payson St.....	“ 70.00 “ “
“ “ 705 and 707 N. Payson St.....	“ 136.00 “ “
“ “ 3040 Boston St.....	“ 50.00 “ “
“ “ Penna. Ave near Kate Ave.....	“ 120.00 “ “
“ “ Kate Ave.....	“ 240.00 “ “
Note of \$400.00 Wesley Grove Association....	“ 20.00 “ “
\$3,000.00 Baltimore City Stock.....	“ 105.00 “ “
\$1,000.00 United Railway 1st Mtge. Bond.....	“ 40.00 “ “
\$3,000.00 Loaned on Collateral Security.....	“ 180.00 “ “

GEO. N. NUMSEN, *Treasurer.*

March 23rd, 1909.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS.

The superior work of every branch of our Publishing House at Nashville, Tenn., deserves our enthusiastic patronage and the best endeavor to increase the circulation of its literature throughout the entire bounds of our work.

We are glad to note the splendid literary success and increased circulation of the Review, and recommend that more of our ministers and laymen, by their patronage, further increase its circulation. The Nashville Christian Advocate in a special sense, the official organ of our Church, is in every way worthy of our patronage and should reach more of our homes.

We would earnestly request all of our preachers to see to it that no other Sunday-school literature is used than that prepared and sent out by our own publishing house.

The Book Depository, under the management of Mr. E. B. Lipscomb, continues to do effective service for the Church. We commend its work and request our preachers and people to place their orders with the Depository.

Your Committee heartily concur in the reappointment of Rev. E. V. Regester to be the Editor of our Conference paper.

The Baltimore Southern Methodist, our Conference Organ, deserves our special mention and most careful consideration. For five years it has continued to visit thousands of our homes with its message of encouragement and co-operation. This has been made possible only by the faithful endeavor of those ministers and laymen of the Church who have an intelligent appreciation of the importance of the paper.

The report of the Board of Directors reveals a wise, efficient and successful management of the affairs of the paper. We recognize the fact, however, that for the future successful conduct of the paper a wise and liberal policy must be adopted by the Conference to properly finance the enterprise and to relieve it of any possible financial embarrassment in the future. We recognize the difficulties of publishing a periodical under present business conditions at the low price of one dollar a year. On the other hand, we are convinced that in order to meet the needs of our work the paper should, if possible, be continued at this price. We believe that this is possible, provided the Board of Directors of the paper have the hearty and unanimous co-operation of our preachers. A plan must be adopted to guarantee the management of the paper against financial embarrassment, especially during the coming year and through the continuance of the present financial condition of the country. This, together with the hearty co-operation of all the preachers in extending the circulation to all of our homes, will doubtless enable us to carry on successfully and continuously this very important work.

Be It Therefore Resolved—

First—That the business of the office with subscribers be conducted directly with the preachers in charge.

Second—That the Conference pledge itself to procure new subscribers and that the preachers be requested to collect subscriptions due on the paper.

Third—We recommend the Underwriting Guarantee plan, proposed by the Board of Directors, and request that this plan be now presented to the Conference by the Board of Directors.

J. W. BEALL, *Secretary.*

W. F. LOCKE, *Chairman.*

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON TEMPERANCE.

Your Committee on Temperance begs leave to submit the following report:

When we trace the history of temperance reform and note its marvelous progress, we are gratified to find that Methodism was first in the field and is still a leader in the fight.

John Wesley, our noble founder, is rightfully entitled to the distinction of being the original prohibitionist. With characteristic boldness, he was the first who dared to recommend to the English Government the abolition of the liquor traffic as a governmental remedy for the relief of the suffering poor. No man ever exposed more relentlessly the villainies of the vendors of intoxicating liquors than did he in pulpit and press.

When Mr. Wesley formulated the rules for the government of his societies, one of them was the prohibition of the use of spirituous liquors, except in cases of necessity. That rule still remains a part of the law of the Methodist Church.

From the foundation of the Methodist Church in America it took strong grounds against the use of intoxicating liquors as a beverage, and by precept and practice it has maintained that position to the present time.

As an expression of the sentiment of this Conference on the subject of temperance and our relation to the great work of temperance reform that is now sweeping the country, your Committee offers the following resolutions for your consideration and action:

1. *Resolved*, That the Conference hereby expresses its opposition to the issuance of licenses by the State for the manufacture or sale of intoxicants of any kind to be used as a beverage, and protests against the issuance of tax certificates by the National Government for the sale of intoxicating liquors in territory protected by local option or prohibition.

2. *Resolved*, That our preachers and members who are qualified to vote are urged to keep their franchises unimpaired and to use their ballot against the saloon whenever opportunity is offered, especially in view of the fact that the liquor power sees to it that its partisans are qualified to vote whenever called upon to do so.

3. *Resolved*, That our Sunday-schools emphasize the quarterly temperance lesson, our Epworth Laagues study carefully their temperance topics, and all our members observe diligently the law of the Church against the use of liquor and support of the traffic.

A summary of the law of the Church on the subject of temperance is herewith appended for the information of our people:

(1) Imbedded in our Constitution is the General Rule against "drunkenness, or drinking spirituous liquors unless in cases of necessity," and all our preachers and members alike are commanded to observe it.

(2) Our preachers and members are not permitted to manufacture or sell intoxicating liquors to be used as a beverage.

(3) They are specifically prohibited from signing petitions for the sale of intoxicants and from becoming bondsmen for persons who obtain license for such sale.

(4) No preacher or member of our Church may conduct a Dispensary for the sale of liquors, even though such Dispensary be established by law.

(5) Our property owners are forbidden to rent their property to be used for the sale of liquors.

4. *Resolved*, That we rejoice in the great success that has attended the efforts of the Anti-Saloon League in the work of abolishing the saloon within our territory. We believe the Anti-Saloon League is the most efficient agency ever yet devised through which the temperance forces can most effectively carry on their great work and push it to completion, and we commend the League to our people as worthy of their heartiest support, morally, financially or in any other way by which they can contribute to the League's usefulness.

Respectfully submitted,

D. L. BLAKEMORE, *Secretary*.

J. H. KUHLMANN, *President*.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON DISTRICT CONFERENCE RECORDS.

We, your Committee on District Conference Records, beg to report that we have carefully examined the Records of all the Districts, and without exception find them neatly kept and properly signed.

J. HERBERT BEAN, *Secretary*.

J. H. WILHITE, *Chairman*.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON SABBATH OBSERVANCE.

The Sabbath is the Lord's Day. He rested from all His works after the creation of the world and sanctified the day, commanding us to keep it holy. The Sabbath law was put in the Decalogue and remains there. It has never been repealed, but is still in force.

In this age of commercialism and worldliness the temptation to ignore this law is very great, and this holy day is constantly being desecrated both in business and social life. Money getting during the six days of the week and taking their own pleasure on the Lord's Day, or in some cases carry the work through the Sabbath. What can be done to arrest this lawlessness? Shall we as ministers and members of the Church of Christ be silent and look on with indifference while the world tramples on the law of God? We cannot be silent without becoming partakers of other men's sins. Therefore, be it resolved, 1st, that we call upon all of our people to refuse to patronize or encourage the Sunday newspaper, Sunday excursions, and as far as possible Sunday railroad travel or traffic, and every other form of Sabbath desecration. 2nd, that we discourage Sunday visiting, and teach our people that the Sabbath is a day set apart for the worship of God, and that the sanctuary and the Sunday-school are the places whither their steps should take, with their children and servants, and no Sunday visitors should be allowed to keep them from their religious duties. 3rd, that sermons be preached within the bounds of the Conference territory during the month of June on Sabbath Observance, and that attention be called to this resolution through our Conference organ the last week in May.

C. E. SIMMONS, *Secretary.*

C. L. KENNARD, *Chairman.*

MEMOIRS.

REV. JAMES E. ARMSTRONG, D. D.

James Edward Armstrong was born in Alexandria, Va., October 15th, 1830. While he was but a child his parents moved to Baltimore, Maryland, where he grew to manhood. He attended the public schools of the City, entering the Male High School (now the Baltimore City College) when he was thirteen years old. After finishing the course in the High School, he taught in the same institution for a year, and in payment for his services received instructions in advanced courses. After leaving school he spent five years in a counting room. But these were also years of study. It is said of him during this period: "Diligent study, private lessons from such instructors as he could secure and discriminating reading of good literature were crowded into his evenings." These habits of study he continued through all the years of his ministry, reading the best books, storing his mind with useful knowledge, studying to show himself "approved unto God a workman that needeth not to be ashamed."

His parents were devout and earnest Christians. He was early led to Sunday-school by his father, and we are told "he was the companion and escort" of his godly mother to Class-meeting and Church Services. Growing up in the atmosphere of a Christian home, he in the days of his youth gave his heart to God and united with the Church. Feeling called of God to the work in the Ministry, he was licensed to preach, and at the session of the Baltimore Conference, held at Hagerstown, Md., in 1853, he was admitted on trial into the traveling connection, he not being present. He was ordained Deacon in 1855 by Bishop Scott. His diary contains this entry: "Was set apart by the laying on of hands of Bishop Scott for the office of Deacon. May its solemn vows be ever fresh in my memory." In 1857 he was ordained Elder by Bishop Waugh. At the Conference of 1853 he was sent as junior preacher to Winchester Circuit. In 1854 he was junior preacher on Loudoun Circuit; 1855 he served as junior on Jefferson Circuit; 1856-8 he was in charge of Fin-castle Circuit; 1858-60, Salem; 1860-2, Moorefield; 1862-6, Woodstock; 1866-7, Staunton Station; 1867-70, Rockville Circuit; 1870-2, Winchester Station; 1872-6, Roanoke District; 1876-8, Fredericksburg Station; 1878-81, East Baltimore District; 1881-2, Winchester District; 1882-4, Harrisonburg Station; 1884-6, Shepherdstown Circuit; 1886-7, Hamilton; 1887-91, Front Royal; 1891-3, Falls Church; 1893-7, East Baltimore District; 1897-8, Salem Station; 1898-1902, Rockingham District; 1902-6, Roanoke District.

Thus ends the record of his active service in the pastorate and Presiding Eldership of the Baltimore Conference; fifty-three years of as

faithful and efficient labor as was ever given to the Methodist Episcopal Church, South. At the Conference of 1906, with the same simple and unaffected manner as had characterized him in the performance of the ordinary details of his ministerial life, he asked for a superannuated relation. He was still in the full possession of all his faculties, with undimmed vision and unabated mental vigor, but he had found in the last year of his administration of the Roanoke District that his bodily strength could not always be depended upon, and it was his judgment that the work of the Church should be in the hands of those physically able to perform it. And so, committing to others the administration of this work, he spent the remaining two years on earth in the peace and quiet of the blessed borderland, in the sweet association of his loving family and in unbroken companionship with his Lord. In the evening it was light. We are assured that his path grew brighter even unto the perfect day.

Such a life was not without the honor of many calls to special service in behalf of the Church. For fifty years he was at the Secretaries' table; thirty years as assistant to Rev. John S. Martin, D. D., and twenty years as secretary in chief. He was exceptionally well fitted for this work. His voice and manner lent dignity to the position, and his habit of painstaking attention to all the details of his task, together with his encyclopedic knowledge of the history and precedents of Methodism, made him an invaluable guide and helper to the President of the Conference. His journals were models of neatness and accuracy and never failed to elicit the commendation of the Committee of the General Conference.

He served six terms in the Presiding Eldership. No man could have served in this important office with deeper concern for the welfare of the Church, nor with higher regard for the interests of the men who were under him. His affection for the younger preachers of his District, and his sympathetic appreciation of their talents and possibilities, was a marked feature of his administration, while the devotion of these young men to their leader and friend was the supreme joy of his heart. Not one of his preachers ever doubted the absolute integrity of his motives, and none ever had cause to question his unselfish loyalty to them. He was among them as one who served.

He was twice a member of the General Conference, in 1894 and in 1898, and there served with the same fidelity and zeal as has marked his career in every position to which he was called. He received the honorary degree of Doctor of Divinity from Randolph-Macon College, of which institution he had been a trustee since 1895.

His last work of special distinction and honor, done in response to the call of his Conference, was to write the History of the Old Baltimore Conference. This has proved to be the crowning service of his life for his Church. The marked timidity with which he undertook this

great and difficult task was characteristic of the man. No one except himself doubted his fitness for this work. Both because of his literary equipment and because of his intimate knowledge of the subject, he was universally conceded to be the man for this service. The historian is both born and made. This volume evidences the native instinct for the inner significance of events and a just appreciation of the qualities of men. It also reveals the results of wide literary culture and patient research for the facts of history.

The History has had favorable mention in the Church press in all the branches of Methodism. This is all the more remarkable, since it records not only the beginnings of Methodism in this country, but also the stormy days of 1820 and 1844. His fame is secure as the historian of the Baltimore Conference.

In a brief characterization of Dr. Armstrong, whose life was as an open book and known to us all, we may speak of him as a man of honor. In social relations he was first of all a gentleman. This was seen, not only in his observance of the conventions of polite society, but also in his uniform manifestation of that tenderness for the feelings, respect for the sentiments and sympathy with the interests of others which springs from innate refinement and nobility of soul and marks the true gentleman. He held himself to the highest ideals of Christian brotherhood. His simple dignity of manner and kindly courtesy were no tribute paid to the demands of an artificial social fashion, but were the real homage rendered to the worth and merit of his fellow-beings. Ever looking for the best in men, he found it and gave it his praise. Nothing ever ruffled his quiet and gentle demeanor, except when he was forced to come in contact with that which was base and low. His lips were innocent of lewdness and his acts were above reproach. His visits to the homes of the people brought an air of refinement and he left with them the benediction of purity of thought and word.

He was a man of honor in his relation to the Church. His gentility did not stop with his dealings with men in social life, but extended to the wider interests of Church organization. He knew no compromise of principle. In the trying times of '61 to '65, when conditions demanded a decision for consistency to principle at the cost of every earthly good he knew no moment of wavering or faltering. He clearly apprehended those distinctive principles of Christianity for which his Church has always stood—the purely spiritual character and mission of the Church of Christ. No expediency of political or secular life was to him a motive worthy of a moment's notice. To this line of policy his Conference had been pledged for years, and Brother Armstrong to the day of his death never broke his pledge. In the storms of life there is sometimes wide difference in the point of view of good men, but no one can doubt the consistency of his action in that great storm.

He has been a man of honor among us as a brother in the Conference. There is no body of men with a closer community of interest than our itinerant Methodist brotherhood. The intimacy of fellowship makes the relation all the more delicate. It is easy to do a brother wrong. Self-seeking or efforts for personal preferment are temptations to all but the strongest men. Dr. Armstrong carried through his ministry an untarnished name in this regard. His honest boast was that he never sought a place and never made an effort to control his appointment.

We may speak of him finally as a preacher of the Gospel. By his ministration of the word of God he brought thousands of souls from darkness to light and from the power of Satan unto God. This is the final test of preaching. His written style was characterized by a refined and beautiful literary expression. He had the pen of a ready writer. His wide familiarity with the best authors of prose and poetry, and his love of the beautiful, gave him an easy and graceful command of the art-forms of literature. He was also a remarkable reader. Indeed, this was one of his chief talents. Whether reading in the home circle for the pleasure of his friends, or in the pulpit, he would never fail to charm his hearers. His accustomed mode of preaching was a freer and more extemporaneous style, for he would not sacrifice force and directness of address to mere beauty of expression. Whatever the method, he never lost sight of the fact that the purpose of all preaching is to bring the Gospel of the Son of God to sinful men. He selected his themes from the great doctrines of the Word, presented them with the conviction that they were able to make men wise unto salvation, and so forcibly that his hearers were made to see their reasonableness and to feel their power.

As a pastor he was tender and sympathetic. Especially he sought out the poor and the lowly and ministered to them with gentle courtesy and kindness. In many a home today his name is "as ointment poured out."

What Dr. Armstrong sought to produce in the world at large he was happy in creating in his home life—a sacred fellowship whose bonds are consecrated by the presence of our Lord and Saviour. His home life was ideal. He was happily married to Miss Margaret Hickman, of Shenandoah Co., Va., who for more than fifty years walked by his side and shared the joys and trials of his itinerant life. She still abides in the blissful anticipation of the reward of the faithful. His sons and daughters call him blessed and cherish every thought of him with sacred joy.

At Roanoke, at our last Annual Conference, Dr. Armstrong sat at his accustomed place at the Secretary's table, eager in his loved employ, alert in his attention to all of the business and joyous in the fellowship of his brethren. On the last day of the session he found it necessary to remain in his room at the parsonage of Rev. Dr. Neighbors, who was his Conference host. He was permitted, however, to prepare his report

of the Conference for publication in the Minutes, and then his work was done. Only a week after the close of Conference he passed to the higher service in the Father's Home. His body was laid to rest in the beautiful East Hill Cemetery at Salem, Va., among a people he had served long and faithfully. We shall miss him from our annual gatherings, but the inspiration of his life will linger among us as a gracious benediction. His record is on high.

J. S. HUTCHINSON.

REV. WILLIAM GEORGE EGGLESTON.

William G. Eggleston was the first preacher of the Gospel within the memory of the writer of this memoir. Though text and sermon are long since lost to the mind of the child-hearer, the person and manner of the preacher linger as one of the most vivid recollections of his youth. There is yet the tall, straight form of the man, and the intense earnestness of the preacher. The kindling eye, the swelling veins along the high forehead, the voice tense with feeling, made their indelible impression. The years have passed—the vision lingers.

Brother Eggleston was born on the 23rd of October, in the year 1815. Francis Asbury was still living. Only thirty-two short years had passed since the organization of the Church. Baltimore, the mother of American Methodism and of Methodist preachers, was his home city. His father was Joseph Eggleston, and his mother, before her marriage, was Sarah H. E. George.

Early in life he was the subject of lively religious influences and his youth was marked by distinctly religious impressions. His religious training began in the Sunday-school in his native city. When sixteen or seventeen years of age, he attended a meeting for revival in the Eutaw Street Church, where he came under the influence of the meeting and went to the altar of prayer as a seeker. After several days of seeking he was converted and immediately joined the Church. He was assigned, after the gracious and most helpful custom of the day, to the class of a godly man in the church, himself a local preacher—McJilton by name.

It will be pardoned, doubtless, if a short quotation in memory of that faithful class-leader finds a permanent place in this happy connection. This name (McJilton) will bring before many minds the modest, humble, laborious, useful local preacher. He was a man greatly beloved for his purity, kindness and simplicity of character. He was a man of plain talents, having power with God and with men. Many young men took their first steps as preachers guided by his eye and supported by his hand.

Very early after Brother Eggleston's union with the Church he became exercised on the subject of the Christian ministry. It weighed on his conscience. But, being of modest disposition and lacking, as he thought, preparation for the work, he held back. But the holy secret could not be locked up in his own bosom forever. Those early watchers over the souls of men came to be keen-eyed for budding talent and open-hearted for divine impressions concerning the youths under their charge. As, indeed, why should it not be so? It is altogether conceivable that the Lord of the harvest should give early intimations to the faithful overseers, that here and there are young men in the Church who are fit subjects for the great vocation.

It was so in the case of the youthful subject of this memoir. While he was, from sheer timidity, guarding his secret, other eyes were opened and other hearts were being impressed. One, Rev. I. P. Cook, saw him teaching in a Sunday-school, and when the opportunity came, put the question to the bashful youth: "Do you not feel called to preach the Gospel?" Another, Rev. Joseph Merriken, observed him in his congregation, and having sought acquaintance said: "When I saw you it was strongly impressed upon my mind that you were to preach the Gospel." These were intimations that others were receiving, and that only confirmed the impressions already made upon the mind of the young man.

In 1836 the Rev. Wm. Hamilton, preacher in charge of Baltimore City Station, sent for the young man to meet him in his office. Brother Eggleston went wondering what the preacher might want of him. Arriving, he was informed that his class-leader had recommended him for license to exhort. He commenced his earliest ministry on Severn Circuit, under the direction of the Presiding Elder in December, 1836. January 4th, 1837, he was licensed to preach by the Quarterly Conference of the Baltimore City Station, and at the Conference convening in his home city, March 22nd, 1837, he was received on trial into the traveling connection.

From the Conference of 1837 he went as junior preacher to the Winchester Circuit with Rev. T. H. W. Munroe. Bro. Eggleston makes personal tribute to the worth and fatherly kindness and helpfulness of the godly man with whom his lot was cast in this his initial year in the active ministry. In 1838 he was sent as junior preacher to Jefferson Circuit, and makes mention of the success in winning souls that crowned the labors of the year. For the years '39 and '40 he received appointment to Wardensville Circuit. The notable event of this pastorate was his marriage to Miss Frances S. Muse, than whom, he proudly records, "no man ever had a better wife."

From Wardensville to Springfield is his next move, where the years 1841 and '42 were passed. From Springfield to Ellicott's Mills for the next two years he goes. Finding the church heavily in debt and suit

pending, he makes instant and successful effort to meet the issue. A visit to Washington and collections at Wesley Chapel and at Foundry Church yield enough to stay the tide and give the energetic pastor time to lead his people to a successful effort to meet all the obligations on the church.

In 1844 he was sent to McKendree Church, in Washington City. It was an unfinished house. There was no organization. Within a few weeks the house was finished and dedicated and a class of forty members was gathered and organized. In June a great revival broke out and many were converted. During the term, two years, the membership of the church was quadrupled. In 1847 he went for one year to Stafford Circuit. There was a strong movement on Stafford for union with the Virginia Conference of the then Methodist Episcopal Church, South. The new preacher, though sent contrary to the wishes of representative members of the charge, held fast by the Baltimore Conference and held them fast also. Great revivals attended the ministry of the preachers for the year and many were added to the Church.

At the end of the year, by his own request, he was relieved and sent to Hillsboro, in Loudoun County. After two years of service on Hillsboro Circuit, he was sent in 1850 to Loudoun. The two years spent on Loudoun were happy and fruitful years. There were many conversions and accessions. As many as fifty were received on probation at one place. The next two years were spent on Jefferson Circuit. He notes with evident satisfaction that he had as junior colleague one A. W. Wilson, since come to eminence in the Church, during the second year of his ministry on Jefferson.

From Jefferson he went to Alexandria, and thence in two years to Rockville Circuit, where he remained until the Conference of 1858. There he was appointed as Presiding Elder of Winchester District. In that position he remained until the spring of 1866, eight successive years. They were the years just prior to and during the Civil War. Conference sessions were difficult of attendance and changes in the appointments few. It was well for the integrity of our cause in that section, the meeting place of great armies and of strong passions, that we had a man, with authority unbroken during those years, at once firm and gentle, of unflinching fidelity to principles and convictions, and yet conciliatory and kindly of manner and disposition. That we came through the great struggle and to the end of it without greater loss of property and prestige is due largely to the wisdom and care of the man who had seemingly been called to the place for such a time as that.

Beginning with 1866 and for three years following, Brother Eggleston served Brucetown Circuit. During 1869 and '70, he served West River Circuit. The building and paying for a parsonage marked this term. From West River he went to St. Paul's, in Baltimore. Here he found the congregation much embarrassed by a large debt and an unfinished

church. An industrious canvass of friends throughout the city did much to relieve the situation, although not sufficient to clear the debt. The years 1875 to '76 were spent on Winchester Circuit, and the succeeding two years in Harrisonburg. A great revival, lasting nearly three months, and a new church, the present house of worship, signalized the Harrisonburg pastorate.

The years 1880-84 were spent in Shepherdstown. In 1884 Brother Eggleston was sent to Reisterstown charge, and in 1885 to Charlestown. Four years of faithful and successful service marked this pastorate. A heavy debt was paid and the charge freed from its embarrassment. From Charlestown he went to Hamilton, in Loudoun County, and spent another four years' term. Improvement of the parsonage, plans for a new church and the liquidation of an ancient debt are among the achievements of these four years. From Hamilton he was sent to Middletown in 1893, and served two years. Middletown was a part of the Winchester Circuit, where he had begun his active ministry, fifty-eight years before. It was fitting that this should be his last active service and this his home for his last days. In 1895 he asked and was granted the superannuated relation, in which he continued until his death, on the 25th day of April, 1908, in the ninety-third year of his age.

Brother Eggleston was ordained Deacon by Bishop Waugh in 1839, and Elder by Bishop Morris in 1841. He was a member of the General Conference of 1866.

His domestic relations were of the happiest. Eight children were born of his early marriage. Of these, six—three sons, Robert of Baltimore, Md.; John H. and William G. of Missouri, with three daughters, Mrs. I. W. Russell, of Winchester, Va.; Mrs. Robert Crocket, of Fulton, New York, and Miss Emma F. Eggleston, survive him. He was married a second time to Mrs. Rebecca Hackney, formerly Miss Rebecca Kerr, and a third time to Mrs. John Heater, formerly Miss Sperry, of Middletown, Va. His half-sister, Miss Cecelia Eggleston, of Baltimore, was with him in his last hours, and is the only living member of his father's family.

His last days were fitting and typical. While they were restful after the long toil was over, they were not lacking in the keenest interest in all that concerned the Conference of which he had been so long a member, and the work in which he had had so abundant share. Visitors, after the close of the last Conference, found him interested in whatever had transpired in the meeting.

Not long after Conference the end came. In spite of increasing infirmities, he kept up the family altar. A little while before his death, though in great feebleness, he surprised those about him by singing at evening prayer a stanza from one of the old hymns:

“This, this is the God we adore—

Our faithful unchangeable friend,

Whose love is as great as his power,

And neither knows measure nor end.”

Easter Sunday evening heard his last family prayer. In it he remembered the day and its meaning to men. Before another Sabbath had come he himself had realized its power. The Resurrection of Jesus was no longer a fact of faith. It was a fact of blessed, joyous experience.

The day was long, but evening came; and no shadows lay along the wide horizon. The evening? Nay, the morning came; for what is night to us is noon in other lands.

What is mortal of him rests in beautiful Mount Hebron Cemetery, in Winchester, Virginia. He was laid there by loving hands on the twenty-eighth of last April. Appropriate and impressive memorial services were conducted in the church at Middletown by the Presiding Elder of the District, Rev. G. T. Tyler. Many of his brethren came to do him honor and touching tributes were paid to his memory. Many, too, were the friends who came to testify their esteem for the man of God who had lived so long among them and had so recently gone from them.

J. H. LIGHT.

REV. WALTER NEAL SIMPSON.

Walter Neal Simpson, the subject of this memoir, was the eldest child of William and Dorothy Simpson, and was born near Trappe, Talbot County, Md., April 1st, 1878, and fell on sleep in perfect peace at Prince Frederick, Calvert County, Md., August 20th, 1908.

The writer of this brief sketch was the pastor of the parents of Neal Simpson and his grandfather, Silas A. Simpson, when Neal was a small boy, and to him came the sad duty of consigning his body to the grave.

Born of Christian parents, Neal Simpson was consecrated to the service of God by the prayers of his faithful, devoted mother, and was trained for service with a careful watchfulness seldom equaled. His father's death left largely to him the duty of providing for the family and assisting his mother in the training of a large family. His faithfulness to this charge is attested by the fact that his two sisters and four brothers are active workers in the Church he loved and labored for until the Father called him home. Prevented by his duty to his family from offering himself to the Church for the work of the ministry in the traveling connection, he wrought nobly and faithfully as steward, Sunday-school Superintendent and Local Preacher. The hope never left him that some day he would be a member of the Baltimore Conference. When as he thought the fullness of time was come for him to turn over his business to the younger brother he did so and put himself in the hands of his Presiding Elder and was sent as a supply to Calvert Circuit, where he soon won the love and confidence of his people.

Walter Neal Simpson has joined the Honor Roll of the Conference, whose names are recorded as "died in the Lord." His sun has gone down while it is yet day, but his work was done, and well done. A true Soldier of the Cross, he died at his post, and his monument will be his faithful and consecrated life. His body lies in the beautiful God's Acre near Oxford; his spirit is with his God.

J. C. THRASHER.

MRS. SUSAN BOND WILSON.

One of our most sacred duties at this session of our Annual Conference is to inscribe upon the roll of our honored dead the name of Mrs. Susan Bond Wilson. This elect lady was so closely connected with the Conference, as the beloved wife of our senior and resident Bishop and as the President of the Conference Woman's Foreign Missionary Society, that a tribute of love and reverence is due to her name among those whose memory is held in highest honor among us. Her life and labor is now a precious heritage of the Church, to be cherished as long as the Baltimore Conference shall continue to serve the God of our fathers and mothers.

Her life began in a Methodist parsonage, March 12th, 1834; she was the eldest child of Rev. Philip D. and Maria Gott Lipscomb, who were stationed at the time in Georgetown, D. C. In her childhood she knew the hardships and privations of the itinerant life; but she had the advantage of a thorough Christian education, which was the one great blessing in the power of her godly parents to give her. Possessed of a remarkably bright intellect, she finished her course of study at the early age of sixteen and began at once to teach school. She taught a private school in Charles Town, West Virginia, for a year or two; then went to Tuskegee, Alabama, to teach in the school of her cousin, Dr. Andrew Lipscomb. Later she returned to Baltimore and taught in the Eastern Female High School until her marriage in 1857. This happy union brought her again into the itinerant life, in which she continued until she was called on the evening of June 4th, 1908, to the City that hath foundations, whose builder and maker is God.

Her conscious acceptance of Jesus Christ as her personal Saviour occurred when she was sixteen years of age. When she was nearly sixty she was attending a meeting at Charles Town, and told how at that very altar God had called her and she had answered His voice. From that time she gave to her Lord fifty-eight years of consecrated service which has helped to lift the world nearer to God.

When the General Conference of 1878 organized the woman's work for women in foreign countries Mrs. Wilson had already shown great

interest in the movement, and from the beginning gave her active support and interest. For this world-wide work she was well equipped. She had followed the growing missionary movement for years with intelligent interest and was familiar with the biographies of the great pioneers of modern missions. She was chosen editor of the leaflets for her Board about 1893, and about 1896 was elected one of the Vice-Presidents. About the same time she was elected President of the Baltimore Conference Society, which office she held until the time of her death.

She made three visits to the East with her husband—one in 1888, again in 1900, and the last in 1907. During these visits she thoroughly informed herself of the nature and needs of the mission fields of our Church in the East; and was thus enabled upon her return to quicken the interest and zeal of the women of the Church in this great enterprise of giving the Gospel to the heathen. After her first visit to China she published her book, "Letters from the Orient," which has had a wide circulation and was especially fruitful in giving the Church a sympathetic and interesting account of the condition of women in heathen countries.

The Susan Bond Wilson School, situated at Sung Kiang, China, is a loving tribute of the women of the Baltimore Conference to this consecrated lady who has done so much for the uplift of that great Empire.

But Mrs. Wilson did not find her only, nor indeed her chief, field of endeavor in the Far East. She was first of all a Home Missionary. Beginning with the tenderest and sweetest interests of her home life, she was above all else a wife and mother. Into the sacred circle of her home life she brought the highest ideals of Southern womanhood. Under conditions which called for the constant ministrations of the most tender motherhood, on the one hand, and the most scholarly interest and sympathy on the other, she held her supreme position in the home life as one anointed of God. She made her home happy as well as good. Nothing ever checked the flow of her genial spirit. She was the life of the home. Her genuine hospitality and gracious manner attracted an ever-increasing host of friends who loved to share the charm of her companionship. The young people loved her. One of her most cherished ministries was her Bible Class in the Sunday-School of Trinity Church composed of graduate students of Johns Hopkins University. Her wonderful versatility of Bible knowledge enabled her to hold and instruct this class of young men from year to year, and to send them out to their important fields of professional service with the impress of her love for her Lord upon them.

During the last years of her life she was called in the providence of her Lord to pass through a long-continued season of suffering. For these years of bodily anguish, borne with unfaltering faith and with uncomplaining confidence and with never-failing courage and hope, there is no answer on earth, except "the far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory."

No woman in the history of our Church has been so widely known and none more universally beloved. She seemed the mother of us all. The delineation of her character will hardly ever be attempted by mortal man. We will tell of her sweet and gentle influence, which was like sunshine, bringing health and happiness to all. We will write of her great usefulness in her home land and in many other lands where she has brought the message of a Saviour's love to all the world with her own gracious words of hope and comfort. We will speak of her self-sacrificing zeal for the world-wide spread of the Gospel. We will cherish as a holy memory her patient suffering and her constant testimony to the sufficiency of a Saviour's grace and care; but in vain will be the attempt to portray in words or fashion in the speech of man the loveliness of her character. Her record is on high. Her life among us was a constant revelation of the attractiveness of our holy religion.

She was tenderly laid to rest in beautiful Loudon Park Cemetery amid the shadows of a quiet evening while the hope of all turned toward the day-dawn of a glorious resurrection.

F. J. PRETTYMAN.

JOSEPH PETER HOUCK.

For twenty-eight years Joseph Peter Houck was a lay member of this Conference, serving continuously through those years on the Joint Board of Finance, first as secretary, then as treasurer, and no man among us gave more patient and sympathetic consideration to the work of the Board, especially to the condition and needs of our Superannuates, their widows and orphans.

His deep interest in all our proceedings, his cheerful contribution of time, labor and money to the work of the Conference, together with his genial fellowship, made his name a household word among the men of this body, and his service for his local church was quite as efficient and faithful as it was for this Conference.

He delighted in the company of his brethren, and hailed these annual meetings as the chief feast of the year. Meanwhile in his own spacious and comfortable home he was a royal host, dispensing a hospitality as bountiful as it was cordial.

Brother Houck was born in Pennsylvania. In 1866 he and William Milnes, with their families and relatives, making seventeen adults in the company, moved to Virginia and located at Shenandoah Iron Works, now Shenandoah City, the entire company bringing their certificates of membership from the Methodist Episcopal Church, and, at their own instance, were organized by the Presiding Elder of the Rockingham District into what proved to be the nucleus of our Church at that place.

In 1880 he moved to Harrisonburg, Va., where he was honored as one of its most reputable citizens, respected and esteemed as an earnest and useful Christian, and loved as a true friend, and where, after a brief illness, he died June 16th, 1908.

J. W. DUFFEY.

IN MEMORIAM.

Our Brethren Who Have Fallen On Sleep Since 1866.

"They * * rest from their labors, and their works do follow them."

AME.	Entered Confer- ence.	PLACE OF DEATH.	TIME.	AGE.	In Ministry.
Eldridge R. Veitch.....	1831	Stephens City, Va.....	1867, Feb. 10 ..	57	36
David Trout.....	1837	Front Royal, Va.....	1869, Dec. 6....	74	32
Daniel H. Parrish.....	1855	Baltimore City.....	1871, Feb.	37	16
John L. Gibbons.....	1822	Baltimore County, Md.....	1871, July.....	70	51
David Shoaff.....	1848	Woodstock, Va.....	1871, May 26 ..	48	23
Stephen Smith.....	1830	Berkeley Springs, Va.....	1871, Oct 9.....	69	41
James D. Nally (prob.)	1870	Bladensburg, Md.....	1871.....	26	1
William G. Cross.....	1846	Leesburg, Va.....	1873, Aug. 4 ...	51	27
John W. Bull.....	1843	Alexandria, Va.....	1873, Dec. 25...	54	30
Lewis Henley (prob.)	1873	Greenbrier Co., W. Va.....	1874, Jan. 19...	24	1
Richard S. Shreve.....	1869	Botetourt County, Va.....	1874, June 25...	34	5
William S. Baird.....	1842	Frederick County, Va.....	1874, Aug. 3 ...	59	32
Lorenzo D. Nixon.....	1854	Loudoun County, Va.....	1875, Jan. 28...	63	21
James F. Liggett.....	1855	Churchville, Va.....	1875, April	44	20
Foushee C. Tebbs.....	1845	Poolsville, Md.....	1875, Nov. 18...	55	30
Jason P. Etchison.....	1852	Arlington, Md.....	1876, May 31 ...	55	24
Norval Wilson.....	1821	Near Charlestown, W. Va...	1876, Aug. 9 ...	73	55
Henry C. Pitzer.....	1872	Union, W. Va.....	1877, Feb. 8 ...	32	5
William G. Coe.....	1854	Lewisburg, W. Va.....	1877, Mch. 29...	45	23
Dabney Ball.....	1843	Baltimore City.....	1878, Feb. 15 ...	58	35
William J. Perry.....	1858	Staunton, Va.....	1878, May 12 ...	39	20
John N. Gray.....	1860	Hampshire Co., W. Va.....	1878, Oct. 13...	39	18
George G. Brooke.....	1829	Berryville, Va.....	1878, Dec. 8....	69	49
James Higgins.....	1867	Baltimore City.....	1879, Feb. 13 ...	70	12
Thomas B. Sargent.....	1825	Baltimore City.....	1879, Oct. 13...	74	54
Wm. H. Wheelwright.....	1846	Warren County, Va.....	1879, Dec. 17...	55	33
Michael P. Scanlan.....	1874	Waverly, Md.....	1879, Dec. 26...	26	5
John L. Gilbert.....	1842	Brucetown, Va.....	1880, Jan. 28...	61	38
Ezra F. Busey.....	1842	Baltimore City.....	1880, May 13...	60	38
Jehu Hank.....	1828	Monroe County, W. Va.....	1880, July 7 ...	79	52
Enoch G. Jamison.....	1841	Newport, Va.....	1880, Dec. 10 ...	60	39
Benjamin Arbogast.....	1857	Winchester, Va.....	1881, Mch. 31...	50	24
George B. Allen.....	1861	Harford County, Md.....	1881, Sept. 4...	51	20
Samuel Regester.....	1840	Prince George's Co., Md....	1881, Oct. 17...	63	41
S. Samuel Roszell.....	1838	Fauquier Co., Va.....	1882, April 27...	70	44
John Poisal.....	1827	Baltimore City.....	1882, June 25...	75	55
Edward G. Vandiver.....	1876	Lewisburg, W. Va.....	1883, Sept. 3...	31	7
Samuel Kepler.....	1827	Baltimore City.....	1884, Aug. 1 ...	80	57
William H. Wilson.....	1844	Washington City.....	1884.....	60	40
Charles L. Torreyson.....	1859	Fairfield, Va.....	1885, April 29...	52	26
Alexander B. Blue.....	1876	Rockbridge Baths, Va.....	1885, June 6...	34	9
James H. March.....	1842	Middletown, Va.....	1885, July 30 ...	65	43
George W. Lightner.....	1868	Falmouth, Va.....	1886, Feb. 14 ...	81	18
Frank M. Strother.....	1877	Markham, Va.....	1886, Oct. 29...	34	9
Leonidas R. Greene.....	1876	Roanoke City, Va.....	1886, Nov. 23 ...	33	10
William L. Lynch.....	1872	Berryville, Va.....	1887, Mch. 26...	45	15
S. Holland Engle (prob.).....	1886	Berryville, Va.....	1887, June 12...	22	1
Thomas Hildebrand.....	1838	Monterey, Va.....	1887, Nov. 28 ...	71	49
Joseph N. Spangler.....	1845	Baltimore City.....	1887, Dec. 27...	67	42
James Beaty.....	1850	Moorefield, W. Va.....	1888, April 18...	61	38
John S. Martin.....	1835	Baltimore City.....	1888, July 8 ...	73	53
William R. Stringer.....	1855	Reisterstown, Md.....	1888, Nov. 15 ...	54	33
Lewis C. Miller.....	1863	Harrisonburg, Va.....	1889, April 25...	49	26
Gilson Mauzy.....	1851	Rockingham County, Va...	1889, Nov.....	75	38
George R. Jefferson.....	1855	Parnassus, Va.....	1890, Jan. 8....	57	35
Wm. T. Quinn.....	1856	Baltimore City.....	1890, Feb. 26 ...	61	34
Andrew Robey.....	1860	Edinburg, Va.....	1890, Mch. 14...	67	30
Paris M. Henderson (Pr.)...	1889	Catawba, Roanoke Co., Va..	1890, April 13...	22	1
Samuel S. Troy.....	1882	Franklin, Va.....	1890, July 12...	29	8
Maurice C. Mehrling (Pr.)..	1888	Near Frederick City, Md...	1890, Sept. 13...	22	2
John W. Ewan.....	1853	Washington, D. C.....	1890, Dec. 29...	59	38
Alfred A. Eskridge.....	1830	Staunton, Va.....	1891, Jan. 16...	92	62
Francis A. Richey.....	1843	Woodstock, Va.....	1891, Feb. 21 ...	73	48
John Landstreet.....	1848	Martinsburg, W. Va.....	1891, Nov. 21...	73	43

IN MEMORIAM—Continued.

NAME.	Entered Confer- ence.	PLACE OF DEATH.	TIME.	AGE.	In Ministry
Presley B. Smith.....	1853	California	1891, June 1...	59	38
Edward F. Heterick.....	1857	Frederick County, Va.....	1891, May 28...	70	34
Henry Hoffman.....	1841	Keyser, W. Va.....	1892, Jan. 12...	78	41
John C. Dice	1848	Upperville, Va.....	1892, April 5...	71	44
R. Stewart Cunningham....	1877	Monterey, Va.....	1892, Dec. 22...	38	16
Samuel D. Bennington (Pr.)	1891	Springfield, W. Va.....	1892, Sept. 20...	61	16
Charles M. Brown.....	1875	Front Royal, Va.....	1893, June 7...	40	18
George Stevenson.....	1841	Stephens City, Va.....	1893, July 8...	83	52
William W. Hedrick.....	1875	Newport, Va.....	1893, Oct. 10...	66	18
Samuel W. Haddaway.....	1869	Washington, D. C.....	1893, Oct. 26...	43	24
William A. Wade.....	1868	Washington, D. C.....	1893, Dec. 4...	67	25
M. G. Balthis.....	1861	New Market, Md.....	1894, June.....	57	33
John W. Wolfe.....	1846	Springfield, W. Va.....	1894, June 6...	69	48
Samuel Rodgers.....	1848	Baltimore Md.....	1894, Nov. 1...	69	46
Milton M. Long.....	1887	Berkeley Springs, W. Va...	1894, Dec. 30...	37	8
David Thomas.....	1833	Baltimore, Md.....	1895, Jan. 3...	87	62
Wm. K. Boyle.....	1860	Hyattsville, Md.....	1895, Feb. 20...	55	35
Silas R. Snapp	1867	Cedarville, Va.....	1895, Mch. 10...	61	28
John W. Boteler.....	1851	Washington, D. C.....	1896, April 12...	67	45
Joshua M. Grandin.....	1845	Contee's Md.....	1896.....	82	51
Robert Ross.....	1868	Allegany County, Md.....	1896, Dec. 13...	84	28
Joseph H. Temple.....	1855	Churchville, Va.....	1897, Mch. 27...	69	42
Felix M. Totten.....	1876	McDowell, Va.....	1898, Mch. 15...	46	22
George H. Zimmerman.....	1860	Baltimore, Md.....	1898, Nov. 3...	60	35
John W. Tongue.....	1844	Washington, D. C.....	1898, Dec. 31...	78	58
Alfred O. Armstrong.....	1876	Rockville, Md.....	1899, Jan. 29...	44	23
Jefferson D. Martin.....	1883	Lewisburg, W. Va.....	1899, Sept. 2...	40	16
J. Newman Hank.....	1851	Baltimore, Md.....	1899, Dec. 10...	72	48
James M. Follansbee.....	1849	Glade Spring, Va.....	1900, Jan. 12...	76	51
Wesley Hammond.....	1864	Romney, W. Va.....	1900, Feb. 21...	62	36
Archibald C. Hamill.....	1891	Greenbank, W. Va.....	1900, Feb. 24...	64	9
Francis M. Mills.....	1832	Neosho, Mo.....	1900, Mch. 10...	88	68
Edward H. Henry.....	1860	Marshall, Va.....	1900, April 7...	67	40
J. Hoffman Waugh.....	1842	Harrisonburg, Va.....	1900, Aug. 10...	86	58
Thomas Briley.....	1859	Mount Jackson, Va.....	1900, April 19...	64	41
John C. Jones.....	1877	Romney, W. Va.....	1900, Aug. 23...	47	23
Henry H. Kennedy.....	1868	Charles Town, W. Va.....	1901, May 21...	54	33
Jacob M. Eavey.....	1889	Crab Bottom, Va.....	1901, Aug. 16...	41	12
Samuel M. Bowman.....	1889	Mt. Sidney, Va.....	1901, April 21...	50	12
Francis A. Mercer	1855	Baltimore, Md.....	1902, Jan. 15...	72	47
Nelson Head	1834	Leesburg, Va.....	1902, June 15...	91	69
Thomas E. Carson.....	1853	Roanoke, Va.....	1902, Oct. 18...	70	49
Robert R. S. Hough.....	1854	Fredericksburg, Va.....	1902, April 19...	68	48
Rumsey Smithson	1856	Mansfield, Ill.....	1902, June 12...	68	46
John W. Cante	1859	Dunlap, W. Va.....	1902, June 21...	68	43
Larkin H. Crenshaw	1859	Loudoun County, Va.....	1902, Sept. 22...	70	43
William A. McDonald.....	1866	Baltimore, Md.....	1902, May 14...	64	36
Charles G. Linthicum.....	1848	Prince George's Co., Md...	1903, Oct. 25...	81	55
Saul B. Dolly.....	1856	Fredericksburg, Va.....	1903, Aug. 8...	76	47
Thomas J. Miller	1887	Hedgesville, Va.....	1903, Oct. 1...	39	16
Sydnor G. Ferguson.....	1872	Leesburg, Va.....	1904, Mch. 7...	59	32
J. C. Sedwick.....	1872	Calvert County, Md.....	1904, April 13...	62	32
Aaron Boon.....	1857	Luray, Va.....	1904, Dec. 31...	71	47
Philip S. E. Sixeas.....	1856	Newcastle, Va.....	1904, Dec. 23...	72	48
Henry D. Bishop.....	1869	Covington, Va.....	1905, May 13...	63	37
Sylvanus Townsend.....	1859	Bohemia Manor, Md.....	1906, Feb. 1...	69	47
Jas. S. Porter.....	1857	Front Royal, Va.....	1906, Mch 27...	72	49
Quincy A. Wheat.....	1872	Staunton, Va.....	1906, Mch 31...	62	34
Peter H. Whisner.....	1861	Baltimore, Md.....	1906, April 21...	68	45
Charles A. Joyce.....	1861	Gambrill's, Md.....	1906, May 9...	70	45
William O. Ross.....	1869	Abbeville, S. C.....	1906, Nov. 26...	69	37
Lewis G. Martin.....	1868	Aberdeen, Md.....	1907, Feb. 22...	67	39
James H. Boyd.....	1875	Roanoke, Va.....	1907, Mch. 30...	57	32
John O. Tackett.....	1879	Remington, Va.....	1907, May 20...	59	28
Lewis H. Graybill.....	1859	Botetourt County, Va.....	1907, May 25...	73	48
Robert Smith.....	1846	Manassas, Va.....	1907, Aug. 27...	88	61
Bernard B. White.....	1903	Mt. Jackson, Va.....	1907, Sept. 14...	51	4
James S. Gardner.....	1853	Middletown, Va.....	1907, Nov. 14...	78	54
Frederick A. Gaines.....	1894	Forrest Grove, Md.....	1907, Feb. 10...	46	14
James E. Armstrong.....	1853	Roanoke, Va.....	1908, April 7...	78	55
William G. Eggleston.....	1837	Middletown, Va.....	1908, April 25...	93	71
Walter Neal Simpson.....	(Sup.	Prince Frederick, Md.....	1908, Aug. 20...	30	

Randolph-Macon College and the Baltimore Conference.

The relation of Randolph-Macon College to the Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, is a matter of record. Our Conference Minutes show that on Wednesday morning, February 14, 1866, at its session in Alexandria, Virginia, the Baltimore Conference adopted the following resolution: "*Resolved*, That a Committee be appointed to confer with the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, and also with the Virginia Annual Conference, at its next session, as to any plan by which that institution can be made available for this Conference." "Norval Wilson, Samuel Regester, Eldridge R. Veitch, John S. Martin and Dr. Thomas E. Bond were appointed the committee." (Minutes, 1866, pages 17, 18.)

On Thursday, March 7, 1867, at the Conference held in Trinity Church, Baltimore, Maryland, a committee of five was appointed for the purpose of conferring with brethren from the Virginia and Holston Conferences, representing the Randolph-Macon and Emory and Henry Colleges. The following was the committee: S. Samuel Roszel, John Poisal, Robert R. S. Hough, William S. Baird, John Landstreet. (Minutes, 1867, page 8.) Before the Conference of that year representing the interests of Randolph-Macon appeared Col. Johnson, President of Randolph-Macon, and, speaking in behalf of the report adopted by the Conference were the following members of the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College: Bishops Early and Doggett and Dr. Leroy M. Lee, of the Virginia Conference. (Minutes, 1867, pages 9, 10.) The following is the resolution adopted. "Whereas, this Conference, at its last session, appointed a Committee to confer with the Trustees of 'Randolph-Macon' College, and also with the Virginia Annual Conference, as to any plan by which that institution can be made available to this Conference; and as the Trustees of 'Randolph-Macon' College have invited the Baltimore Conference to unite with the Virginia and North Carolina Conferences in the government and patronage of said College, and propose to give us four trustees at as early a date as possible, to be nominated by the Baltimore Conference, and elected by the trustees of 'Randolph-Macon';

"And whereas, the long list of thorough and accomplished graduates who have gone out from this institution, occupying high social and intellectual preferment in our land, together with the assured scholarly attainments of its present faculty, speaks honorably for the past and hopefully for the future; therefore,

"*Resolved*, That this Conference accepts the proposition of the Board of Trustees of 'Randolph-Macon' College to give its patronage to said institution and to participate, equally with the other patronizing Con-

ferences in its government and privileges; and we hereby nominate four suitable persons to be elected trustees for this Conference, to represent our interests in said Board.

"Resolved, That when a Trustee shall locate, it shall be his duty to resign.

"Resolved, That we will give the full weight of our influence in extending the patronage of 'Randolph-Macon' College." (Minutes, 1867, page 21.)

The last business of that Conference before the reading of the appointments was to nominate these four members of the Conference to be Trustees of Randolph-Macon College. S. Samuel Roszël, John Poisal, Samuel Regester, John Landstreet. (Minutes, 1867, pages 12, 33.)

In 1868, at its session in Central Church, Baltimore, Maryland, the Conference adopted resolutions containing the following statements: "At its last session the Conference fully committed itself to Randolph-Macon College, and it is now represented in the Board of Trustees by four of its ministers. * * * We are obviously bound by every consideration of honor and interest to do all we can to sustain this noble institution. * * * It is, therefore, as we conceive, obligatory upon Ministers and Laymen to avail themselves of every opportunity to advance its interests, and make it as an educational institution the pride of Southern Methodism." (Minutes, 1868, page 21.)

These are the official actions of the Conference—the origin of the tie that binds Randolph-Macon College to this Conference, and establishes the relation that for forty-two years has not been revoked, altered or changed.

The facts are certain and the interpretation thereof sure. The official record shows:

1. That the Baltimore Conference, as a Conference, in regular Conference session—that is, the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, acting legally through one of her constitutionally qualified bodies—appointed a committee consisting of five of her ablest, most experienced, most distinguished and most trusted brethren to confer with the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, and also with the Virginia Conference, to devise some plan by which that institution could be made available for this Conference. Here, at the fountain-head of the relationship then established and still subsisting, are five members of this Conference, not acting for themselves, but for the Church as existing within the Baltimore Conference, conferring with the Virginia Conference—another constitutionally established body of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South—and with the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College.

2. The Trustees of Randolph-Macon College and the Virginia Conference were willing and anxious to do more than simply to make the College available for the Baltimore Conference; those Trustees invited the

Baltimore Conference, as an integral part of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, to unite with the Virginia and North Carolina Conferences in the government and patronage of the College.

3. The Trustees of Randolph-Macon College proposed to give this Conference, as a Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South—that is, those Trustees proposed to give the Church within the territory of the Baltimore Conference—four trustees, which trustees were to be nominated by this Conference and elected by the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College.

4. The Trustees so nominated by the Baltimore Conference and elected by the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College were not to represent themselves on that Board of Trustees, but they were nominated by the Conference and elected by the Trustees to represent the Baltimore Conference. Solely because these brethren were members of the Baltimore Conference were they nominated by the Conference and elected by the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College to membership in that Board, and they were elected to that membership as representatives of the Baltimore Conference.

5. The agreement entered into, the plan as finally perfected by the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College and the Virginia Conference, of the one part, and the Baltimore Conference, of the other part, was that the Baltimore Conference was “to unite with the Virginia and North Carolina Conferences in the government and patronage of said College.”

6. In entering into this compact, in accepting the invitation of the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, the valuable consideration given by the Baltimore Conference, acting as a Conference, in regular Conference session, in the very terms of the resolution adopted by the Conference in 1867, was “to give its patronage to said institution,” “to give the full weight of the Conference influence in extending the patronage of Randolph-Macon,” and the valuable consideration received by the Baltimore Conference, acting as a Conference, in regular Conference session, as stated in its resolution, was “to participate, equally with the other patronizing Conferences in its government and privileges.”

7. The four trustees nominated by the Baltimore Conference as representatives of the Conference and elected by the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College to membership in that Board as representatives of the Baltimore Conference, in pursuance of the action had by the Virginia Conference and the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College and in compliance with their request, were the beginning of an uninterrupted stream of Trustees, every one of whom to this day holds his membership in that Board, not personally, but as a representative of this Conference.

8. So entirely was this a Conference matter, a Church matter, that the Baltimore Conference specifically declared that when a Trustee shall locate it shall be his duty to resign.

That in thus acting the Baltimore Conference was the Methodist Church within the territory of that Conference, and not the individual persons she nominated and whom the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College elected to membership in that Board, no words could possibly make plainer than the resolutions quoted above.

This is the basis upon which this Conference at the beginning placed its connection with Randolph-Macon College, and this and this alone is the basis upon which that connection has been continued. As in the beginning of this relationship the Trustees from this Conference were elected to represent the Baltimore Conference; and as they took their places on the Board in the capacity of representatives of this Conference, and, except as representatives of the Conference, they had no right on the Board; so the present Trustees for the Baltimore Conference are members of the Board, not as individuals, but they are members of that Board in regular succession to the Trustees originally elected from the Conference to represent the Baltimore Conference, and, except as representatives of this Conference, they have no right on that Board. But for the fact that these brethren were members of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, within the territory of the Baltimore Conference, not one of them would have been elected a Trustee of Randolph-Macon College.

Our official records show nothing inconsistent with these facts; on the contrary, throughout they all speak the same thing, and there be no divisions among them.

In his report to the Conference in 1872, James A. Duncan, President of the College, says: "Our College originated not in the hope of finding a cheaper system of education, but in the wish to have the education of our sons under the Christian oversight of our own Church, and also that our graduates might supply the demand for teachers in Methodist families and schools." (Minutes, 1872, page 40.)

His report to the Conference in 1873 says: "The gratifying representation the College gives to the world of the dutiful work our Church is doing in the field of education * * * You brethren are the guardians of Randolph-Macon College. * * * In this College work let us remember that we, as a Church, are neither pioneers, ahead of our times, nor yet in labors more abundant than others. The world around us, simply in the name of secular and social improvements, is vigorously active in this work, which we attempt in a higher name and for nobler ends. The most certain method, as we all very well know, of losing our children to our Church is that of educating them out of it." (Minutes of 1873, pages 49, 52, 53.)

That same year Rev. Dr. Alexander G. Brown, Financial Secretary of Randolph-Macon College, in his official report to the Conference, says: "When at your session in this city in March, 1867, you adopted the College conjointly with the Virginia Conference as your College,

it was comparatively free of debt. Its assets over and above its liabilities were worth \$58,700. You did not therefore identify your Conference with a *broken* Institution; nor did you enter into *any embarrassing financial relations*. Without the payment of a *dollar* you became the joint owners and controllers of a College worth every cent of \$58,700, in excess of *liabilities*." (Minutes, 1873, page 55.)

In 1874 Dr. Brown's report to the Conference says: "Randolph-Macon College is a Church Institution founded by our Church * * * As a Church Institution, the supreme object of our College is to do good; it is a noble effort of our Church to accomplish, in part, her great mission to mankind through the potent agencies of sanctified learning. Randolph-Macon, therefore, differs from all the Colleges and Universities of the State or of individual enterprise, in this, that it was established upon the great and blessed principle of Christian beneficence * * * Thus by doing the greatest possible good to the largest numbers, whom it is practicable for us to reach, we are but demonstrating the legitimate problem of our existence, doing the very work of the Church for which the College was instituted by our Sainted Fathers * * * In sustaining the College, the Church is but cherishing her own offspring and fostering one of her most honored and efficient agencies for good." (Minutes, 1874, pages 53, 54.)

In 1875, James A. Duncan reported to the Conference as follows: "Last April, at the call of the Board of Trustees, a convention of certain representatives of the two patronizing Conferences was held in Richmond, to consider the interests of the College, and especially to concert measures for raising a building and endowment fund. In this meeting the Baltimore Conference was ably represented."

The "Proceedings of the Convention in the Interests of Randolph-Macon College," bound in the Minutes of 1875 between pages 50 and 51, set forth that a committee was appointed by the Convention, "to prepare and publish an address setting forth the action of the Convention, and urging upon our people their hearty co-operation in our efforts for the College." This committee consisted of the following: James A. Duncan, Leroy M. Lee, John C. Granbery, Joseph A. Proctor, F. M. Edwards, W. H. Christian, John R. Kilby, John H. Saunders, George M. Bayne, Jr., Joseph E. Brodwater, Alpheus W. Wilson, Samuel Register, Patrick Hamill, Frank Hereford, Sydnor G. Ferguson, A. S. Hamilton, J. H. H. Figgatt, William Milnes, Jr.

The address to "our people" says: "The Convention was only that part of yourselves which could be made available to speak in the name of the common good * * * The Church we would build up is your own Church. The College belongs to you. As your agents, we make a report to our principals, setting forth interests you expect us to represent." John C. Granbery, Secretary of the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, reports to the Conference, as follows. "Richmond,

March 3, 1875.—To the Presiding Bishop and Members of the Baltimore Annual Conference of the M. E. Church, South: Dear Brethren—By instruction of the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, I send you this communication * * * The action of the convention was cordially endorsed by the Trustees at their annual meeting in June." (Minutes, 1875, pages 46, 52, 53.)

These are but a few sentences culled from many pages officially presented to the Conference by men qualified to speak for the Trustees. Let no man say these statements are vague and inaccurate, are not binding in law, meaning by law the common law, statutory enactments and judicial decisions founded thereon. This Conference has put itself on higher and safer ground than law thus limited; it stands on the eternal and immutable ground of moral right. These duly qualified officers of the College spoke for the College in the presence of numbers of the Trustees and without repudiation by the Trustees then or at any other time, and on the faith of these representations our Methodist people gave gifts and the members of this Conference gave influential and enthusiastic work for the interests of the College.

What has the Conference been saying all these years? In 1884 the report of the Conference Board of Education adopted by the Conference specifically mentions the property relationship of the different educational institutions under the patronage of the Conference, calling attention to those owned by private parties and to those owned by the Church. The report says: "Randolph-Macon College, at Ashland, Virginia, is the joint property of the Virginia and the Baltimore Conference, is controlled by a Board of Trustees selected from the bounds of both, and its other sources of income are supplemented by their entire educational collections * * * The Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College at their last session in June, 1883, appointed a Committee from the bounds of this Conference to secure, if possible, an action by this body, at its present session, recommending the endowment of that institution to the membership of the Church within its bounds as an object specially worthy of their centenary offering to the cause of Christian education. And the Board of Education, in view of the great importance of that institution to our Conference, its peculiar and pressing needs, one (our?) joint proprietary interest therein with the Virginia Conference, and a similar action already had to this effect by that body, do so recommend." (Minutes, 1884, pages 28, 29.)

In 1903 the report of the Conference Board of Education adopted by the Conference says of Randolph-Macon Academy, Front Royal, Virginia: "Part of Randolph-Macon System, owned and governed jointly by Virginia and Baltimore Conferences." (Minutes, 1903, page 66.)

In years when special efforts to raise money for the different branches of Randolph-Macon College were made it will be noticed that the note of Church ownership and control was strong and clear; and this was

natural and proper, for our people to whom appeal was made to give to the work of the Church, needed again and again to be reminded that in giving to Randolph-Macon College they were giving to the Church; in patronizing Randolph-Macon College they were promoting the interests of the Church, because Randolph-Macon College was in reality owned by the Church.

In the beginning the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College did not own for themselves the property they held in trust; it was owned by the Church and held by those Trustees in trust for the Church. At its last session, in Roanoke, Virginia, one year ago, the Baltimore Conference declared that, "The Methodists of the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, have been and are morally the owners of the Randolph-Macon System." The Baltimore Conference again solemnly and positively declares that the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, within the territory of the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences, is the rightful, the moral owner of everything held by the corporation known as Randolph-Macon College. Sometimes in the narrow and technical view of rights, when rights are confined to what is specifically set forth in statute books, or in that body of traditional customs known as common law, there is room to dispute the title of the legal owner; but in that higher and holier, that untechnical sphere of moral rights, in which last sphere even the so-called "perfect rights" of the statute book must find their true ground, there is no room, there can be no room, to dispute the title of the moral owner.

The claim that "the term 'moral ownership' is too vague and inaccurate to be used in definition" was a great surprise to the members of this Conference, as was also the assertion that no clear meaning could be given to that term and no clear conception of it formed. Is the term "moral ownership" too vague, too tenuous, too uncertain, to be clearly understood? Illustrations abound. Let us call attention to one. When, in 1886, the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, began work in Japan, no law existed under which the Church could own property in that country. To meet the needs of her expanding work property was purchased by the Church, but the legal title was vested in some of her recent converts from heathenism. The legal title to all her property in Japan was in these Japanese Christians, not as trustees, but *in propria persona*. The Church was not even nominated in the bond, her name did not appear as any party in interest, neither as the legal owner, nor as the *cestui que trust*, nor as the moral, the rightful, owner. Of all this property the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, non-existent in the Japanese law, was the moral owner, and this moral ownership was claimed by the Church, was recognized as a fact by the Japanese people, and was freely admitted by those Japanese brethren in whom the Church had placed the legal title. Those recent converts, the brethren in whom the Church vested absolutely the title to all her property in Japan, could have denied

the moral rights of the Church, could have personally appropriated all the property, and had they done so, by the Courts of Japan their action must have been upheld. Japanese law would have afforded no remedy to the Church. Indeed, this is exactly what happened with the great Congregational College known as the "Doshisha," in Kyoto, Japan. The Congregational Church of this country had bought those spacious grounds, had erected those college halls and professorial residences, had supplied most, though not all, of the money used in that famous Institution. The legal title to all this property was vested in that man of precious memory, one of the first fruits of Christianity in Japan, Joseph Neesima, and in his associates. All went well while Neesima lived, but at his death his associates denied the moral ownership of the Congregational Church, alleged the legal ownership in themselves and held the property in spite of the claim and the outcry of the moral owners. Is the Methodist Church within the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences to find Randolph-Macon College a "Doshisha," and that, too, by the action of our own Conference brethren?

About five years ago, under a recently enacted Japanese law, our property in Japan was vested in what is called the Shadan, a body composed of our missionaries resident in Japan. The transfer of title from the individual legal owners, these recently converted Japanese, to the Shadan—an artificial creature of Japanese law—was made without even the suggestion that moral ownership was either a vague term or a vague fact.

That charter of American liberty, the Bill of Rights of the State of Virginia, in the Sixteenth Section, most truly declares, "That no free government, or the blessing of liberty, can be preserved to any people but by a firm adherence to justice, moderation, temperance, frugality and virtue, and by a frequent recurrence to fundamental principles." That vast body of people, unknown and unrecognized by the law of Virginia, but known and recognized by the Lord God Almighty, the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, in the past and today has led unnumbered thousands of the people of Virginia to adhere firmly to justice, moderation, temperance, frugality and virtue. The Church may not have a legal existence in Virginia, but she has an actual existence, and for the pulling down of the strongholds of Satan and for the bringing in of the Kingdom of God she has been and is a mighty power. This Church, the owner in right, the moral owner, of the buildings in Virginia in which we assemble to worship God, as well as the owner in right, the moral owner, of Randolph-Macon College, has such a real existence that every member of this Conference and every member of our Church has most solemnly given himself to her to be used of God according to His will. The only ownership the Church can possess is a moral ownership. To deny that ownership is to deny all ownership by the Church.

The moral ownership by the Church of Randolph-Macon College consists in the fact that for the ends the Church by repeated public an-

nouncement declared it was her duty and her purpose to promote, men and women, largely though not entirely Methodists, gave property in trust to a legally constituted holding body whose members were selected by the Church to hold that property for the Church. The announcement was made and has continued to be made, and was and is fact, that this was an enterprise of the Church begun in a higher name and conducted for nobler ends than any merely secular institution could avow, whose purpose was to meet a duty laid on the Church by our Lord Himself. That "the College is doing a great work for our Church and for the cause of Christianity," that "it stands for Christ and the Methodist Church" (Minutes, 1890), were the declarations published alike to the world and to the Church. At the beginning the donors were informed and clearly understood, and until very recently without variation of statement have continued to be informed and have clearly understood, that in giving to the corporation chartered under the name of Randolph-Macon College they were giving to the Methodist Episcopal Church, South. In every action since 1867, in which year began the official relation of the College to the Conference, the members of this Conference have understood that in working for the College they were working for the Church, because as fully as the laws of the State of Virginia would allow the Church to own property, the College was the property of the Church. By tongue and pen, by the officials of Randolph-Macon College, especially by the Chancellor, the appeal was continually made to the Church within the territory of the Baltimore Conference, "to pay one-third of the expenses of maintaining and developing the work" of Randolph-Macon in all its branches, to raise \$50,000 for endowment, of which sum, in 1893, \$10,000 was said to have been paid. Only seven years ago, in 1902, by the same authority the Church and the public were told "We are pushing for \$1,000,000. Let no Methodist feel that he has done his whole duty until he has made his contribution to the work of the Randolph-Macon System." The ground for this call specifically set forth was that "Randolph-Macon in all its branches belongs jointly to the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South. The Baltimore Conference has equal rights to all the advantages of the five institutions, and is represented on the Board of Trustees in proportion to membership, furnishing one-third of that body."

Year in and year out these and similar statements were officially made. The ownership then announced, admitted, used as a fulcrum to secure patronage and gifts, was a moral ownership, an ownership grounded where only true ownership can be grounded—in moral right, and the Church has done nothing to forfeit, nor has she forfeited that moral ownership, the ownership in right.

Therefore, Resolved by the Baltimore Annual Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, in Conference assembled:

1. That in all its branches Randolph-Macon College is and of a right ought to be acknowledged as morally and in righteousness the property of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, within the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences.

2. That the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College from this Conference, who hold their position as representatives of the Conference, are requested and are confidently expected to take formal action as Trustees, in conformity with the formal action they have repeatedly taken in Conference session as members of the Conference, to acknowledge the moral ownership by the Church of Randolph-Macon College, so that never hereafter can this fact be called in question.

3. That a copy of these resolutions with the accompanying statement, signed by the President and Secretary of the Conference, be sent to the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College.

COLLINS DENNY,
J. A. KERN,
F. J. PRETTYMAN,
B. W. BOND,
E. V. REGESTER,
HENRY P. HAMILL.

RESOLUTIONS OF THE BALTIMORE CONFERENCE OF THE M. E.
CHURCH, SOUTH, REQUESTING A CHANGE IN THE
CHARTER OF RANDOLPH-MACON COLLEGE.

From the Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, as it existed in the past, the Baltimore Conference as it exists today received a noble heritage. To guard that heritage as a sacred trust is both a privilege and an obligation, not simply for the benefit of the people over whom by the will of God we have the pastoral oversight, but also for the generations yet unborn. To fail to defend that heritage against even a possible damage is to fail in duty. What are the functions of the members of this body who from year to year assemble for a conference on the interests of the Kingdom of God? To observe things in the beginning, to foresee and foretell them to others, within the narrowest compass to contract possible evils, and to give the widest scope to all that tends to the good of men and glory of God. We, the members of the Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, in order to provide for the common defense and promote the general welfare of the Methodist people within our territory, must take account of the future. Fidelity and ability have heretofore characterized the members and the work of the Board of Trus-

tees of Randolph-Macon College. This Conference has trusted them and expects to continue to trust them; but what does the Conference know of the Trustees of the next decade and the next half-century? The Church's ownership of Randolph-Macon College has been questioned; has been denied. The present Board of Trustees, our brethren, fellow-members with us of this Baltimore Conference, have declined to adopt any statement declaring the moral ownership by the Church of Randolph-Macon System; and in defining the relationship of the College to the Church have said that the trust was administered under the auspices and patronage of the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South. Now, this declaration of the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College is not only not satisfactory to the Conference—it is distinctly unsatisfactory. This Conference not only does not approve this statement as a full, correct statement of the actually existing relation of the College to the Church, this Conference distinctly disapproves this statement, and declares that the statement is incorrect, because it is very liable to be misunderstood, because it is partial, and because it says nothing of the most essential feature of the relationship. Again and again, privately owned institutions of learning have had a sympathetic relation to the Conference, have been taken under our auspices, and have received our sanction and patronage. The relation of Randolph-Macon College to the Church is altogether different from privately owned institutions to the Church. The Church owns Randolph-Macon; that College in all its branches is the offspring of the Church, and throughout all its history has been nourished and cherished by the Church. For forty-two years, without the interruption of a single moment, this Conference, as a Conference, has been represented on the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, and this day about one-third the members of the Board are representatives of the Baltimore Conference. For all these years the members of this Conference, by annual and special collections in their charges and by turning patrons to it, with gladness and efficiency, have worked for the College.

Since then the intimate and true relation of the College to the Church has recently been called in question, and since the ownership of the Church has been actually denied, and since the statement of the Trustees does not meet the approval of the Conference, the Baltimore Conference is forced to speak plainly or to fail in her duty. Moreover, having the possibilities of the future before us, we must now speak and act.

To settle, once and forever, the definite relationship of the College to the Church, the Baltimore Conference requests the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College to apply for an amendment to the present Charter, so that hereafter, whenever a Trustee is to be elected, the Board of Trustees shall, in writing, send to the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences the name of the person in the judgment of the existing

Trustees best qualified to serve as Trustee, and the election of such new Trustee shall be held to be valid only after confirmation by the two Conferences, which confirmation shall be certified in writing by the Secretaries of the Conferences.

This Conference is advised by counsel, than whom no abler are to be found, that such a plan would be legal in Virginia; that analogous plans are in existence in that State, and have been declared valid by its Court of Appeals. Again and again the Charter of the College has been amended; what has been done by law can by law again be done. This plan would not touch the self-perpetuating feature of the present Charter; the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon College would still be self-perpetuating. The Board of Trustees would by this plan be doing formally only what it now does informally. The Board now seeks and finds light on the qualifications of men to be elected Trustees of the College. By this plan the great heart of the Methodism of the two Conferences would be drawn closer to the College, friction would be allayed, and to the whole Randolph-Macon System almost certainly would be brought greater prosperity.

Randolph-Macon College was grafted into the Baltimore Conference by Conference action; the first Trustees from this Conference were nominated by the Conference with the understanding and agreement that those so nominated would be elected by the Board of Trustees, and this understanding and agreement was carried out. If the plan herein suggested be illegal, then no act of the Trustees since the representatives of the Baltimore Conference took their places in the Board is legal. So far from such a plan being illegal and in contravention of the decision in the Dartmouth College case, the Trustees of Dartmouth College, after careful discussion and legal advice, as long ago as 1876, provided for Alumni representation, and in 1890, by formal action, gave to its Alumni Association, an unincorporated body, the privilege to nominate one Trustee a year, the Trustees of Dartmouth agreeing to elect the nominee of the Alumni Association.

Therefore, Resolved by the Baltimore Annual Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, in Conference assembled:

1. That the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College be urgently requested at the earliest practicable moment to secure such an amendment to the present College Charter as is herein set forth.

2. That a copy of this paper, signed by the President and Secretary of the Conference, be sent to the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College.

(Signed)

COLLINS DENNY,
J. A. KERN,
F. J. PRETTYMAN,
B. W. BOND,
E. V. REGESTER,
HENRY P. HAMILL.

To the Members of the Baltimore Conference of the M. E. Church, South, and All Others Who May Be Interested—

The following correspondence is published in compliance with a resolution adopted by the Conference, the written consent of the several writers having been first obtained. These correspondents have conferred a great favor not only on me personally, but, through me, on the Conference; and I take advantage of this opportunity to make public acknowledgment of their most generous courtesy.

It must be clearly understood that these correspondents did not thrust themselves into the discussion of this question. The first two correspondents quoted do not even yet know for what College this information was asked. In giving any answer they are simply conferring a favor on a man wholly unknown to them personally, and known only as one engaged in educational work.

The last three correspondents are friends of a lifetime, and have graciously given time and work to answer my questions, because as a friend I called for information I needed and lacked and which they possessed and for friendship's sake were willing to give.

None of my correspondents has expressed any opinion as to the expediency of any change herein suggested. I asked for facts and a statement of law and these are all they have given.

Finally, my correspondents wrote without even a suspicion that they would be asked to allow the publication of their letters. They have written in the style suited to friendly correspondence, not in the formal style suited for the eyes of the public. This fact adds greater interest to what they have written.

COLLINS DENNY.

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TENN., Feby. 5, 1909.

REV. WILLIAM J. TUCKER, LL. D.,
President of Dartmouth College,
Hanover, New Hampshire.

Dear Sir—

A proposed change in the manner of the election of the Trustees of one of our Colleges in an adjoining State has brought forward the Dartmouth College case as applicable. "The Dartmouth College Causes and the Supreme Court of the United States, by John M. Shirley, St. Louis; G. I. Jones & Co., 1879," page 14, states that on April 2, 1878, President S. C. Bartlett issued the following circular entitled "Nomination of Trustees by the Alumni of Dartmouth College." In this state-

ment, too long to quote in full, the Alumni are requested to make nominations for membership in the Board of Trustees. It is specifically set forth in this statement that "while there are certain legal objections to any positive and formal agreement on the subject, it is understood that ordinarily and, in all probability, invariably some one of the persons nominated will be elected to the vacant place."

Will you be kind enough to let me know :

1. Is this statement, giving the Alumni the privilege of nomination for vacancies in the Board, in accord with the facts?

2. Does Dartmouth College publish this plan of Alumni nomination? If so, can you send me a copy of the publication, or tell me where I can obtain it?

3. Is the Alumni Association of Dartmouth an incorporated body, and is this privilege of nomination dependent on the fact that the Association is incorporated?

4. Was legal advice as to the validity of this plan taken, and is that opinion obtainable?

5. On the supposition that some such plan exists, has it worked satisfactorily?

I sincerely regret, being altogether unknown to you, to trouble you with these questions. My apology is the distinction and importance of the great Dartmouth College case.

Truly yours,

COLLINS DENNY,

Professor in Vanderbilt University.

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE,

HANOVER, N. H., Feby. 9, 1909.

My Dear Sir—

In the pamphlet accompanying this letter, you will see a full statement of the course pursued by the Dartmouth Trustees in effecting alumni representation. This statement answers your first question, as also the second.

In answer to the third question, I will say that the Alumni Association of Dartmouth is not an incorporated body, and therefore the privilege of nomination is not dependent on the fact that the association is incorporated.

In answer to your fourth question, yes—legal advice was taken, but I am not aware that it is in print. Should you desire, after reading the pamphlet, to inquire further at this point, Charles F. Mathewson,

Esq., 40 Wall St., New York, who was one of the counsel in the case, will give you the reasons for the plan finally adopted.

In answer to the fifth question, I will say that the plan thus far has worked with perfect satisfaction.

I am,

Very truly yours,

W. J. TUCKER.

PROFESSOR COLLINS DENNY,
Nashville, Tenn.

The accompanying pamphlet is dated "Dartmouth College, Hanover, N. H., June 25, 1891," and is addressed "To the Association of Alumni of Dartmouth College." It is signed by an "Executive Committee" of five members.

The pamphlet contains the following statements:

"Your Committee were of the opinion that the most certain, if not the only, effectual way to create and preserve the live, constant, active interest of the Alumni in the College, and their co-operation in its affairs, was to confer upon them a *real, substantial, personal responsibility* therein; that a mere advisory board, with no rights or the mere privilege of occasionally making a nomination of a possible trustee, would be too uncertain, contingent and remote a right to excite and keep up that clear, constant, active interest of the Alumni, which is needed and which it was the duty of your Committee to secure, if possible. * * *

"The Board invited your Committee to meet that body on the 23d instant (Feb., 1891) at Hanover, which invitation they accepted. Several conferences followed. * * *

"Your Committee deem it just and proper to add that the Board of Trustees have given this subject their earnest and courteous consideration, and by supplementing our efforts by wise suggestions have done much to bring about a harmonious result.

"The result of these conferences have been the formulation of the plan embodied in the following resolves, which were unanimously adopted by the Board and spread upon their records—and which your Committee, under all circumstances, now recommend for adoption, on the part of the Alumni, as the best and most feasible:

"1. *Resolved*, That the graduates of the College, the Thayer School and the Chandler School, of at least three years' standing, may nominate a suitable person for election to each of the five Trusteeships next becoming vacant on the Board of Trustees of the College (excepting those held by the Governor and President), and may so nominate for the election of his successors in such Trusteeship.

"2. *And Resolved*, That whenever any such vacancy shall occur in such Trusteeship, or the succession thereto, the Trustees will take no action to fill the same until the expiration of three months after notice to the Secretary of the Alumni of the occurrence of such vacancy, unless a nomination therefor shall be sooner presented by the Alumni to said Trustees.

"3. *And Resolved*, That this plan of nomination shall be taken and held to supersede the plan heretofore adopted in 1876."

"These resolves were adopted on the part of the Board with the clear understanding and assurance that three vacancies in the Board will be provided at once, and two more before the next Commencement in 1892, all to be filled as above provided, and that the persons so nominated by the Alumni *will be elected by the Board to such Trusteeships, and that the term of service of such Trustees shall be so arranged that ultimately there shall be an annual election of a Trustee at each Commencement; such annual election to be secured by suitable provisions, under which the term of service, fixed at five years, shall be ended by the resignation of the incumbent.*

"Your Committee, in recommending the adoption of this plan, congratulate the Alumni upon the attainment of the object so long sought, and the beginning of what they believe to be a new era of prosperity for the College."

(All italics in the whole series of papers were taken from the original.)

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TENN., Feb. 11, 1909.

CHARLES F. MATHEWSON, Esq.,

40 Wall St.,

New York, N. Y.

Dear Sir—

Not long ago I had occasion to write Dr. W. J. Tucker, President of Dartmouth College, for information as to the plan adopted for alumni representation on the Board of Trustees of the College. I asked him whether legal advice was taken in connection with the plan adopted. In his reply he tells me to write to you to ask the reasons for the plan that was finally adopted. My excuse for coming before you with this request is that we are necessarily dependent on the Dartmouth College case for many matters connected with our educational institutions. My enquiry is that I may present the facts in connection with one of our own institutions. I trust that for the sake of the work of education,

as well as because I come as a college man to one deeply interested in all connected with college work, you will favor me with a reply.

Very truly yours,

COLLINS DENNY,
Professor in Vanderbilt University.

HARMON & MATHEWSON.

Benjamin S. Harmon, Charles F. Math-
ewson, Edward J. Patterson,
J. Frederick Eagle.
40 Wall Street.

NEW YORK, February 16th, 1909.

PROFESSOR COLLINS DENNY,
Vanderbilt University,
Nashville, Tenn.

Dear Sir—

I am in receipt of your favor of the 11th instant, asking whether legal advice was taken in connection with the plan adopted for alumni representation on the Board of Trustees of Dartmouth College.

The Board of Trustees consists of ten men, exclusive of the President and the Governor of the State of New Hampshire, who is *ex officio* a member during his term of office.

The Board is by the charter of the College self-perpetuating; that is, if a vacancy occurs (except in the case of the Governor, of course) it is filled by the remainder of the Board by election of a trustee for life. That plan, so far as the Board of Trustees is concerned, continues to this day.

About 1892, however, a plan was reached by which the alumni to all intents got representation without changing the terms of the charter. It was conceded that the charter, in view of the decision in the Dartmouth College case, could not be changed or amended except with the consent of the Board of Trustees themselves, and there was a strong sentiment against any amendment of the charter by the Legislature, even with the consent of the Trustees.

In 1892 five members of the Board voluntarily resigned, and the alumni recommended to the Board the election of five men to take their places. These men were elected by the remainder of the trustees, for life, as the charter provides; but each of the five had agreed with the alumni

committee to resign, one at the end of one year, one at the end of two years, etc., so that the fifth resigned at the end of five years.

Of course, these gentlemen observed their pledge, and resigned one at the end of each year, producing a vacancy; and to fill this vacancy the alumni recommended a suitable man to the Board of Trustees, who have without exception accepted the recommendation and elected the man proposed. A committee nominated a year in advance sends out five names to the alumni, and the man receiving the highest vote of the alumni is the one proposed to the Board of Trustees at the ensuing commencement for election to the vacancy, which it is known will then occur by resignation. Thus every year a resignation comes in to the Board of Trustees, a man is suggested by the alumni to fill the vacancy and the trustees have elected this man for life. If he should refuse to resign at the end of five years, as he pledges himself to do, he could legally hold the office of trustee for life, so far as I can see. Of course, no such situation arises or is likely to arise, but so far as the trustees are concerned they elect the candidate proposed for life, as the charter provides.

You will thus see that the voice of the alumni, while so far potent in the election of five trustees, rests not upon an amendment of the charter, but upon a co-operation between the existing trustee and the alumni, which is working smoothly, but which theoretically and in law might be annulled at any time.

If this does not meet your inquiries, I shall be very glad to answer further questions and beg to remain,

Yours very truly,

CHAS. F. MATHEWSON.

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TENN., Feb. 10, 1909.

CHAS. F. MATHEWSON, ESQ.,
40 Wall Street,
New York City.

Dear Sir—

With heartiness I thank you for your prompt reply to my recent letter, and after such courtesy I crave pardon for the trouble to which I put you by a further inquiry.

1st. "The Dartmouth College Causes and the Supreme Court of the United States, by John M. Shirley, St. Louis; G. I. Jones & Co., 1879," on page 14, states that on April 21, 1878, President S. C. Bartlett issued a circular, presumably to the Alumni of Dartmouth, entitled "Nomina-

tion of Trustees by the Alumni of Dartmouth College." A plan for Alumni representation is therein set forth somewhat different from the plan now in operation, according to your letter and also to a printed pamphlet recently sent me by President W. J. Tucker. In the plan published by President Bartlett it is specifically set forth that "while there are certain legal objections to any positive and formal agreement on the subject, it is understood that ordinarily and, in all probability, invariably some one of the persons nominated will be elected to the vacant place."

What were "the legal objections to the positive and formal agreement," and how were they overcome so that the Board of Trustees could give, as they have given, assurance "that the persons so nominated by the Alumni will be elected by the Board"?

2. A college incorporated about seventy-five years ago, by the Legislature of an adjoining State, whose charter makes the Board of Trustees self-perpetuating, cannot, it is claimed, give to any body of people, other than the specified self-perpetuating Board of Trustees, a voice in the election of Trustees. The Dartmouth College case, with its "invincible charter" (to use the words of Chief Justice Marshall), is put forward to show that any voice in the filling of vacancies in the Board of Trustees would be illegal; that no such transfer of the power of control can be legally or rightly made is expressly declared in the opinion of the Court in the Dartmouth College case; and should the Board of Trustees grant the power of so naming trustees the act granting the power would be condemned by the language used by the Chief Justice; that not being according to the will of the donors, who gave to a body with a definite charter, it would be subversive of that contract on the faith of which their property was given.

Did a situation in any way similar to this present itself at Dartmouth, and, if so, how was it met?

3. In any opposition to the plan adopted at Dartmouth to give the Alumni representation on the Board of Trustees was any claim made that the power of election of Trustees by which the corporation is perpetuated is a material power which neither the Trustees themselves nor the Legislature of the State has the right or the power to change? If such objection was offered, how was it answered?

4. Was any suggestion made in the discussion of the plan adopted at Dartmouth that good faith was involved, that a frank, sincere adherence to the charter made it imperative to honorable men to decline to pursue this course because it would be an evasion of the charter? If so, what answer was given?

With profound apologies for so many questions, I beg leave to subscribe myself, my dear Mr. Mathewson,

Most respectfully yours,

COLLINS DENNY,

Professor in Vanderbilt University.

HARMON & MATHEWSON.

Benjamin S. Harmon, Charles F. Math-
ewson, Edward J. Patterson,
J. Frederick Eagle.
40 Wall Street.

NEW YORK, February 23rd, 1909.

PROF. COLLINS DENNY,
Vanderbilt University,
Nashville, Tenn.

Dear Sir—

Answering your letter of the 19th respecting alumni representation at Dartmouth, the "legal objections to any positive and formal agreement" for the election by the Board of Trustees of persons nominated by the alumni were that the charter expressly provides that the trustees themselves shall elect their successors in case of vacancies.

1. The method finally adopted has no legal binding force on the Board of Trustees in case of a vacancy. The trustee resigns as he has promised the *alumni* that he would; and the Board of Trustees has seen fit so far to elect as his successor the person recommended by the alumni. The trustees might, and probably would, in case of a clearly offensive or unworthy nomination (if one could be conceived), refuse to accept the recommendation of the alumni.

2. The holding of the Supreme Court in the Dartmouth College case is still law and would doubtless prevent the self-perpetuating board of trustees of the "college of an adjoining State" to which you refer from legally and absolutely delegating from [to] the alumni or anyone else the power to fill a vacancy in the Board of Trustees.

This, however, does not prevent the smooth operation of the plan now in operation at Dartmouth College; for the trustees must make up their minds in some way as to the person who in their judgment would be wiser to elect a trustee to fill the vacancy. The advice of the alumni would naturally be very powerful with a self-perpetuating board; and while the Board could not and would not bind itself absolutely to be bound by the recommendation of the alumni, it might very well informally express the view that it would be glad to have the advice of the alumni and would be strongly inclined to accept their advice, unless an unworthy candidate were presented.

A self-perpetuating board would certainly be very narrow, and probably incompetent, who shut themselves up in their shell and declined to accept advice from any source or quarter as to the best person in the interest of the College, to be selected to fill a vacancy; and it is hardly

possible that any advice could be more valuable than that of the alumni of the college.

This was all threshed out in connection with the establishment of our present system at Dartmouth College, and it was unanimously agreed that if the trustees made no formal, absolute or binding agreement, there was no impropriety in a general understanding that they would be inclined to accept the advice of the alumni in filling a certain number of vacancies.

3. Before the method at Dartmouth was adopted, it was at one time suggested that the charter of the College be amended to provide for the direct election by the alumni of a certain number of the Board.

It was conceded, under the decision in the Dartmouth College case, that the charter could not be amended without the formal acceptance and assent of the College itself, evidenced by the resolution of its Board of Trustees; and there may have been claims from some quarters that the charter could not be amended even by such consent. My own view and the view of most others was that in the absence of some prohibition in the charter the powers of the trustees were sufficiently wide to accept such an amendment in behalf of the College so as to bind it and all its funds.

4. It was suggested by some that the plan proposed to be adopted was an evasion of the terms of the charter. Before the discussion was ended, however, I think it was generally conceded that the trustees did not evade their responsibilities, but on the other hand were greatly aided in the discharge of their duties in filling vacancies, to have laid before them the feeling and the recommendation of the alumni in reference to the individual whose election to the Board would best promote the interests of the College. In other words, the more *good* advice the trustees received, the better it was considered for the College whose interests the trustees were to protect; and so long as the trustees left themselves legally free to select otherwise, if the recommendation struck them convincingly as not in the interest of the college, there was no reason to criticise the plan from a legal or ethical standpoint.

Trusting this will cover your inquiries, I am,

Very truly yours,

CHAS. F. MATHEWSON.

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TENN., February 5, 1909.

JUDGE THOMAS W. HARRISON,
Winchester, Va.

My Dear Tom—

The ownership of Randolph-Macon College by the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, has been called in question, and a request that the

Methodist Conferences concerned, viz, the Virginia and Baltimore Conferences, be given a voice in the selection of Trustees, has been met by the claim that such a participation by the Conferences in the selection of Trustees would be illegal, and the Dartmouth College case has been cited as expressly forbidding such participation as being subversive of that contract on the faith of which the property of the donors was given.

Again, it has been claimed that this power of election of Trustees by which the corporation is perpetuated is a material power which neither the Trustees themselves, the Legislature of Virginia, the Corporation Commission, nor any Court has the power to change.

One of the plans proposed to bind more closely the Church and the College is to have the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon nominate to the Conferences one or more men for each vacancy, and then the Board to elect only the man approved by the Conferences, or if more than one name for each vacancy be submitted by the Board to the Conferences, the Board is to select the Trustee from among those approved by the Conferences.

This question, a very important one to our Church, a question that affects our entire educational system which for three-quarters of a century, with great toil and self-denial, we have built up in the State of Virginia, is to come before our Conference next month. As a member of the Conference I feel responsible up to the measure of my ability and influence to help to settle correctly and finally this troublesome question.

You can well understand how far away I am from the ability to solve independently such questions as once, in the lecture room of Mr. Minor, we were wont to think of and to discuss. I must turn for aid to some of my friends to whom I am bound by ties of many years' growth.

If you will do me the favor to answer this letter, and for the sake of old times you must not refuse me an answer, you will have to answer without money and without price, for I belong to that class of men who freely having received freely give. I am so accustomed to work for the good of mankind without expectation of any material return that often I unblushingly ask others, particularly my friends, to join me in this kind of work. When matters of great moment in the Church involved legal questions I was accustomed during Mr. Minor's lifetime to turn to him to aid me to meet my responsibility. Unless my memory plays me false, you were a member of the committee on corporations in the last constitutional convention, and to be able to quote you to the Conference will not only throw light on the path I am to take, it will also be a guide to a body of noble men who have all needed courage, but who lack light on legal matters.

A book by John M. Shirley, entitled "The Dartmouth College Causes and the Supreme Court of the United States," published in St. Louis in 1879 by G. I. Jones & Co., now lies before me. On page 14 is a statement signed by the President of Dartmouth College headed "Nominations of Trustees by the Alumni of Dartmouth College." A plan for such nominations is therein set forth. The President says: "While there are certain legal objections to any positive and formal agreement on the subject, it is understood that ordinarily and, in all probability, invariably some one of the persons nominated will be elected to the vacant place."

If this be the practice adopted thirty years ago at Dartmouth with a charter declared by the Supreme Court of the United States to be "inviolable," will you kindly answer these questions:

1. Would it be illegal under the laws of Virginia for the Board of Trustees of Randolph-Macon to agree, either tacitly or through a by-law of its own, to nominate to the Conferences concerned one or more men for each vacancy occurring in the Board of Trustees and to select the Trustee from the number confirmed by the Conferences; or to nominate one man for each vacancy and to elect that man when the Conferences agreed to his election?

2. Is there any possible way to change the charter of Randolph-Macon so that the Church's ownership of these institutions can be legally recognized? If so, how can that be done, and what kind of a change would be needed?

I understand that Richmond College, the property of the Baptist Church in Virginia, has a charter recognizing Church ownership. If my information be correct and such a charter be legal, the way must also be open to us for recognition of our ownership of Randolph-Macon.

With pleasant memories and best wishes,

Truly yours,

COLLINS DENNY.

(A duplicate of this letter to Judge Harrison of even date therewith was sent to Hon. R. Walton Moore, of Washington, D. C., Assistant Special Counsel of the Associated Railways and Steamship Companies of the United States. Before giving his answer he asked for a copy of the Randolph-Macon charter. His reply follows the correspondence with Judge Harrison.

(Substantially the same letter as the above on the same date was sent to Professor Raleigh C. Minor, of the University of Virginia Law Faculty, Charlottesville, Virginia. He had ready access to the College charter in the file of catalogues at the University. His reply closes the series of letters.—COLLINS DENNY.)

T. H. CARTMELL,
Deputy.

T. W. HARRISON,
Judge 17th Judicial Circuit.

J. W. BAKER, JR.,
Recorder.

*Circuit Clerk's Office of
Frederick County.*

PHIL. H. GOLD, Clerk.

WINCHESTER, VA., Feb. 10, 1909.

DR. COLLINS DENNY,
Nashville, Tenn.

My Dear Collins—

I received your letter and was very glad of any occasion which suggested me to your reminiscent thought. I am not allowed under the law to furnish legal advice in exchange for the coin of the realm, so you can have no qualms on the score that you have none to offer. It always gives me pleasure, however, to be of any service to old friends, who are acting *pro bono publico*, and especially to those of them who are devoting their lives to the service of God and the uplift of their fellow-men. I have to be very much on my guard, of course, not to express opinions about matters which may come under my judicial cognizance, or, having inadvertently done so, never to sit judicially in matters as to which I have expressed extra-judicial opinions. As I can see no possible way in which any judicial matter can come before me relative to Randolph-Macon College, I feel free to answer to the best of my ability your inquiry. I was not on the Corporation Committee of the Constitutional Convention, but the Legislative Committee, before which pended the question as to the incorporation of Churches. I dislike anything like notoriety, and while I have no objection to anyone knowing my views on any subject about which I express them, spare me the mortification of being held out as an authority on any proposition, legal or otherwise. I will write you fully and frankly, and your own fine sense and wide information will enable you to appropriate what is sound. If you would like to have citation to decided cases for any legal proposition advanced, I will furnish you with the source from which I state it. I am ignorant of the provisions of the charter of Randolph-Macon College. I do not know when or under what law it was incorporated, whether it is a joint stock company with a capital stock and a membership represented by certificate of shares, or what is generally termed an eleemosynary institution with only a governing board and without membership. I can only aid you then in a general way. I will confine myself to what will be true of any corporation.

First—I cannot see how an understanding with the Church Conference as to the selection of the trustees would be illegal in the sense that any person would have any standing in a Court to interfere with the execution of such a pact or to vitiate any election held in pursuance of it.

The corporation would have to elect the trustee according to its charter, but the motive inducing the selection of the particular trustee, whether a pre-existing pact or not, could not be made a subject of judicial inquiry. The most conspicuous illustration of this is found in the election of the President of the United States. You will recall in reading the "Federalist" how the framers of the Constitution contemplated the electoral college as a body of men, unhampered by popular clamor, selecting the President after a full conference and the exercise of individual judgment. No President, however, has ever been so selected, but, wholly subversive of the original purposes of the electoral college, every elector has cast his ballot according to previous pledges. No Court could enforce them, but these pledges have never vitiated the election. In so important a matter as the election of a President no elector would dare to violate his pledge. A short prayer, a convenient tree and a stretched rope would in all probability be his reward. But this only emphasizes the point, that the pledge or understanding is not illegal. And so an agreement of like import by the elector of trustees in a corporation would not give anyone a standing in Court to contest the election or prevent the pact from being carried out. I do not believe such a contract or understanding could be enforced so as to prevent its violation. The violator of his pledge or contract could only be arraigned at the bar of public opinion and the contempt of his associates would be his only punishment. A contract or pledge could not alter the method of election prescribed by the charter. This can only be accomplished by an amendment of the charter in the method prescribed by law. Such an understanding would violate no person's right, according to my judgment. Contributors of money to a college do not give to the trustees, but to the purposes for which the corporation is organized. The trustee, no matter how selected, could only use the resources of the institution for the purposes defined in the charter. He is a mere indispensable incident, and his method of selection a mere method or matter of convenience. A charter must prescribe its agencies and it is usual to prescribe the method of their selection. That method must be followed or corporate anarchy would result, but the corporate purpose is the substance and essence of its life, and the ever varying personnel of its agencies the ephemeral incidents therein. It is difficult to conceive that money, raised for the college, was given because of the method of selecting the trustees, and not for the purposes of education chiefly. But if it was, the procedure in the election of the trustees remains unchanged, and the purposes of the college remain unchanged, and where is the basis for any complaint? My conclusion then is, that such an understanding as you refer to would not be recognizable in the Court, either to enforce or to gainsay. It could not be enforced, because to permit the Conference to *insist* on its right to name the trustees would be to give legal force to a change in the charter obtained by contract, and not in the method prescribed by law. It could not be gainsaid, because neither

in form nor substance is anybody's rights affected. The legal status remains unchanged.

Second—Let us examine now the proposed amendment of the charter, as we have been above discussing an understanding between the corporation and the Conference with the charter unamended. As our grand old Professor would say: Wherein Consider: (A) An application made by the Corporation itself; (B) An application made against its protest.

(A) I can see no difficulties in amending the charter in the way desired if the application is made by the Corporation through its authorized agencies. The Dartmouth College case decided that a private charter could not be amended by the Legislature *without the assent* of the Corporation. The principle upon which it proceeded was that the charter was a contract between the State and the Corporation, and could not be altered without the consent of both contracting parties. If the Corporation makes the application and the State grants the amendment, both contracting parties do consent to the alteration, and the Dartmouth College case has no bearing. The contract is between the State and the corporation, and no other person can complain of the breach of such contract. 75 Va. 320, Pixley. Our statute amply provides for the procedure by which a corporation may secure an amendment to its charter. If the college is an eleemosynary corporation—that is, without capital stock—upon a resolution of its board of trustees, if there are no members of the corporation, as I rather infer is the case, application could be made to the Corporation Commission under section 1105 d (4) of the Code, conforming to the requirements of the section, and I can see no legal difficulty in the way of securing the amendment. The section does speak of calling a meeting of the members of such an association, but where there are no members I should say the trustees for all legal purposes constituted the membership. An objection might be suggested, that the Conference is not a body recognized by the law, and that therefore the nomination of trustees could not be given to such a body. But our statutes furnish a number of instances in which such unincorporated bodies are given such recognition. The trustees of Church property are appointed upon the nomination of Church authorities; the board of health upon recommendation of the medical society; other boards, pharmacy, funeral, etc.; trustees of fraternal orders, etc.; pensions on recommendation of Confederate camps. Without enlarging upon many similar illustrations in my humble judgment there could not be any legal force in such an objection.

Another objection, which you suggest, is that such a power given the Conference violates the constitutional inhibition against the incorporation of a church. But such an amendment certainly does not expressly incorporate a church; it simply changes the method of appointing trustees of a college. With all the traditional jealousy of this State, with which I personally am in close sympathy, against the incorporation of

Churches, it has never been contended that the secular agencies of the Church were included in this prohibition. In the Churman case, 80 Va., and in the Guthree case, 86 Va., the validity of the incorporations of the "Protestant Episcopal Society in Virginia" and the board of foreign missions of the Presbyterian Church were directly affirmed. Although in a subsequent case, Fifield case, 94 Va., certain *obiter dicta* in the opinions of the two cases as to charitable trusts were overruled, distinct recognition was given to the correctness of the decisions in these two cases. Theological seminaries, denominational colleges and schools and other agencies, although devoted to certain Church work, dominated by Church influence, and maintained by the pecuniary assistance of the Church, have been freely incorporated, and so far as I know have never been held as embraced within the constitutional inhibition against the incorporation of churches. A broad distinction has been drawn between the incorporation of Churches as such and the educational agencies of the Church. In the opinion in the Guthree case, 86 Va., pp. 141-3, is given a number of acts of the General Assembly incorporating various Church agencies, and the Court says very truly, I think: "From this historical review of the legislation touching the subject, it is perfectly clear that it never occurred to the Legislature of Virginia that to incorporate Church agencies, essential to the accomplishment of Church work, was the same thing as the incorporation of the Churches, respectively, in whose interests such corporate agencies have been created and still exist." Since this decision the Constitution has been re-enacted, and it is one of the cardinal canons of interpretation that the re-enactment of legislation embraces the judicial interpretation theretofore given to it, which is natural, for the Legislature or Convention, knowing the judicial interpretation would have altered the language if it had desired to change the interpretation. *Swift & Co. vs. Wood*, 103 Va. 494; 93 Va. 786, *Mangus*; 24 Grat. 563, *Annable*. The re-enactment of the constitutional provision in the light of the long-continued and repeated construction put upon the original enactment by the Legislature is in itself very potential as to the intent of the framers of the Constitution. The Constitutional inhibition was first adopted in the Constitution of 1850. It was re-enacted in the Constitutions of 1869 and of 1902. Between these periods the Legislature frequently incorporated various agencies of the Church, and such agencies were at the date of the last re-enactment in full corporate life. The framers of the last Constitution must have known not only of the interpretation put upon the inhibition by the Courts, but by the Legislature, and have intended to sanction it. *Free-land on Construction*. The incorporators in the Protestant Episcopal Society above referred to and the charter of which I consider as being declared valid by three decisions in Virginia were two bishops, twelve clergymen and twelve laymen, all officers of the Church, and they were given authority to name their successors. If Churches can have their most important officials incorporated in the first instance, I cannot under-

stand why a Church may not appoint to fill vacancies. As I said above, the appointment of a trustee in no way affects or changes the purposes of the charter. Whoever is trustee, he cannot use a penny of the Company for any purpose not authorized by the charter. *Wilson vs. Perry*, 29 W. Va. I cannot see, so far as I am at present advised, how the method of appointment of trustees, especially in an eleemosynary Corporation, could be considered a material matter. Such institutions partake largely of the nature of charitable trusts, in which the contributors to the institution are donors for the uses declared in the charter. Their object is attained in the application of their donations to this end, and not in the administration of the trust. As to the relation of incorporated trustees to these charities, see Judge Story's opinion in the Dartmouth College case: "But where trustees or governors are incorporated to manage the charity, the visitorial power is deemed to belong to them in their corporate capacity. * * * But an eleemosynary, like every other corporation, is subject to the general law of the land. It is subject to the controlling authority of its legal visitor, who, unless restrained by the terms of its charter, may amend and repeal its statutes, remove its officers, correct its abuses and generally superintend the management of the trusts." *Dartmouth College vs. Woodward*, 4 Wheat. In all respects these incorporated trustees represent the original donors of the charity, and can act as fully as the original donors.

(B) I see considerable difficulties in the way of amending this charter over the protest of the Corporation. It could only be done by the Legislature and then by general law. The present Constitution forbids special acts. A general act might be framed, which would be applicable to this college and to no other, but the Legislature would hardly sanction the evasion of the constitutional provisions. Besides, unless the general law was in effect at the date of the incorporation of the college authorizing the Legislature to amend charters, or unless the charter reserved this right, you will run amuck the Dartmouth College case. A general law was passed, I think, in 1870, reserving to the Legislature the right to amend charters after fifteen years from their date, but this act would not apply to charters previously granted. Indeed, without the consent of the trustees I believe the practical difficulties in the way would be insurmountable.

With the consent of a majority of the trustees, any lawyer could prepare the necessary papers, and I believe the Corporation Commission would grant the amendment as a matter of routine and without any legal difficulty. Without such consent I know of no recourse, where the trustees are acting honestly and within their powers.

I expect you think I am terribly long-winded, but if you get weary you brought it on yourself. If I have not made myself plain, I will be glad to answer more particularly. I have seen some discussions, I think, of this matter in a Richmond paper, but as all the articles were

as long as this letter I never felt sufficiently interested to discover what the discussion was about.

With best wishes, I am,

Yours truly,

T. W. HARRISON.

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TENN., Feb. 12th, 1909.

JUDGE THOMAS W. HARRISON,
Winchester, Va.

My Dear Tom—

I am sending you enclosed a copy of the College charter printed in the Catalogue of Randolph-Macon College. I think it will make no change in your view of the law applicable to this case, but after reading this charter I shall be glad to know from you whether, with the charter before you, your views are changed and, if so, wherein?

With best wishes for your continued success, I am always,

Your friend,

COLLINS DENNY.

WINCHESTER, VA., Feb. 17, 1909.

REV. DR. COLLINS DENNY,
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn.

My Dear Collins—

I received your letter of date 15 ult. with enclosures. I can hardly conceive of any more complete vindication of the opinions expressed by me in my former letter than the charter, its amendments and its history, which you enclosed to me. A hurried reference to the Acts of Assembly shows that the charter of Randolph-Macon College has been more frequently amended than published, but this is not material. The facts as shown by the published amendments are, that the charter has been amended and indeed wholly re-written since its original grant. The charter was originally granted Feb. 3, 1830; it was wholly re-written July 9, 1870. Both the original charter and the re-enacted charter have been amended in most important particulars. (1) The original charter established a college at Boydton; the amended, at Ashland. (2) Under the original, no theological professorship could be established;

under the amended, this was changed. (3) The number of trustees were increased. (4) The qualifications of the trustees have been changed; at one time no member of the faculty could be a trustee; at another no such limitation existed. (5) At one time the quorum for the transaction of business was the president and seven trustees; at another time the quorum was seven trustees. (6) At one time the president was an officer independent of the board of trustees; at another time one of the trustees must be elected president—a change in the qualifications of the president. (7) The Act of Feb. 7, 1890, was a very important amendment, greatly enlarging the powers of the Corporation. Up to that time the incorporation only contemplated a single College, definitely located, but this amendment gave the Corporation the right to establish such schools, academies and institutions of learning as it might desire, without limitation or restriction. Under the powers so conferred institutions were established at Front Royal, Bedford and Lynchburg. It may establish others, if desired.

Here is an institution whose whole history has been punctuated by important changes in its original act of incorporation, and yet you tell me that some people claim that there is no power resident anywhere to alter its charter. It has been amended; when was this power lost? Were the former amendments illegal? Then all the acts done under these illegal amendments were illegal, and for the last half-century the College has been conducted on an illegal basis. The very removal to Ashland was illegal. The monies given, the important institutions established, have been in violation of law. I think such a claim preposterous and see no difficulty, as said in my former letter, to amend the charter as provided in the statute pointed out to you.

I will go further. It is true that at the time of the incorporation of the College in 1830 there was no general statute reserving to the State the right to amend private charters, yet on 11 July, 1870, two days after the revised charter and before its acceptance could have been possible, the Legislature passed an Act reserving to the State the right to amend at will all charters after fifteen years. Since that date the Legislature has conferred on this corporation greatly enlarged powers, notably the Act of Feb. 7, 1890. In accepting these Legislative favors, I think the corporation will be held to have assented to the reservations made in its legislation by the Legislature in favor of the State. If I am right in this, then the Legislature has the right to amend this charter at its discretion without the assent of the Corporation, if the same can be done by general law. The Constitution forbids special acts amending charters, but if a general law can be framed to accomplish the purpose and the Legislature will enact the same, I believe it will be upheld in our Appellate Court, even without the assent of the Corporation. The general law affecting corporations forms as essential a part of the charters of said corporations as if copied into them, and this applies

when material amendments are granted. *Knights of Pythias vs. Weller*, 93 Va. 695; 78 Va. 501, *Gordon*; 18 Grat. 509, *Robinson*; 18 Grat. 295, *Anderson*; 10 Cyc. 213.

There is nothing new in our judicial or legislative history in the distinction between incorporating Church agencies and incorporating Churches. The volumes of our Acts are full of instances in which Church agencies have been incorporated. I gave you a Virginia case in my former letter, which sustained the principle. *Wilson vs. Perry*, 29 W. Va. 169, is a West Virginia case involving the same question and sustaining the validity of a Virginia charter. West Virginia has the same constitutional provision that we have, and the Court at that time was composed of exceedingly able men—Judges Greene, Snyder, Johnson and Wood. No Court in the Union stood higher at that time, and you might find the opinion in the case interesting reading. It differentiates with clearness the Church from its agencies. The Virginia charter involved, as it involves the very method of nominating trustees you wish, is also interesting. (The incorporation of the agencies of a Church is not the incorporation of a Church, and is not within the constitutional inhibition. 86 Va. 125, *Guthrie*; 94 Va. 557, *Fifield*; *Wilson vs. Perry*, 29 W. Va. 169.)

On March 8, 1873, the General Assembly of Virginia incorporated the Presbyterian Committee of Publication, which was a Committee of the Presbyterian Church annually appointed by the General Assembly of that Church. The preamble of the Act stated that the object of the Committee was "the dissemination of religious truth by means of the printing and circulation of books, tracts, papers, cards, etc." The members of the Committee were made the trustees, but it was provided in the Act that they should hold the office of trustee "no longer than they remain in office as members of the said Presbyterian Committee of Publication." The trustees were authorized to fill vacancies to be chosen from the "said Presbyterian Committee of Publication, appointed according to the constitution of the aforesaid Committee;" which, as I have said, was appointed by the Presbyterian Church in its General Assembly. They were given the right to hold property for the "benevolent purposes of said Committee." Judge Greene dissented on the ground that the preamble did not restrict the Corporation to the purpose therein expressed. In case you have not the book by you I will quote you what he says:

"But the other members of the Court think that this Corporation, incorporated by this Act of the Virginia Legislature, can use its property, real and personal, only in printing and circulating religious books, etc., as recited in the preamble of the Act; and that the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States or their executive Committee of Publication can neither modify, alter nor enlarge the mode in which the property or funds

of this corporation are to be used; so that while the directors of said incorporated company are appointed by the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States, yet they cannot control the use to be made of the property or funds of said incorporation, but it must always be used for the purposes specified in the preamble of said act of incorporation. If I concurred in this interpretation of this act of incorporation, I would concur in the syllabus of this case."

Judge Greene's dissent was based upon the purpose announced in the body of the Act; that the incorporation was for the "benevolent purposes" of the committee, which was equivalent to being an incorporation of the benevolent purposes of the supreme legislative body of the Presbyterian Church, of which the Committee was the mere hand. The whole Court concurred, that there was no objection to the incorporation of a Committee of such a body, and it was not within the prohibition of the Constitution provided the purposes of the Corporation were such as the law sanctioned. The charter was held valid.

This Corporation has been in active life in Virginia for the last thirty-five years, and still is. I clipped its advertisement from "The Presbyterian" of this week and enclose it to you.

My examination, then, of the charter of this college has only intensified my convictions expressed to you in my former letter. In my judgment, there could not be any legal objection to the amendment of the Charter along the lines desired, if application be made by the College according to the section of the Code I referred you to.

I stated in my former letter that its charter could not be amended without the assent of the corporation, unless it belonged to that class of incorporations as to which the State had reserved the right to amend, either by general law or in the charter. My examination of the legislative history of this charter leads me to the belief that it belongs to the latter class. I express this opinion with some doubt and diffidence, but as my best judgment. The Legislature can amend the charter of this College without its assent, in my opinion, provided it does so by general law, for the reasons I have given you.

I know nothing about the policy of so amending the charter and am only viewing the matter at your request from a legal standpoint.

I will be glad to hear from you at any time on any subject.

With best wishes, I am,

Your friend,

T. W. HARRISON.

Southern Railway Co.	New Orleans and Northeastern
Cincinnati, New Orleans and	R. R. Co.
Texas Pacific Ry. Co.	Western and Atlantic R. R.
Alabama Great Southern R. R. Co.	Nashville, Chattanooga and St.
Georgia, Southern and Florida Ry.	Louis Ry.
Co.	Seaboard Air Line Railway.
Mobile and Ohio Railroad Co.	Atlantic Coast Line R. R. Co.
Central of Georgia Railway Co.	Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.
Ocean Steamship Co. of Savannah.	Illinois Central Railroad Co.
Georgia Railroad.	Richmond, Fredericksburg and
Atlanta and West Point R. R. Co.	Potomac R. R. Co.
Western Railway of Alabama	Old Dominion Steamship Co.

ED. BAXTER,	SIDNEY F. ANDREWS,	R. WALTON MOORE,
Special Counsel.	Asst. Special Counsel,	Asst. Special Counsel,
Stahlman Building.	Stahlman Building,	Metropolitan Bk. Bldg.,
Nashville, Tenn.	Nashville, Tenn.	Washington, D. C.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Feb. 24. 1909.

REV. COLLINS DENNY, D. D.,
Vanderbilt University,
Nashville, Tenn.

Dear Doctor Denny—

I have thought of the questions relative to Randolph-Macon College, contained in your letter of the 5th instant, and in that connection have read the original charter of the College and the amendments thereto.

I am satisfied that the Trustees are entitled to adopt any method they may think proper of filling vacancies in their Board. There is no restriction in this regard imposed by any provision of the charter, or any provision of any of the amendments, or by any provision of the statute, or by any legal principle. In view of your mention of the Dartmouth College case (Dartmouth College vs. Woodward, 4 Wheat. 518), I have again looked at that case and read the opinions of the judges. There is nothing in that case upon which can be based any doubt that it is within the right and power of the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College to invite the Conferences to nominate persons for selection as Trustees to fill vacancies, or to invite the Conferences to approve the selection made by the Board to fill vacancies, always assuming, of course, that the selections will actually be made by a vote of a quorum of the Board in accordance with the charter requirement.

The charter has been amended more than once, and can be amended again under the general statutes which now provide for the amendment

of charters of incorporation. I think that if the trustees so desire, they could obtain an amendment specifying some particular method of filling the vacancies in the way of vesting some authority in the Conferences. I think that in this manner the College might be brought, more definitely than now, under the legal dominion of the Conferences, which would be almost equivalent to church ownership.

The Board of Trustees, exercising the power of disposition given them by the charter, could turn over the property which they hold to any corporate or natural person entitled to own such property, and it would then be held and managed according to the will of such person. In this manner it is possible for the property of the College to be vested, and the conduct of its affairs put in charge of some other body than the present corporation, namely, the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College, which now holds the property and carries on the educational work.

I have not considered any question of expediency.

You should bear in mind the possibility of any action in the direction of changing or modifying the method of filling vacancies on the Board of Trustees, or changing the ownership of the property, or the management of the College, so violating conditions that may have been expressed in the deed, or deeds, conveying the property to the present corporation, as to bring about a forfeiture of interest and reversion of title. Whether there are any such conditions can only be ascertained by examining the deeds of conveyance.

If I have not answered your questions sufficiently, I will, upon hearing from you further, endeavor to do so.

This letter has been read to my partner, Mr. John S. Barbour, who has some knowledge of the relation of Richmond College to the Baptist Church, and he concurs in the conclusions which I have reached.

Yours very sincerely,

R. WALTON MOORE.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, DEPARTMENT OF LAW.

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA., March 16, 1909.

Dear Dr. Denny—

The charter of Randolph-Macon College is a contract between the State, the donors of funds and the corporation, *i. e.*, the self-perpetuating Board of Trustees. This is established in the Dartmouth College case, which also held that the State could pass no law impairing the obligation thereof, as by changing the number or mode of appointment of the trustees, *without the consent of the corporation, i. e.*, the trustees of the

College. In the Dartmouth College case the Court distinctly says that "the *donors*, or claimants of the bounty, if they can appear in Court at all, can appear only to complain of the trustees. In all other situations they are *identified with*, and *personated by*, the trustees, and their rights are to be maintained and defended by them" * * * "the whole legal interest is in the trustees, and can be asserted only by them" * * * "Their (*i. e.*, the donor's and students') potential rights, which, taken distributively, are imperceptible, amount collectively, to a most important interest. These are in the aggregate, to be exercised, asserted and protected by the *corporation*. They were as completely *out of the donors*, at the instant of their being vested in the corporation, and as incapable of being asserted by the students, as at present."

These quotations from the opinion sufficiently show that the donors lose all legal interest in their donations, and must yield their judgment as to the management of the institution and its funds to the judgment of their legal representation, the corporation or the trustees.

The Virginia case of *Clark vs. Oliver*, 91 Va. 421, is to the same effect, holding that where a number of persons subscribe and contribute to a charitable trust, as soon as they have subscribed, their rights and interests in their subscriptions are completely divested, and they will not be heard to complain though the trustees are guilty of gross malfeasance or fraud. The Attorney-General of the Commonwealth may complain, when the charity has thus failed, or the trustees, or those to be benefited by the charity, but not the subscribers thereto—unless in one of the above capacities.

I take it for granted, therefore, that there can be no force in the argument that the trustees are not legally empowered to change the organization of the Board of Trustees, if they deem it wise to do so. The trustees are *representing* the donors, and the legal presumption would be that a change which in the course of time the trustees deem to be wise or essential to the preservation of the institution would equally appeal to the donors themselves.

To the general principle that a charter is a contract between the State and the corporation and that the State can pass no law impairing the obligation of the contract, there are certain well-established and defined exceptions, only three of which we need consider.

1. The contract may be changed by the mutual consent of the State and the corporation, and if the latter requests that a change be made, any legislation, assented to by the corporation, effecting such change is constitutional.

2. The charter itself may contain a clause, reserving to the State Legislature the right to make such changes as it may see fit, in which case this becomes a part of the contract, and authorizes legislative interference without the consent of the corporation. This exception cannot apply here, because there is no such clause in the R.-M. charter.

3. There may be reserved such a power of alteration, not in the charter but in the Constitution or general laws of the State, enacted at the time the charter is granted, in which case the charter contract is made subject to such general law, which thus becomes incorporated into the contract. In Virginia there is such a general statute, but it was first enacted in 1869-70, 40 years after this charter was granted, so that neither would this exception have any bearing on this case.

The first of these exceptions, therefore, is the only one under which changes may be made in the charter, and under it changes have already been made, and may hereafter be made, as the trustees may direct, or a quorum (seven) of them (par. 6 of the charter).

Such are the general legal principles involved in the case. I shall now attempt to answer specifically the questions you put.

1. "Would it be *illegal*, under the laws of Va., for the trustees of R.-M. College to agree either tacitly or through a by-law to nominate to the Conferences one or more men for each vacancy occurring in the board and to select the trustees from the number confirmed by the Conferences, or to nominate one man for each vacancy and to elect that man when the Conferences agree to his election?"

So far as this action is alleged to be illegal because subversion of the rights of the *donors*, I have already stated that in my judgment this is not sound, because the donors are in law *represented* by the trustees or corporation, in whose judgment and discretion as to the necessity for some future changes in the organization as experience might reveal weakness, they must be supposed to rely, and because, as a matter of law, even if the trustees were guilty of malfeasance or fraud, the *donors* would have no right to complain, as was held in *Clark vs. Oliver*, above cited.

But from another standpoint I think it would be illegal for the trustees to enact such a by-law, since it would be an alteration of the charter, the contract with the State, without the consent of the State. Of course, should the trustees or a majority of them choose, they might voluntarily use this method of nominating or selecting trustees, but an understanding of this kind, whether tacit or express, would be binding only upon the sense of, honor of each individual trustee, and would hardly be that in case of trustees elected in the future, who were not parties to the agreement.

The same end can be accomplished legally, however, and such an agreement be made legally binding upon the trustees and their successors in office, if they can be induced to have the *charter* amended, in the manner you suggest. Should they object that to alter the charter in this way would be violative of the conditions upon which the donors have given, a condition which they would have no *moral*, even if they have the *legal*, right to violate, it appears to me that an all-sufficient answer is that they have already altered the charter several times, and

once at least as to the number of the trustees (increasing the maximum from 40 to 44; par. 14, charter)—one of the very changes made in the Dartmouth College case and condemned by the Court as impairing the obligation of the contract.

If the trustees will consent, there is no legal difficulty in having this amendment made to the charter any more than in the previous cases.

II. Your second question is:

"Is there any possible way to change the charter of Randolph-Macon College so that the Church's ownership of these institutions can be legally recognized? If so, how can it be done, and what kind of change would be needed?"

In reply to this I would say that, in my judgment, no change can be made in the charter otherwise than as above mentioned—by consent of the trustees. Indeed, from a legal standpoint your premise of an ownership by the *church* cannot be maintained. In law the trustees are the owners, and the sole owners, of the property. Doubtless donors have given funds to the trustees because they believed they were thereby benefiting the Church more or less, but that merely shows the *motive* of the gift, and does not affect the question of legal ownership. Even if the Church Conferences themselves were the donors, as shown in *Clark vs. Oliver, supra*, they would lose all property interest in the gifts as soon as they were made, and could claim no rights in nor control over the enterprise on that account. The validity of many of the donations, *e. g.*, legacies and devises, is due to the fact that they were made to this corporation and not to the church itself.

I should judge that an amendment to the charter along the lines embraced in your first question would be the proper solution of the difficulty, but to that end the co-operation of the trustees is necessary.

With best wishes, I am,

Very sincerely,

RALEIGH C. MINOR.

Protest Against Action Concerning the Relation of the Conference to the Randolph-Macon System.

The undersigned respectfully protests against the papers relating to the Randolph-Macon System adopted by the Conference on the first and second days of the present session—namely, the three papers adopted Wednesday, March 24th, and the paper adopted Thursday, March 25th, with reference to that matter.

What appears to be put forward as a full statement of the case omits a number of important facts. Such a deficient statement works

injury to this body and does injustice to the Board of Trustees and to the officers of Randolph-Macon College.

The facts set forth are mostly a recital of statements heretofore made by this Conference. Even if it were admitted that such statements have the meaning sought to be imputed to them, the Board of Trustees could not be held bound by or responsible for statements or claims made by the Conference. If such statements or claims were found to be mistaken, they could not create any moral rights.

The Trustees have always been consistent in their attitude toward the Conference and in their statements to it, and by both have set forth the true relation of the College to the Church to be that of an institution the legal title to which is in the Trustees, in trust for the education of the youth of the land, under the auspices and patronage of the two Conferences.

The first and most important official statement about Randolph-Macon is its Charter. It states that the property of the system is owned by the Trustees for the purpose of maintaining "institutions of learning for the instruction of the youth of the land." It also directs that when a vacancy occurs, the remaining Trustees shall supply the vacancy. This Charter has been published in the Catalogue of the Randolph-Macon System for years, and each year a copy has been mailed to every preacher in this Conference and to many of the lay people. That is to say, every year for years the Trustees have given an individual notice directly to each preacher as to the ownership of the College property, the trust upon which it is owned and the manner in which Trustees are elected.

For a great many years the same Catalogue has contained a brief statement concerning the Randolph-Macon System, in which it is stated that these "institutions are owned by one chartered, self-perpetuating Board of Trustees," and, in substance, that these colleges and schools are under the auspices and patronage of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, and that they are "the contribution of members of that Church *and of other* patrons of education * * * to the uplifting of the world by means of education." Each year this statement has gone by mail to every preacher in the Conference.

The man who under these circumstances failed to learn the facts concerning the ownership of the College and its relations to the Church has no right to charge his ignorance to the Trustees or to the officers of the institution or to claim that they misled him.

The character of the ownership of the College and its relation to the Church has been matter of public knowledge and mention. An instance of this occurred in 1900, when it was referred to in the columns of the Richmond Christian Advocate in letters from Rev. Paul Whitehead and Mr. J. W. C. Davis, as something well known and fully understood.

It was discussed in connection with the Twentieth Century Thank Offerings, and, because the College was not the property of the Church; a special method was adopted for securing to it a share in the proceeds of those offerings.

When the Academy at Front Royal was projected (1892) and the Woman's College at Lynchburg (1893), the statement was openly and publicly made to the people of those communities that Randolph-Macon was under the auspices and patronage of the Methodist Church, but was not owned or controlled by either Church or Conference, the title being held by a self-perpetuating Board of Trustees, in trust "for the instruction of the youth of the land," and the Charter was cited in support of these representations. On the faith of the charter, thus exhibited to them, many thousands of dollars were contributed by persons who were not connected with our Church. We are informed that these persons now protest against any change in the title or ownership of the property or of the trusts upon which it is held, and against the declaration of any different relationship to the Church. In other words, they ask that the terms upon which they contributed many thousands of dollars to our institutions be observed.

The undersigned protests against the action of the Conference in adopting what purports to be a statement of facts when that statement omits such important matter as that set forth above, as well as other facts material to a full presentation of the case.

This protest also objects to the manner in which the first resolution was passed. A lengthy argument, which apparently purports to embody a full statement of facts, was presented in the form of preambles and resolutions. By the application of the previous question, members of the Conference were denied two ordinary and valuable rights—1st. They were not permitted to reply to the arguments incorporated in the paper. 2nd. They were denied the opportunity to offer amendments correcting the deficiencies of the paper by supplying the omitted facts.

Your protestant believes that if the facts had been fully presented to and clearly understood by the Conference, it would not have approved the various resolutions adopted on the question of its relation to Randolph-Macon College.

Especially do we object to action which appears to put the Conference in a false and damaging light—namely, that of claiming as its own property funds which were contributed by non-Methodists on the faith of the assurance, truthfully given them, that their contributions would not become subject to the ownership or control of the Church or the Conferences, but would be held by a self-perpetuating Board of Trustees under the auspices and patronage of the Conferences.

The relation of the College to the Church is that of blood kin, and is well illustrated by the relation of an adult child to his parent. The parent has no legal control over the grown-up child, and does not own

his property. But your adult son is *your* child, and the tie is stronger and closer than that created by any legal bond. The College was brought into being by the Church. During the formative period it was absolutely under the control of the Church; but when it became incorporated it attained its legal majority (became of age). It is still the loyal and devoted child of the Church, but it is an adult child, vested with the discretion and charged with the responsibilities of mature manhood. You continue to love and help your child. It continues to love, honor and serve you.

The action taken by the Conference in reliance upon the legal opinions presented by Dr. Denny is objectionable and unwise—

1st. Because those opinions were manifestly based on an incomplete statement of facts.

2nd. Because contrary opinions have been expressed by counsel equally as capable; and such contrary opinions were founded on a full knowledge of the facts.

This protestant further objects to the action taken, because when the first resolution was pending on Wednesday, the 24th, the opportunity was shut off to present before the Conference the action taken by the Trustees upon the resolution adopted by this Conference in 1908. The Conference expects the Trustees to receive and give respectful consideration to its communications. This the Trustees have always accorded us. The bar of the previous question is hardly a courteous reciprocation. That action of the Trustees, taken June 10th, 1908, declares, substantially, that while they hold the property of the College under the terms of the Charter, yet, subject to its limitations, the College should be conducted and the trust administered under the auspices and patronage of the two Conferences.

Furthermore, the bar of the previous question shut out from statement to, and consideration by, the Conference the action taken by the Trustees of Randolph-Macon College at their last meeting, on June 9th, 1908, with reference to the relation of the College to the Church in connection with the benefits to which it is entitled from the Carnegie Foundation. That action, taken by formal and authoritative resolution of the Trustees, is as follows:

"We are advised that under the original deed of Mr. Carnegie, the Randolph-Macon Woman's College is entitled to the benefits of that Foundation Fund as a *denominational* school, and we will gratefully accept the benefits, provided it can be done without any compromise of the rights of this Board of Trustees to the control of the Randolph-Macon System absolutely, as in the past and as required by its Charter, and *without disturbing the present relation of the College to the Methodist Episcopal Church, South.* But if the acceptance of this benefit will

require the relinquishing or limitation of the absolute right of management of the institution or the conduct of its affairs under the Charter, *or change in any way the relationship of the schools and the Church*, then we would decline to accept the benefits of the said Carnegie Foundation."

Believing that when the members of the Conference have become acquainted with and calmly considered all the facts, they will regret the action against which this protest is filed,

Respectfully,

C. M. ARMSTRONG.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF FEDERATION.

To the Baltimore Annual Conference of the M. E. Church, South—

Your Committee of Federation appointed at the last session of the Conference to constitute, with a like Committee of the Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, a Joint Commission on Federation, to "study the entire matter" of Federation between the two Conferences, "with a view to improve existing conditions," beg leave to report that they met with the Committee of the Baltimore Conference of the M. E. Church as a Joint Commission in Washington, D. C., November 25, 1908.

A frank and full discussion of the whole situation was had, at the conclusion of which tentative proposals were made and referred to a Joint Sub-Committee, to be considered by them and reported together with other recommendations they might agree to make to a subsequent meeting of the Joint Commission. The Presiding Elders of the Roanoke and Lewisburg Districts stated in written communications that there were "existing conditions" in the territory of those Districts inimical to the spirit and practice of fraternity and federation, and requested that the Commission should seek a general adjustment of such matters throughout our Conference territory and use its influence particularly in the cases which they mentioned; whereupon the Committee of the Baltimore Conference M. E. Church, acting separately, adopted a resolution pledging themselves "to use their moral influence for the relief of the conditions" thus mentioned.

On March 12th, 1909, in Baltimore, Md., the Sub-Committees appointed by the Joint Commission met, and after full consideration adopted the following "Proposals":

"The Commission has unanimously agreed that each Committee shall make to its own Annual Conference the following recommendations:

"First. That in making this effort to improve existing conditions the Commission declares that there is no purpose to exclude either denomination from the territory now jointly occupied. This, however, is not to be interpreted as conflicting with Section three (3) of this agreement.

"Second. That within the territory now jointly occupied, before any new work shall be opened by either Conference in territory contiguous to that occupied by the other, the said project shall be submitted to the Joint Commission for their consideration and judgment. This provision shall apply to the Cities of Baltimore and Washington only as the Presiding Elder of the M. E. Church, South, and the District Superintendent of the M. E. Church shall request consideration.

"Third. And Whereas, there are conditions existing which have given rise to complaints of the violation of proper fraternal relations between

the two Church; and whereas, for the establishment of genuine fraternity a basis of mutual confidence and good will is necessary; therefore, we recommend that all cases involving such conditions, concerning which official complaint is made to either Committee, be specifically taken up for consideration by the Joint Commission, and the adjustments agreed upon be reported to each Annual Conference, and to the Joint Commission on Federation appointed by the General Conferences of the two Churches.

"Fourth. That each Conference make the Committee on Federation a permanent standing Committee, to be appointed annually, and that the said Committees from the two Conferences constitute jointly a permanent standing Commission on Federation."

These Proposals were subsequently adopted by the Committees of each Conference without amendment.

Our Conferences with the M. E. brethren were marked with the utmost harmony and mutual good feelings towards each other, and every disposition was manifested on both sides to carry out the purposes for which the Commission was created in a cordially frank and brotherly spirit. Our Committee, at the conclusion, passed a resolution expressing their appreciation of "the courtesy of the brethren of the Committee of the M. E. Church in all our intercourse with them, and especially of the interest taken by them" in the matters mentioned in the statements of the Presiding Elders of the Roanoke and Lewisburg Districts respecting the relations of the two Churches in that territory.

Referring to these matters, see Exhibits "A" and "B," and the Minutes of the Joint Commission, and of the Joint Sub-Committee, herewith accompanying.

Your Committee was also "instructed to communicate with all other Conferences of the Methodist Episcopal Church contiguous to our territory and request these Conferences to appoint Committees for the same purpose and power." Accordingly, a communication from our Committee reciting the action of our Annual Conference in constituting your Committee, and setting forth its objects and the conditions and regulations imposed upon it in the discharge of its duties, was sent to both the West Virginia and the Holston Conferences of the Methodist Episcopal Church in advance of their last sessions, with the request that they appoint like Committees for the same objects and under the same conditions and regulations as ours, to constitute with us a Joint Commission, respectively, of each of those Conferences with ours. To this communication the West Virginia Conference of the M. E. Church in due time replied that they had adopted our proposal and had appointed their Committee, giving us their names. Your Committee then proceeded to arrange for a meeting with their Committee, but no date could be found convenient to both Committees earlier than March 17, 1909, on which date the Committees met as a Joint Commission in Washington,

D. C. At that meeting the following resolutions were first adopted as a basis of action in particular cases:

"Resolved, First, That within the territory now jointly occupied before any new work shall be opened by either Conference in territory contiguous to that occupied by the other, and to which objection is made by the District Superintendent or Presiding Elder concerned, that said project shall be submitted to the Joint Commission for their consideration and judgment.

"Second. That we recommend that each Conference make the Committee on Federation a permanent standing committee, to be appointed annually, and that the said committees from the two Conferences constitute jointly a permanent standing Commission on Federation.

"Third. That whereas, there are conditions existing which have given rise to complaints of supposed violations of proper fraternal relations between the two Churches, and whereas for the establishment of genuine fraternity a basis of mutual confidence and good-will is necessary, therefore we recommend that all cases involving such conditions be specifically taken up for consideration by the Joint Commission, and the proposed adjustments agreed upon be reported to each Annual Conference and to the Joint Commission on Federation appointed by the General Conferences of the two Churches."

Upon the adoption of these resolutions, the Commission took up the consideration of particular existing conditions concerning which complaints had been made in the written statements of the Presiding Elders of the Roanoke and Lewisburg Districts, heretofore referred to, and in various other statements, written and oral, and occurring within the territory of those Districts and of the Rockingham District of the M. E. Church, South. Full representation and discussion of each case by all desiring to be heard, was had, and the effort made to adjust the matters referred to, but without avail. The Commission found itself unable to effect any adjustment in any case, and finally the following resolution was adopted:

"Whereas, the conditions as here represented by the papers and statements submitted seem to be beyond the power of this Commission to adjust; therefore, Resolved, That the whole matter be referred to the Joint Commission appointed by the General Conferences of the two Churches."

Accompanying, we submit the papers presented in connection with the cases referred to (see Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I, J and K; also the Minutes of the Commission).

In regard to the Joint Commission of the two Baltimore Conferences, we report that no specific cases have yet been taken up by it, as there has not been time to do so since the adoption by the full Committees of the resolutions providing for it.

The Holston Conference of the M. E. Church replied to our communication that they had adopted our proposal for a Joint Commission and that their Committee had been appointed. But in respect to the requirement prescribed by our Conference, that "any agreement, plan or suggestion" adopted "shall be submitted for final action to the Joint Commission on Federation appointed by the General Conferences of the two Churches," and that "no action shall be binding until approved by the Joint Commission on Federation," they notified us that they declined to adopt it, "on the ground that the Joint Commission is without final authority; neither necessary." As your Committee considered the resolutions of the Annual Conference, under which alone they had any authority to act, as mandatory in this respect, as indeed necessary and due the General Commission, we sought to arrange for a meeting with the Holston brethren, with the understanding that they would at least not object to a report by our Committee to the General Commission of the proceedings of our Conference Joint Commission, if a meeting should be held, in obedience to the requirements of our Conference, leaving them to do likewise or not as they might desire; but, we regret to say, that after considerable correspondence on the subject, they declined to attend any meeting with us unless it was agreed by us that no such report to the General Commission should be made. Such an agreement we considered we had no authority to make, and therefore no meeting with them has been held. This is the more to be regretted since there are a number of complaints made in respect to some of the members of the Holston Conference of the M. E. Church of aggressive movements made by them within the territory of the Roanoke District of our Conference and concerning which they were duly notified. It is difficult also to understand why the Holston Conference, while in form it accepted our proposal for a Joint Commission on Federation in order to "improve existing conditions," should impose such a condition upon their Committee composing their section of the proposed Commission, as from the very terms of the proposal made to them by our Committee made a meeting of the proposed commission impossible. Herewith we file the correspondence and statements in reference to the Holston Conference M. E. Church (see Exhibits L, M, N and O).

In conclusion, we recommend the adoption of the resolutions agreed upon by the two Joint Commissions, as recited above, and the transmission to the Joint Commission on Federation appointed by the General Conferences of the two Churches of all the papers relating to the cases of complaint made in connection with the West Virginia Conference of the M. E. Church, and also the correspondence and all the papers bearing upon the cases in connection with the Holston Conference of the same Church.

Respectfully submitted,

E. V. REGESTER, Secty.

B. W. BOND, *Chairman.*

Sessions of the Baltimore Conference.

DATE.	PLACE.	PRESIDENT.
1866—Feb. 7-15.	Alexandria, Va.	John Early.
1867—March 6-13.	Trinity, Baltimore, Md.	Geo. F. Pierce.
1868— " 4-13.	Central, Baltimore, Md.	D. S. Doggett.
1869— " 3-12.	Trinity, Baltimore, Md.	W. W. Wightman
1870— " 2-10.	St. Paul's, Baltimore, Md.	H. N. McTyiere.
1871— " 8-14.	Salem, Roanoke Co., Va.	Geo. F. Pierce.
1872— " 6-13.	Warrenton, Va.	Robert Paine.
1873— " 5-14.	Trinity, Baltimore, Md.	Geo. F. Pierce.
1874— " 4-12.	Staunton, Va.	D. S. Doggett.
1875— " 3-10.	Washington, D. C.	E. M. Marvin.
1876— " 1- 8.	Winchester, Va.	E. M. Marvin.
1877— " 7-15.	Alexandria, Va.	H. H. Kavanaughh.
1878— " 6-14.	St. Paul's, Baltimore, Md.	H. N. McTyiere
1879— " 5-11.	Salem, Va.	W. W. Wightman.
1880— " 3-10.	Front Royal, Va.	J. C. Keener.
1881— " 9-15.	Harrisonburg, Va.	H. N. McTyiere.
1882— " 8-14.	Fredericksburg, Va.	H. N. McTyiere.
1883— " 14-21.	Charles Town, W. Va.	J. C. Keener.
1884— " 12-19.	Calvary, Baltimore, Md.	A. W. Wilson.
1885— " 11-18.	Salem, Va.	J. C. Granbery.
1886— " 10-16.	Staunton, Va.	H. N. McTyiere.
1887— " 9-15.	Leesburg, Va.	J. C. Keener.
1888— " 14-20.	Martinsburg, W. Va.	A. W. Wilson.
1889— " 13-19.	Alexandria, Va.	A. W. Wilson.
1890— " 12-19.	St. Paul's, Baltimore, Md.	W. W. Duncan.
1891— " 11-17.	Greene Mem., Roanoke, Va.	E. R. Hendrix.
1892— " 23-29.	Harrisonburg, Va.	J. C. Keener.
1893— " 22-27.	Front Royal, Va.	J. S. Key.
1894— " 28-April 4.	Fredericksburg, Va.	J. C. Granbery.
1895— " 27- " 3.	Washington, D. C.	J. C. Granbery.
1896— " 25-31.	Roanoke, Va.	R. K. Hargrove.
1897— " 24-30.	Staunton, Va.	C. B. Galloway.
1898— " 23-28.	Hinton, W. Va.	A. W. Wilson.
1899—April 5-11.	Washington, D. C.	J. C. Granbery.
1900— " 4-10.	Washington, D. C.	W. A. Candler.
1901— " 3- 9.	Roanoke, Va.	O. P. Fitzgerald.
1902—March 26-April 1.	Trinity, Baltimore, Md.	C. B. Galloway.
1903— " 25-31.	Fredericksburg, Va.	A. Coke Smith.
1904— " 30-April 5.	Alexandria, Va.	A. W. Wilson.
1905— " 22-28.	Winchester, Va.	W. W. Duncan.
1906— " 28-April 2.	Cumberland, Md.	A. W. Wilson and W. A. Candler.
1907— " 27- " 3.	Staunton, Va.	Embree E. Hoss.
1908— " 25-31.	Roanoke, Va.	A. W. Wilson.
1909— " 24-30.	St. Paul's, Baltimore, Md.	{ A. W. Wilson and W. A. Candler.

Table No. 1.—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

	BALTIMORE DISTRICT.	Local Preachers	Members Last Year.	Additions on Profession of Faith	Additions by Certificate and otherwise	Present Total Members	Adults Baptized	Infants Baptized	Societies in Charge	HOUSES OF WORSHIP		
										No. of	Value of	Indebtedness on
1	Central.....	1	554	49	30	605	3	24	1	1	\$35,000
2	St. John's—Emmanuel..		315	18	9	333	4	2	1	1	60,000
3	Caernarvon.....		89	10	17	110	2	10	1	1	6,500
4	St. Paul's.....		486	22	19	507	2	9	1	1	55,000
5	Wilkens' Avenue.....		177	12	3	188	15	1	1	20,000	\$ 800
6	Frederick Avenue.....		83	34	12	119	12	1	1	8,000
7	Arlington.....		204	1	8	210	1	9	1	1	25,000	2,000
8	Reisterstown and Sud- brook.....	1	168	4	2	160	11	2	2	6,000
9	Freedom.....		45	2	2	40	2	1	1	6,000
10	Howard.....		261	11	3	234	21	5	5	14,000
11	Linganore.....	1	303	67	3	364	2	21	5	5	14,000
12	Frederick City.....		216	16	2	228	6	21	4	4	10,000
13	Brunswick.....		190	8	5	179	14	2	2	10,500
14	Poolesville.....		150	7	8	136	3	14	1	1	3,000
15	Clarksburg.....		153	13	13	179	7	2	2	1	6,000
16	Gaithersburg.....	2	350	3	210	19	4	4	6,000
17	Rockville.....		335	39	3	367	8	21	2	2	22,000
18	Goshen.....		149	26	10	179	6	12	1	1	5,500
19	Potomac.....		143	30	1	166	4	5	2	2	5,700
20	Colesville.....		255	23	4	266	5	14	4	4	8,282
21	Emory.....	1	137	5	135	3	13	3	3	4,600
22	Hyattsville.....	1	88	8	8	97	5	1	1	27,500
23	Brentwood.....		126	17	5	131	4	22	2	2	4,000
24	Beltsville and Laurel.....		24	10	11	44	1	8	1	1	1,500
25	Savage.....		120	120	7	2	2	6,000	900
26	Wesley Grove.....		139	15	154	8	14	3	3	4,700
			270	18	4	286	1	10	3	3	12,500
	Total.....	7	5,530	468	182	5,747	70	337	57	56	\$387,282	\$3,700
	E. BALTIMORE DISTRICT.											
1	Trinity and Calvary.....	2	445	4	9	300	1	1	1	\$30,000
2	Taylor's and Perry Hall }		143	1	4	78	1	2	2	8,000	\$ 65
3	St. John's of Hamilton..		72	6	68	1	1	2	2	4,200
4	North Point.....		69	5	15	89	1	6	1	1	12,000	5,100
5	Jarrettsville.....		81	6	1	77	2	1	1	9,000
6	Aberdeen.....		120	7	2	129	1	2	2	10,000
7	Kent.....		222	9	1	214	11	2	2	8,500
8	Church Hill.....		149	55	9	207	3	11	2	2	3,800
9	Ingleside.....		147	25	164	8	3	3	4,000
10	Goldsboro.....	1	74	1	5	80	16	2	2	4,700
11	Hillsboro.....		191	115	17	2	2	3,000
12	Easton.....		95	3	88	16	2	2	4,800
13	Caroline.....	2	248	4	2	249	9	1	1	18,000
14	Trappe.....		91	16	60	4	2	2	2,500
15	Royal Oak & Tilghman's.	2	102	3	80	3	2	2	3,500	50
16	Severn.....		202	5	202	3	3	3	4,200
17	Chesapeake.....	1	120	120	13	3	3	5,000
18	Calvert.....	1	459	100	3	562	26	5	6	10,700
19	Patuxent.....		492	19	10	487	7	13	6	6	14,700
20	Forest Grove.....		123	3	3	128	2	8	3	3	3,400
21	Prince George's.....		159	5	2	164	8	3	3	4,500
22	Charles and St. Mary's..		303	19	315	20	5	5	7,400
			254	4	250	1	22	6	8	10,500
	Total.....	9	4,361	294	72	4,226	16	219	61	64	\$186,400	\$5,215

Table No. 1.—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

	PARSONAGES			Value of Other Church Property	Money Expended for Churches and Parsonages	CHURCHES DAMAGED		INSURANCE STATISTICS		
	No of	Value of	Indebtedness on			No.	Amount of Damage	Insurance Carried	Premiums Paid	Loss Collected
1	1	\$ 2,000		\$ 1,500	\$ 200			\$17,300	\$ 50	
2						1	\$10	13,000	129	\$10
3				200	435			2,500		
4	1	3,000			163			30,500	174	
5				200				6,000		
6					246			8,000	42	
7	1	5,000	\$1,700		546			18,500	18	
8	1	3,500		500	43			6,000	9	
				200	11			1,175	8	
9	1	2,500		500	655			9,888	37	
10	1	3,000		1,000	227			11,000	40	
11	1	2,000		2,051	125			8,400	26	
12	1	3,100	2,150		731			9,500	14	
13	1	2,000	213	300	75			5,000	8	
14	1	2,000		800				5,150	12	
15	1	2,000		200	52					
16	1	3,000		500	416			18,420	46	
17	1	3,000	2,000	900	125			7,200	12	
18	1	1,500		400	487			5,400	21	
19	1	2,000	1,121	669	382			5,350	11	
20	1	1,550	100	1,000	195			2,000	9	
21	1	8,500			1,000			6,300	46	
22	1	2,500	80	250	1,000			3,850	14	
23				25				1,500	15	
24	1	2,000		600	400			3,000	12	
25					260			2,600	6	
26	1	2,500		680	1,400			9,000	80	
	20	\$56,650	\$7,364	\$12,475	\$9,174	1	\$10	\$216,533	\$839	\$10
1	1	\$ 6,000		\$12,000				\$26,000	\$212	
2	1	3,000		550	\$ 288			5,000		
				200	197			3,500	6	
3					700			5,000		
4				2,000	142			6,200	18	
5	1	1,800		500	175			6,200	16	
6	1	3,000		1,000	167			9,700	18	
7	1	2,800			106			4,625	14	
8	1	1,200			122			2,585	13	
9	1	1,000		250				3,850	18	
10	1	1,800	\$ 75	375	80			2,200	17	
11	1	700			125			3,100	22	
12	1	1,700	1,440		70			12,000		
13										
14	1	1,200		200	80			2,500	10	
15	1	2,100		600	280			3,420	25	
16	1	1,500		100	31			2,400	8	
17					150			6,675	33	
18	1	1,700		850	326			4,100	10	
19	1	1,200			260			2,100	9	
20	1	1,200		700	50			3,200	14	
21	1	1,800		800	237			3,700	15	
22	1	2,100		500	460			4,400	18	
	18	\$35,800	\$1,515	\$20,625	\$4,046			\$122,455	\$496	

Table No. 1.—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

WASHINGTON DISTRICT.	Local Preachers	Members Last Year.	Additions on Profession of Faith	Additions by Certificate and Otherwise	Present Total Members	Adults Baptized	Infants Baptized	Societies in Charge	HOUSES OF WORSHIP		
									No. of	Value of	Indebtedness on
1 Mt. Vernon Place.....	3	901	24	51	802	9	5	1	1	\$60,000	\$58,900
2 Epworth.....	2	462	34	34	450	9	4	1	1	23,000	6,400
3 Marvin.....	1	222	5	12	165	...	8	1	1	13,500	900
4 St. Paul.....	...	201	17	44	231	4	10	1	1	18,000	6,000
5 Calvary and Clarendon ..	1	210	14	26	242	3	36	2	2	28,000	11,650
6 Del Ray.....	...	86	8	6	92	2	4	1	1	2,500	...
7 Alexandria.....	1	764	27	8	776	14	23	1	2	55,000	18,700
8 Fredericksburg.....	...	434	38	15	480	14	5	1	1	16,000	...
9 Falls Church.....	1	213	6	3	220	1	9	2	2	11,000	...
10 Leesburg.....	...	337	52	12	371	20	3	2	2	15,000	...
11 Loudoun.....	1	363	16	4	367	11	...	6	6	15,000	...
12 Middleburg.....	...	323	23	15	351	22	2	4	3	7,800	...
13 Hillsboro.....	...	541	15	...	550	4	5	6	5	7,500	...
14 Hamilton.....	1	454	6	7	467	8	5	4	4	9,000	...
15 Warrenton.....	...	250	13	7	238	4	5	2	2	8,000	...
16 Marshall.....	...	432	66	3	473	53	8	7	7	12,000	...
17 Fairfax.....	2	454	45	31	530	17	7	8	7	14,300	1,200
18 Sterling.....	1	403	34	9	437	20	10	8	6	10,000	...
19 Occoquan.....	...	330	56	7	365	32	13	8	7	9,000	200
20 Fauquier.....	...	242	4	1	214	4	4	5,600	...
21 Remington.....	...	234	1	2	224	1	1	2	2	5,100	...
22 Sudley.....	...	243	16	1	220	15	1	3	3	3,600	...
23 Manassas.....	1	165	6	22	190	4	2	1	1	3,000	...
24 Stafford.....	...	435	40	1	456	18	...	5	5	6,700	300
25 Morrisville.....	2	450	12	12	405	7	2	5	5	4,500	...
26 Prince William.....	...	146	7	1	154	4	...	5	4	2,400	...
Total.....	17	9,295	585	334	9,470	296	168	91	85	\$365,500	\$104,250
WINCHESTER DISTRICT.											
1 Winchester.....	2	665	11	6	657	4	7	1	1	\$25,000	\$2,790
2 Frederick.....	...	255	6	3	242	...	1	6	6	6,000	...
3 Stephens City.....	...	417	9	11	421	2	1	4	4	10,000	...
4 Middletown.....	1	355	6	3	345	...	8	3	3	23,000	...
5 Strasburg.....	...	381	6	19	364	3	2	5	5	12,500	...
6 Woodstock.....	...	338	22	4	355	19	2	1	1	20,000	4,620
7 Woodstock Mission.....	...	302	1	...	297	4	4	6,800	...
8 Edinburg.....	...	258	22	4	279	16	5	4	2	6,600	...
9 Front Royal and Riverton	3	385	13	19	398	7	4	2	2	32,000	7,000
10 Warren.....	...	304	10	1	306	6	...	6	3	2,500	...
11 Linden.....	...	220	1	1	216	5	5	7,000	...
12 Page.....	1	365	14	1	354	12	...	6	5	5,600	...
13 White Post.....	3	343	18	...	358	12	10	8	7	11,000	40
14 Berryville.....	1	390	78	16	465	56	5	2	2	8,500	...
15 Brucetown.....	1	650	96	11	719	54	36	8	7	16,000	...
16 Martinsburg.....	1	639	20	9	640	6	14	1	1	12,000	1,000
17 Berkeley.....	...	336	20	3	344	7	23	3	4	13,000	...
18 Charles Town.....	1	376	3	2	374	...	8	2	2	11,400	...
19 Jefferson.....	...	485	48	8	507	24	9	6	4	8,000	...
20 Shepherdstown.....	1	510	12	8	530	4	14	4	4	16,000	...
21 Shenandoah Junction.....	...	231	8	23	242	1	6	4	3	12,000	...
22 Shanondale.....	...	162	1	2	136	1	12	3	2	2,000	...
Total.....	15	8,367	425	154	8,549	234	167	88	77	\$266,900	\$15,450

Table No. 1.—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

	PARSONAGES			Value of Other Church Property	Money Expended for Churches and Parsonages	CHURCHES DAMAGED		INSURANCE STATISTICS		
	No. of	Value of	Indebtedness on			No.	Amount of Damage	Insurance Carried	Premiums Paid	Losses Collected
1				\$66,895	\$ 495			\$23,000	\$ 14	
2				250	1,678			10,000		
3				1,500	369			4,500	7	
4	1	\$5,000	\$4,000	1,850	2,700			14,600	34	
5				1,100	5,118			14,000	15	
6								1,300	6	
7	1	6,000		2,000	5,160			31,000	61	
8	1	3,000		1,600				10,600	41	
9	1	3,500		800	30			7,400	28	
10	1	3,000		1,675				6,900	16	
11	1	3,000		500	431			8,850	42	
12	1	1,400		300	823			5,700		
13	1	1,400		500	100			2,150	17	
14	1	2,800		1,032	204			6,425	31	
15	1	4,000			825			5,500	40	
16	1	1,700		800	200			4,800	13	
17	1	1,700		1,435	1,298			11,100	52	
18	1	2,000		600	150			8,065	30	
19	1	600			769			4,000	3	
20	1	1,400		750	100			4,800	6	
21	1	1,800		980	40			5,050	18	
22	1	1,800		250	200			3,500	16	
23	1	2,500		500		1	\$3	2,500	25	\$3
24	1	800		370	135			2,800	40	
25	1	850		250	345			1,400	3	
26	1	600	64	175	183					
	21	\$48,850	\$4,064	\$86,112	\$21,353	1	\$3	\$199,940	\$558	\$3
1	1	*\$6,000		\$2,500	\$2,126			\$12,000		
2	1	2,000	\$950	1,300	105			2,200	\$ 15	
3	1	2,500		300	65			3,400		
4	1	2,500		250	325			11,000		
5	1	1,500			1,865			4,650		
6	1	3,000		2,500	589			15,500		
7					200			2,250	6	
8	1	2,000	200	300	241			3,600	32	
9	1	3,000		500	3,063			12,600	30	
10	1	1,500		150	58			800		
11	1	1,500		250	40			4,400	17	
12	1	1,200		150	25			1,200	5	
13	1	1,500		500	145			3,600	15	
14	1	1,500		600	310			6,000	13	
15	1	1,500		550	195			9,490	32	
16	1	6,000	350	1,500	932			6,400		
17	1	1,000		450	7,000			7,400	47	
18	1	6,500		2,880	526	1	\$48	5,700	30	\$28
19	1	2,800		350	319			4,000	24	
20	1	1,600		400	536			3,000	30	
21								7,100	20	
22				50	1,000			1,600	15	
	19	\$49,100	\$1,500	\$15,480	\$19,665	1	\$48	\$127,890	\$331	\$28

*District Parsonage valued at \$6,000.

Table No. 1.--Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

	ROCKINGHAM DISTRICT.	Local Preachers	Members Last Year	Additions on Profession of Faith	Additions by Certificate and otherwise	Present Total Members	Adults Baptized	Infants Baptized	Societies in Charge	HOUSES OF WORSHIP		
										No. of	Value of	Indebtedness on
1	Staunton.....		639	11	13	605	6	10	1	2	\$31,500	
2	Staunton Mission.....		86	9	9	104	2	1	3	3	4,000	
3	Harrisonburg.....		587	8	25	593	5	8	1	2	12,500	
4	Bridgewater.....		450	67	15	519	51	...	5	5	15,000	
5	Mt. Crawford.....		351	7	7	321	3	2	4	4	7,600	\$135
6	Parnassus.....		253	20	5	277	18	1	5	5	8,000	600
7	Churchville.....		215	6	2	216	4	...	5	5	6,000	500
8	Augusta.....		413	13	7	413	8	2	4	3	6,000	
9	Waynesboro.....		250	38	10	284	23	8	1	1	7,000	
10	Basic.....		183	30	13	215	26	4	2	2	3,000	
11	New Hope.....		177	25	6	191	...	1	3	3	5,000	
12	Port Republic.....		275	27	1	303	16	2	5	5	8,500	
13	Rockingham.....		282	4	2	254	3	...	4	3	3,000	
14	East Rockingham.....		245	4	12	250	3	5	2	2	3,200	
15	Elkton.....	1	298	3	8	290	4	1	2	2	4,500	
16	Shenandoah-Marksville..	1	486	26	12	487	21	8	4	4	5,500	
17	Luray.....		262	16	3	269	15	1	1	1	10,000	
18	New Market.....		275	20	5	292	13	12	4	3	7,750	
19	Mt. Jackson.....		143	1	9	150	...	4	3	3	5,600	
20	Fairfield.....		415	1	...	267	1	...	4	4	6,000	250
21	Rockbridge Baths.....		136	8	141	248	3	...	1	1	2,000	562
22	Goshen.....		277	9	6	240	8	2	4	4	3,800	
23	McDowell.....		390	1	...	387	6	6	7,125	
24	Monterey.....		355	289	4	3	5,700	
25	Crabbottom.....		161	5	2	168	...	2	3	2	2,500	
	Total.....	2	7,704	357	313	8,432	233	74	81	78	\$180,775	\$2,047
	ROANOKE DISTRICT.											
1	Salem.....		518	19	14	527	8	1	1	2	\$17,000	\$1,510
2	Greene Memorial.....	5	1,504	36	49	1,219	26	25	1	1	70,000	
3	Trinity.....	1	442	10	43	455	7	13	1	1	30,000	5,400
4	St. James.....	1	598	13	13	500	8	5	1	1	20,000	
5	Grace.....		441	77	17	492	42	1	1	1	8,500	300
6	Belmont and East End...		387	44	35	425	28	5	1	1	2,500	
7	Melrose.....		118	52	14	184	30	1	1	1	3,000	700
8	West End.....											
9	Vinton.....		323	12	25	335	10	4	1	1	2,000	
10	Roanoke Circuit.....		271	8	6	264	7	...	4	4	4,200	
11	Bethany.....		231	4	19	244	4	...	5	3	4,600	
12	Buchanan.....		649	24	5	599	8	2	7	6	12,500	1,800
13	Fincastle.....	2	266	31	...	264	15	3	3	3	6,000	
14	Glen Wilton.....		263	23	13	232	14	1	3	3	4,800	
15	Eagle Rock.....		127	125	...	1	2	3	4,200	
16	Buena Vista.....		223	27	19	245	17	6	1	1	12,000	
17	Rockbridge.....		174	26	1	240	11	3	4	4	3,000	
18	Lexington.....	1	275	11	8	284	6	...	1	1	16,000	
19	Collierstown.....		217	1	4	222	...	2	4	4	4,000	
20	Elliston.....		305	3	3	300	2	...	4	5	5,800	57
21	Christiansburg.....	1	180	...	18	193	1	1	10,000	
22	Cambria.....		207	30	13	206	29	...	4	4	6,000	
23	Blacksburg.....		261	16	10	278	6	4	1	1	20,000	3,500
24	Newport.....		420	144	13	537	99	6	7	4	10,450	
25	New Castle.....		248	27	2	274	22	...	4	3	4,000	
26	Oriskany.....		173	6	3	170	6	1	6	3	4,500	
27	Catawba.....	2	370	28	...	398	8	...	6	2	2,200	
	Total.....	13	9,191	672	347	9,212	413	84	75	64	\$287,250	\$13,257

Table No. 1.—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

	No. of	PARSONAGES		Value of other Church Property	Money Expended for Churches and Parsonages	CHURCHES DAMAGED		INSURANCE STATISTICS		
		Value of	Indebtedness on			No.	Amount of Damage	Insurance Carried	Premiums Paid	Losses Collected
1	1	*\$5,000		\$3,500	\$120			\$18,000	\$18	
2	1	1,400		250	94			2,800	15	
3	1	7,000		800	1,148			7,500	78	
4	1	1,400	\$175	700	500			11,000	15	
5	1	1,200		400	504			6,500	14	
6	1	1,000		500	1,769			1,400	6	
7				200	1,500					
8	1	1,500		1,000	1,300	1	\$3,000	4,600	9	\$1,500
9	1	2,500		600				7,600	30	
10	1	2,500	800	180	40			3,450	9	
11	1	2,000	150	500				3,000		
12	1	2,000	600	75	770			3,700	7	
13	1	1,600		300	25			2,500	5	
14	1	1,000	200	150				3,000	5	
15	1	3,000	1,380	500	325			4,000		
16	3	3,500		1,150	300			2,500		
17	1	4,500	500	850	160			6,500	57	
18	1	800			930			3,500	15	
19	1	2,100			315			5,550	14	
20	1	800		200	100					
21	1	1,000		50	1,438			1,500	19	
22	1	800			23			2,300	11	
23	1	2,000		200						
24	1	2,000		450	100			3,750	15	
25	1	2,500		100	160					
	26	\$53,100	\$3,805	\$12,655	\$11,621	1	\$3,000	\$104,650	\$342	\$1,500
1	1	†\$2,500			\$ 650			\$9,500		
2	1	5,000		\$10,000				22,500	\$22	
3	1	6,000	\$2,500	3,200	1,108			17,000	72	
4	1	4,000	2,000	650	1,305	1	\$1,000	8,000	41	\$784
5	1	2,000		1,660	300			5,000	15	
6	1	2,500	500	350	435			2,400		
7					204			1,500		
8										
9	1	2,250		500	340			2,000	5	
10	1	1,300		300	131			1,600	6	
11	1	2,000		298	60			1,800		
12	1	1,800			1,200			7,500	12	
13	1	1,500		300				3,600	25	
14	1	1,500		400	120			1,500		
15	1	1,500		500	265			1,500	8	
16	1	2,500		350	475			8,500	21	
17	1	1,000			191			464		
18	1	4,000		1,275	494				26	
19	1	1,500		100	150			2,000	3	
20	1	2,000	217	514	164			2,500	14	
21	1	3,000		800	350			4,500		
22	1	2,000	1,375	125	168			1,300	24	
23	1	4,500		1,000	3,000					
24	1	1,600	241	660	1,680			1,500		
25	1	1,700		300	300			2,700		
26					165					
27	1	900		450	88					
	24	\$58,550	\$6,833	\$23,732	\$13,343	1	\$1,000	\$107,264	\$294	\$784

*District parsonage valued at \$6,000.

†District parsonage valued at \$4,000.

Table No. 1.—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

LEWISBURG DISTRICT.	Local Preachers	Members Last Year	Additions on Profession of Faith	Additions by Certificate and otherwise	Present Total Members	Adults Baptized	Infants Baptized	Societies in Charge	HOUSES OF WORSHIP		
									No. of	Value of	Indebtedness on
1 Lewisburg	241	1	4	229	1	1	1	1	\$15,000
2 Frankford	2	648	41	4	661	28	11	9	9	11,500	\$300
3 Blue Sulphur.....	..	266	21	8	286	19	5	5	4,600
4 Hinton.....	1	270	10	29	251	4	1	1	12,000
5 Talcott	225	30	104	257	21	6	5	4	5,000
6 Greenville	514	13	42	547	8	8	8	7	7,600
7 Peterstown	1	164	39	6	200	27	6	2	2,100	150
8 Alderson	322	14	33	218	8	15	2	2	5,000
9 Union	349	8	5	349	2	5	4	10,000
10 High'd P'k-Sweet Sp'gs.	32	156	9	2	3	2	3,000
11 Ronceverte	469	5	15	296	3	2	1	1	3,500	100
12 White Sulphur.....	..	230	11	3	216	8	2	3	3	6,500
13 Alvon	199	26	8	195	14	4	2	3	3,200
14 Callaghan.....	..	286	165	3	386	126	35	7	3	3,000	50
15 Covington.....	..	334	47	18	378	22	3	1	1	30,000	8,000
16 Pott's Creek	46	38	6	90	18	6
17 Clifton Forge.....	..	374	53	35	454	32	2	1	1	2,500
18 Selma	12	12	24	4	5	1
19 Burnsville-Millboro	1	259	10	1	275	1	6	4	2,000
20 Hot Springs	1	131	25	20	177	20	..	5	4	5,500
21 Huntersville	1	146	47	3	170	10	25	4	4	3,300
22 Marlinton.....	..	173	9	5	170	3	4	2	1	2,700
23 Levelton	3	415	70	23	503	22	5	6	6	13,000
24 Green Bank.....	1	336	51	8	371	20	21	7	5	9,000	68
25 Durbin	133	6	4	137	1	8	3	3	5,500	200
26 Back Creek.....	..	9	18	1	106	1	5	5	2,000
Total.....	11	6,539	802	400	7,097	431	160	99	87	\$167,500	\$8,868
MOOREFIELD DISTRICT											
1 Moorefield.....	..	348	13	4	359	10	4	4	4	\$8,000
2 Petersburg	1	140	45	8	193	30	4	4	4	3,500	\$ 75
3 Franklin	344	35	21	393	7	11	5	4	7,000
4 North Fork.....	1	111	7	118	7	2	2
5 Keyser.....	..	295	31	2	317	15	1	1	1	6,000
6 Cumberland.....	..	314	14	18	336	1	12	2	2	20,500	7,000
7 South Cumberland	294	25	4	323	4	33	2	2	7,000	70
8 Elk Garden.....	4	282	7	2	288	25	9	4	5,000
9 Piedmont.....	2	410	13	7	394	4	33	2	2	9,500	540
10 Frostburg	218	69	6	280	5	33	2	2	3,000
11 Flintstone.....	..	199	31	3	191	5	19	5	6	7,800
12 Romney	2	500	35	6	524	21	9	5	5	11,000	1,000
13 Burlington.....	2	229	35	3	235	22	2	6	6	7,000
14 Springfield.....	..	418	73	2	482	25	18	8	7	9,300
15 Slanesville.....	1	316	43	7	335	30	3	8	8	7,800
16 Hardy	1	469	62	9	482	33	6	7	7	5,000	30
17 Morgan.....	..	438	9	1	411	4	16	6	6	6,500	200
18 Gainsboro	282	8	2	282	6	4	6	5	4,555
19 Wardensville.....	1	313	38	290	14	4	3	4,500
20 Capon Bridge.....	..	338	9	347	8	8	5	6,800
21 Listonburg	293	37	2	319	20	11	9	5	5,500
Total.....	15	6,551	639	107	6,899	271	246	105	88	\$145,255	\$8,915

Table No. 1.—Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

		PARSONAGES		Value of Other Church Property	Money Expended for Churches and Parsonages	CHURCHES DAMAGED		INSURANCE STATISTICS		
No. of		Value of	Indebtedness on			No.	Amount of Damage	Insurance Carried	Premiums Paid	Losses Collected.
1	1	†\$2,000		\$ 450	\$ 914			\$ 7,000	\$ 20	
2	1	2,000		350	1,200			1,000	20	
3	1	2,400		200	620			2,000	40	
4	1	1,800		3,000				5,000		
5	1	1,200		400	50					
6	1	1,100	\$ 185	450	1,315					
7	1	1,700	50	205	200			500	3	
8	1	2,000		500	293			2,400	11	
9	1	2,000		300	380					
10					2,125					
11	1	2,500		1,800	270			2,700	12	
12	1	2,000		450	55			3,000	9	
13	1	1,500		250	15					
14	1	3,000	865	3,000	505					
15	1	6,000	2,700		6,325			14,000	65	
16										
17	1	3,500		10,000	6,000			2,500		
18					886					
19				200						
20				420	128			2,500	13	
21					310					
22	1	2,800		450	218			2,700		
23	1	2,400		650	375			3,000	24	
24	1	2,000	50	386	2,891					
25				1,000	100			2,000	10	
26	1							500		
18		\$41,900	\$3,850	\$24,461	\$25,175			\$50,800	\$207	
1	1	†\$4,000		\$250				\$350	\$ 2	
2				1,200	\$900					
3	1	3,000		150	150					
4										
5	1	5,000		300	300			3,800	24	
6					1,082			5,000	25	
7	1	4,000	\$ 1,500	250	602			3,000		
8	1	300		250	450					
9	1	3,500		1,200	840			5,000	73	
10	1	1,500			92			2,000	7	
11	1	850			76					
12	2	7,500	75	500	401			3,000	13	
13	1	1,300			1,280					
14	1	2,000			165			3,225	10	
15				350	130			3,400	20	
16	1	1,200	400	275	1,388			2,400	15	
17	1	1,500		350	1,572			2,700	9	
18	1	2,000			632			2,900	4	
19	1	1,200		300	120			2,000	20	
20	1	1,800		400	40			2,000	25	
21	1	1,000		300	185			500		
18		\$41,650	\$1,975	\$6,075	\$10,405			\$41,275	\$247	

† District Parsonage, valued at \$4,000.

‡ District Parsonage valued at \$4,000.

Recapitulation Table No. 1.

Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

DISTRICTS.	Local Preachers	Members Last Year.	Additions on Profession of Faith	Additions by Certificate and Otherwise	Present Total Members.	Adults Baptized	Infants Baptized	Societies in Charge.	HOUSES OF WORSHIP		
									No. of	Value of	Indebtedness on
1 Baltimore	7	5,530	468	182	5,747	70	337	57	56	\$387,282	\$3,700
2 East Baltimore	9	4,361	344	72	4,226	16	219	61	64	186,400	5,215
3 Washington	17	9,295	585	334	9,470	296	168	91	85	365,500	104,250
4 Winchester	15	8,367	425	154	8,549	234	167	88	77	266,900	15,450
5 Rockingham	2	7,704	357	313	8,432	233	74	81	78	180,775	2,047
6 Roanoke	13	9,191	672	347	9,212	413	84	75	64	287,250	13,257
7 Lewisburg	11	6,539	802	400	7,097	431	160	99	87	167,500	8,868
8 Moorefield	15	6,551	639	107	6,899	271	246	105	88	145,255	8,915
Total	88	57,538	4292	1909	59,632	1964	1455	657	599	1,986,862	161,702
Total last year	91	56,578	3041	2656	57,443	1601	1533	657	579	1,862,683	128,051
Increase	960	1251	2,189	363	20	\$124,179	\$33,651
Decrease	3	745	78

HENRY K. FIELD & CO.

• • • LUMBER • • •

AND

• • MILL WORK • •

Office: 115 N. Union Street.

Factory: 111 N. Lee Street.

ALEXANDRIA, VA.

Recapitulation Table No. 1.

Membership, Baptisms and Church Property.

PARSONAGES.						CHURCHES DAMAGED		INSURANCE STATISTICS		
No. of	Value of	Indebtedness on	Value of Other Church Property	Money Expended for Churches and Parson- ages	No.	Amount of	Insurance Carried	Premiums Paid	Losses Collected	
1	20	*\$56,650	\$7,364	\$12,475	\$9,174	1	\$ 10	\$216,533	\$839	\$ 10
2	18	35,800	1,515	20,625	4,046	112,455	496
3	21	48,850	4,064	86,112	21,353	1	3	199,940	558	3
4	19	49,100	1,500	15,480	19,665	1	48	127,890	331	28
5	26	53,100	3,805	12,655	11,621	1	3,000	104,650	342	1,500
6	24	58,550	6,833	23,732	13,343	1	1,000	107,264	294	784
7	18	41,900	3,850	24,461	25,175	50,800	207
8	18	41,650	1,975	6,075	10,405	41,875	247
	164	\$385,600	\$30,906	\$201,615	\$114,782	5	\$4,061	\$961,407	\$3,314	\$2,325
	181	360,895	25,748	308,522	131,743	4	3,050	851,445	2,985	300
	\$24,705	\$5,158	1	\$1,011	\$109,962	\$329
	17	\$106,907	\$16,961	\$2,025

* Five [5] District parsonages valued at \$24,000.

The Shenandoah Valley National Bank of Winchester, Va.,

ONE OF THE LARGEST AND STRONGEST BANKS IN THE STATE,
RESPECTFULLY SOLICITS YOUR ACCOUNT AND OFFERS EVERY
ACCOMMODATION THAT CAN BE DESIRED.

3 Per Cent. Interest Paid on Deposits in the Savings Department.

Interest Compounded Semi-Annually.

Deposits Received by Mail and a Receipt Book Sent the Same Day.

ORGANIZED IN 1866. CAPITAL, \$200,000.00. SURPLUS, \$150,000.00.

TOTAL ASSETS MORE THAN \$1,800,000.00.

THE SHENANDOAH VALLEY NATIONAL BANK, WINCHESTER, VA.

S. H. HANSBROUGH, President. JNO. W. RICE, Cashier. J. FEW BROWN, Asst. Cashier.

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

	BALTIMORE DISTRICT.	EPWORTH LEAGUES								SUNDAY SCHOOLS		
		Number of Senior Leagues	Number of Senior League Members	Number of Junior Leagues	Number of Junior League Members	Total League Members	Raised by Leagues for Missions	Raised by Leagues for other Objects	Total Raised by Leagues	Number of Sunday Schools	Officers and Teachers	Scholars Enrolled
1	Central.....	1	275	1	75	350	\$ 5	\$100	\$105	1	23	379
2	St. John's—Emmanuel...	1	45	1	24	69	8	25	33	1	20	180
3	Caernarvon.....			1	30	30	6	5	11	1	17	225
4	St. Paul's.....	1	86	1	43	129		55	55	1	43	292
5	Wilkens Avenue.....	1	35			35		15	15	1	21	329
6	Frederick Avenue.....	1	64	1	40	104	10	8	18	1	17	180
7	Arlington.....	1	30			30		30	30	1	17	183
8	Sudbrook.....									1	5	56
9	Reisterstown.....	1	43	1	23	66		23	23	2	20	151
10	Freedom.....	1	12			12				5	38	433
11	Howard.....	2	78			78	15	112	127	4	37	231
12	Linganore.....									4	31	295
13	Frederick City.....	1	25	1	31	56		25	25	2	28	176
14	Brunswick.....									1	7	135
15	Poolesville.....	1	37			37		3	3	1	11	100
16	Clarksburg.....									4	28	176
17	Gaithersburg.....	1	86	1	62	148	137	20	157	2	25	325
18	Rockville.....	1	37	1	44	81	131	64	195	1	15	177
19	Goshen.....									2	18	107
20	Potomac.....									4	30	195
21	Colesville.....									3	17	100
22	Emory.....			1	31	31		10	10	1	14	100
23	Hyattsville.....	2	52	1	20	72	6	56	62	2	17	200
24	Brentwood.....	1	45	1	60	105		103	103	1	13	125
25	Beltsville and Laurel.....									2	10	110
26	Savage.....	3	120			120				3	20	260
27	Wesley Grove.....	4	120	1	12	132		10	10	4	38	250
	Total.....	24	1,190	13	495	1,685	\$318	\$664	\$982	56	580	5,470
	E. BALTIMORE DISTRICT.											
1	Trinity and Calvary.....	1	43			43		\$10	\$10	1	14	148
2	Taylor and Perry Hall...	1	22	1	21	43				4	31	153
3	St. John's of Hamilton...	1	52	1	45	97	6	21	27	1	19	160
4	North Point.....									1	8	82
5	Jarrettsville.....	2	50	1	30	80	22	18	40	2	17	120
6	Aberdeen.....	1	41	2	40	81		24	24	2	22	230
7	Kent.....	2	51	1	29	80	3	20	23	2	23	188
8	Church Hill.....	3	88			88				3	25	120
9	Ingleside.....	1	20	1	12	32	2	20	22	2	12	89
10	Goldsboro.....									2	19	120
11	Hillsboro.....									1	10	46
12	Easton.....	1	70	1	50	120	5		5	1	20	126
13	Caroline.....									2	20	75
14	Trappe.....	1	60			60	15	5	20	2	22	162
15	Royal Oak & Tilghman's..	1	60			60		12	12	3	30	182
16	Severn.....	1	18			18	8	35	43	2	16	76
17	Chesapeake.....									5	54	383
18	Calvert.....	2	110			110		43	43	6	42	312
19	Patuxent.....	1	26			26		5	5	3	12	80
20	Forest Grove.....									3	15	130
21	Prince George's.....	2	35	1	44	79	10		10	4	29	228
22	Charles and St. Mary's...	2	50			50		60	60	5	42	230
	Total.....	23	796	9	271	1,067	71	\$273	\$344	57	501	3,440

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

	SUNDAY SCHOOLS				Woman's Foreign Missionary Society			Woman's Home Mission Society			Total Collected by Women's Societies	Special for Missions
	Collected for Mis- sions	Collected on Chil- dren's Day	Collected for other Objects	Total raised by Sunday Schools	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total money raised	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total money raised		
1	\$354		\$358	\$712	1	46	\$ 60	1	32	\$ 80	\$ 140	
2	448		102	550	1	53	126				126	
3	30		120	150	1	16	5				5	
4	394		724	1,118	1	41	181				181	
5	67		200	267								
6	76	\$ 4	153	233								
7	294	17	133	444	1	20	52	1	65	573	625	
8	12		22	34								
9	110	8	122	240								
10	55	7	154	216				1	5	12	12	
11	128	12	108	248								
12	95	9	167	271								
13	82	7	66	155								
14	20		67	87								
15	103	7	36	146								
16	31		47	78								
17	276	11	128	415	1	27	64				64	
18	71	4	200	275	1	63	103	1	22	232	335	\$158
19	83	15	25	123	2	66	202				202	
20	57	10	46	113	1	19	59				59	
21	15	4	79	98	1	25	38				38	10
22	104	5	175	284				1	36	230	230	2
23	98		100	198	1	20	33	1	51	200	233	
24	23	5	67	95								
25	16		35	51								
26	20			20								10
27	194		200	394	1	30	42				42	
	\$3,256	\$125	\$3,634	\$7,015	13	426	\$965	6	211	\$1,327	\$2,292	\$180
1	\$ 328	\$ 4	\$ 117	\$ 449	1	\$ 84	\$162	1	90	\$ 205	\$ 367	\$4
2	60		80	140								
3	42	2	140	184								
4	95	4	55	154			5				5	
5	113	2	182	297								
6	174	10	335	519				1	45	295	295	
7	42	23	48	113				2	43	195	195	
8	85	6	86	177				1	28	172	172	
9	71	2	35	108								
10	23	2	35	60								
11	41		17	58	1	9	5				5	
12	106		50	156				1	60	214	214	
13												
14	78		35	113								
15	75	4	55	134				2	48	248	248	
16	4		36	40								
17	216	10	103	329								
18	30		80	110				1	11	31	31	
19	13	5	10	28								
20	50	5	40	95								
21	37	8	64	109	2	37	86	1	13	169	255	
22	148	6	75	229								
	\$1,801	\$93	\$1,698	\$3,592	4	130	\$258	10	\$368	\$1,529	\$1,787	\$4

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

WASHINGTON DISTRICT.		EPWORTH LEAGUES.								SUNDAY SCHOOLS		
		Number of Senior Leagues	Number of Senior League Members	Number of Junior Leagues	Number of Junior League Members	Total League Members	Raised by Leagues for Missions	Raised by Leagues for other Objects	Total Raised by Leagues	Number of Sunday Schools	Officers and Teachers	Scholars Enrolled
1	Mt. Vernon Place.....	1	120	1	125	245	\$90	\$337	\$427	1	37	537
2	Epworth.....	1	71	1	72	143	28	63	91	1	29	428
3	Marvin.....	1	50	1	30	80	55	80	135	1	19	178
4	St. Paul's.....	1	85	1	68	153	12	..	12	1	21	304
5	Calvary and Clarendon ..	2	66	1	25	91	10	69	79	2	19	333
6	Del Ray.....	1	31	31	24	24	1	15	234
7	Alexandria.....	1	100	2	80	180	213	213	2	50	686
8	Fredericksburg.....	1	199	199	32	32	1	25	448
9	Falls Church.....	3	37	204
10	Leesburg.....	1	50	1	22	72	10	35	45	2	17	200
11	Loudoun.....	6	49	257
12	Middleburg.....	1	42	42	10	45	55	3	23	197
13	Hillsboro.....	6	60	414
14	Hamilton.....	1	110	1	30	140	15	150	165	4	29	259
15	Warrentown.....	1	34	1	8	42	5	18	23	1	8	86
16	Marshall.....	1	30	30	2	1	3	4	31	284
17	Fairfax.....	5	101	101	5	80	85	9	48	407
18	Sterling.....	1	40	40	25	25	8	48	375
19	Occoquan.....	1	40	40	23	23	7	43	365
20	Fauquier.....	1	34	34	30	30	4	36	230
21	Remington	1	74	74	28	5	33	2	23	120
22	Sudley.....	3	20	110
23	Manassas.....	1	35	35	1	5	6	1	16	110
24	Stafford.....	5	35	272
25	Morrisville	1	50	50	4	24	267
26	Prince William..	4	30	207
Total.....		25	1,362	10	460	1,822	\$294	1212	1506	86	792	7,522
WINCHESTER DISTRICT.												
1	Winchester.....	1	98	98	\$36	\$36	1	37	576
2	Frederick.....	6	55	258
3	Stephens City.....	1	36	36	20	20	4	37	394
4	Middletown.....	1	75	75	40	40	3	42	363
5	Strasburg.....	1	28	28	8	8	5	55	360
6	Woodstock.....	1	35	35	1	19	230
7	Woodstock Mission.....	4	36	263
8	Edinburg.....	1	40	40	\$ 5	9	14	2	22	200
9	Front Royal and Riverton	1	92	2	49	141	76	75	151	3	33	464
10	Warren.....	4	31	185
11	Linden.....	1	21	21	4	4	8	1	8	50
12	Page.....	6	38	250
13	White Post.....	1	31	31	25	25	8	79	237
14	Berryville	1	50	1	30	80	15	21	36	2	27	300
15	Brucetown.....	4	132	1	33	165	16	50	66	8	101	624
16	Martinsburg.....	1	152	1	48	200	40	181	221	1	36	354
17	Berkeley	1	60	60	5	35	450
18	Charles Town.....	1	80	1	81	161	50	57	107	2	35	280
19	Jefferson	2	110	110	5	20	25	5	57	391
20	Shepherdstown.....	2	135	135	20	74	94	4	58	458
21	Shenandoah Junction and	2	101	1	25	126	8	75	83	4	34	309
22	Shannondale.....	3	21	198
Total.		23	1,276	7	266	1,542	\$264	\$670	\$934	82	926	7,149

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

	SUNDAY SCHOOLS.				Woman's Foreign Missionary Society.			Woman's Home Mission Society.			Total Collected by Women's Societies	Special for Missions.
	Collected for Mis- sions	Collected on Chil- dren's Day	Collected for other Objects	Total raised by Sunday Schools	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total money raised	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total money raised		
1	\$ 637	\$ 15	\$ 332	\$ 984	1	56	\$ 185	1	55	\$ 478	\$ 663
2	252	5	427	684	1	79	70	1	98	512	582
3	156	5	390	551	1	35	70	70
4	63	5	475	543	1	53	339	339
5	110	5	187	302	3	88	127	1	62	118	245
6	36	4	144	184	1	15	22	22
7	474	6	361	841	1	172	287	1	100	263	550
8	337	11	348	1	70	290	1	35	214	504
9	135	5	150	290	1	16	23	23
10	175	7	96	278	2	43	152	152
11	16	4	92	112	2	27	48	48	\$14
12	95	71	166	1	15	18	18
13	68	37	200	305
14	62	7	74	143	1	22	39	1	27	37	76
15	125	41	166	1	30	104	1	36	313	417
16	20	40	60	1	18	42	42
17	77	17	163	257	1	17	75	75
18	15	3	80	98
19	114	4	10	128
20	66	50	116	1	17	62	62	6
21	35	7	71	113	2	56	97	97
22	5	16	21	1	25	25	25
23	72	6	50	128	1	23	56	1	28	95	151
24	25	2	125	152
25	6	25	31
26	1	2	45	48
	\$3,172	\$162	\$3,715	\$7,049	19	718	\$1,535	14	590	\$2,626	\$4,161	\$20
1	\$ 295	8	\$ 568	\$ 871	1	39	\$ 108	\$ 108
2	97	97
3	102	141	243	2	73	96	96
4	154	125	279	1	22	28	28
5	68	3	100	171	1	22
6	336	98	434	1	12	13	1	24	\$ 172	185
7	1	66	67
8	114	91	205	1	22	37	37
9	137	4	142	283	1	55	192	192
10	2	78	80
11	4	3	9	16	1	18	88	88
12	30	30	1	10	10	10
13	86	167	253
14	225	13	162	400	1	44	135	135
15	257	20	159	436	1	10	54	1	19	20	74	\$10
16	302	8	182	492	1	36	104	104
17	167	4	150	321
18	248	147	395	2	52	224	1	29	269	493
19	67	13	97	177	1	35	53	53	21
20	248	5	241	494	2	45	72	72	16
21	83	215	298	1	16	50	50
22	60	60
	\$2,893	\$84	\$3,125	\$6,102	17	483	\$1,166	5	100	\$559	\$1,725	\$47

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Women's Work.

ROCKINGHAM DISTRICT	EPWORTH LEAGUES								SUNDAY SCHOOLS		
	Number of Senior Leagues	Number of Senior League Members	Number of Junior Leagues	Number of Junior League Members	Total League Members	Raised by Leagues for Missions	Raised by Leagues for Other Objects	Total Raised by Leagues	Number of Sunday Schools	Officers and Teachers	Scholars Enrolled
1 Staunton.....	1	50	1	25	75	\$34	\$16	\$ 50	2	48	414
2 Staunton Mission.....	1	20			20		100	100	2	18	191
3 Harrisonburg.....	1	50	1	40	90				2	35	460
4 Bridgewater.....	3	175	1	30	205	5	65	70	4	44	419
5 Mt. Crawford.....	1	20			20	5		5	4	41	375
6 Parnassus.....	1	40			40	9	45	54	4	38	263
7 Churchville.....									5	30	253
8 Augusta.....	3	90			90	15	25	40	4	42	352
9 Waynesboro.....	1	42	1	54	96	15	26	41	1	25	272
10 Basic.....	1	49	1	42	91	15	89	104	2	26	300
11 New Hope.....									3	26	235
12 Port Republic.....	1	27	1	32	59				5	53	428
13 Rockingham.....			1	40	40	21	50	71	3	25	200
14 East Rockingham.....									3	25	175
15 Elkton.....	2	50			50	5	5	10	3	28	260
16 Shenandoah-Marksville..									4	40	227
17 Luray.....	1	42			42		5	5	1	18	160
18 New Market.....	1	62			62	5	6	11	3	42	300
19 Mt. Jackson.....									2	11	132
20 Fairfield.....									4	32	256
21 Rockbridge Baths.....	1	40			40	9		9	1	7	70
22 Goshen.....									4	27	169
23 McDowell.....	1	25			25	8		8	6	38	374
24 Monterey.....									3	30	250
25 Crabbottom.....	1	20	1	25	45				2	14	155
Total.....	21	802	8	288	1090	\$146	\$432	\$578	77	763	6,670
ROANOKE DISTRICT.											
1 Salem.....	1	55	1	35	90				2	25	284
2 Greene Memorial.....	1	90	1	150	240		\$100	\$100	1	39	1,052
3 Trinity.....	1	52			52		7	7	1	35	330
4 St. James.....	1	35	1	78	113	\$7	16	23	1	28	335
5 Grace.....	1	73	1	30	103		54	54	1	29	409
6 Belmont and East End..	1	45	1	52	97		70	70	1	30	310
7 Melrose.....			1	79	79		13	13	1	17	105
8 West End.....											
9 Vinton.....	1	20	1	42	62		3	3	1	16	248
10 Roanoke Circuit.....	1	25			25				4	28	218
11 Bethany.....									3	26	168
12 Buchanan.....									7	56	580
13 Fincastle.....									3	29	223
14 Glen Wilton.....	1	32	1	33	65	51	36	87	3	27	227
15 Eagle Rock.....			1	30	30				2	25	158
16 Buena Vista.....	1	40	1	60	100	10	30	40	1	30	300
17 Rockbridge.....	1	22			22				2	20	200
18 Lexington.....	1	50			50				1	18	383
19 Collierstown.....									3	20	215
20 Elliston.....	1	12			12				4	33	185
21 Christiansburg.....			1	60	60		5	5	1	17	200
22 Cambria Circuit.....	1	19			19		3	3	4	36	270
23 Blacksburg.....	1	45	1	30	75		21	21	1	25	220
24 Newport.....	1	25	1	27	52	4	19	23	4	44	369
25 New Castle.....									2	20	140
26 Oriskany.....									3	20	121
27 Catawba.....	2	56			56		13	13	9	56	400
Total.....	18	646	13	706	1352	\$ 72	\$390	\$462	66	749	7,650

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

	SUNDAY SCHOOLS				Woman's Foreign Missionary Society			Woman's Home Mission Society			Total Collected by Women's Societies	Special for Missions
	Collected for Mis- sions	Collected on Chil- dren's Day	Collected for Other Objects	Total Raised by Sunday Schools	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total Money Raised	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total Money Raised		
1	\$375	\$15	\$355	\$745	2	61	\$221	1	39	\$168	\$389	\$50
2	64	5	63	132	1	46	138	2	65	255	255
3	362	20	375	757	1	46	138	1	44	263	401
4	145	2	140	287	1	20	25	1	26	65	90	10
5	129	134	263	1	20	25	1	26	65	90	44
6	35	40	75
7	30	51	81
8	57	13	110	180	40
9	188	7	425	620	1	122	109	109	15
10	166	125	291	1	9	75	75
11	77	102	179
12	136	21	96	253
13	10	2	40	52
14	26	5	100	131	1	20	40	40
15	40	60	100	1	8	31	31
16	74	5	100	179
17	100	42	142	1	10	15	15
18	29	4	127	160	1	22	22	22	25
19	19	24	43
20	2	33	35	1	26	18	18
21	3	3
22	20	64	84
23	30	30	1	12	24	1	8	25	49
24	32	32	1	18	28	28
25	29	21	50	1	35	78	78
	\$2,178	\$99	\$2,627	\$4,904	10	217	\$544	10	374	\$1,056	\$1,600	\$184
1	\$ 185	\$8	\$145	\$ 338	2	53	\$109	1	38	\$304	\$413
2	1,111	8	544	1,663	1	96	177	1	86	250	427
3	622	209	831	1	28	78	78
4	262	3	615	880	1	18	36	36
5	213	5	98	316	1	12	70	70
6	179	3	233	415	1	10	10	1	34	134	144
7	96	2	103	201	1	17	132	132
8
9	153	4	270	427	1	18	29	1	51	127	156
10	72	9	60	141	1	38	40	40
11	45	24	69
12	74	204	278
13	70	55	125	1	14	13	13
14	123	157	280	1	19	23	23
15	130	3	60	193	1	9	300	300
16	159	4	175	338	1	19	228	228
17	23	52	75
18	186	3	239	428	1	8	10	10
19	50	50
20	69	60	129	2	22	157	157
21	80	135	215
22	67	3	88	158
23	200	72	272	1	40	89	89
24	33	95	128
25	60	70	130
26	21	22	43
27	20	71	91
	\$4,303	\$55	\$3,856	\$8,214	12	346	\$674	10	284	\$1,642	\$2,316

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

		EPWORTH LEAGUES.							SUNDAY SCHOOLS			
		Number of Senior Leagues	Number of Senior League Members	Number of Junior Leagues	Number of Junior League Members	Total League Members	Raised by Leagues for Missions	Raised by Leagues for other Objects	Total Raised by Leagues	Number of Sunday Schools	Officers and Teachers	Scholars Enrolled.
LEWISBURG DISTRICT.												
1	Lewisburg.....	1	49	1	43	92	\$6	\$98	\$104	1	16	182
2	Frankford									10	60	520
3	Blue Sulphur	1	36			36		3	3	4	27	240
4	Hinton.....	1	28			28		25	25	1	18	200
5	Talcott	1	20	1	15	35	5		5	4	20	200
6	Greenville									7	49	409
7	Peterstown									4	24	240
8	Alderson.....	1	52	1	35	87		25	25	2	20	250
9	Union.....									4	31	237
10	High'l'd P'k and Sweet Sp'gs...	2	40	2	35	75	3	5	8	2	16	141
11	Ronceverte.....	1	39			39		37	37	1	19	187
12	White Sulphur ...	1	47			47		113	113	3	18	202
13	Alvon	2	72	1	6	78		5	5	4	20	306
14	Callaghan									5	35	321
15	Covington	1	125	1	40	165		65	65	2	29	580
16	Potts Creek									4	17	190
17	Clifton Forge	1	35	1	64	99		350	350	1	22	385
18	Selma.....									1	12	120
19	Burnsville-Millboro	1	45			45				4	27	283
20	Hot Springs.....									4	20	215
21	Huntersville			2	73	73		1	1	5	20	140
22	Back Creek.....									2	7	75
23	Marlinton.....	1	30			30				1	16	129
24	Levelton.....	1	32	1	19	51				9	46	490
25	Green Bank.....									6	35	289
26	Durbin.....	1	12			12				4	18	210
Total		17	662	11	330	992	\$14	\$737	\$751	95	644	6,741
MOOREFIELD DISTRICT.												
1	Moorefield	1	26			26	\$ 12	\$ 5	\$ 17	4	33	245
2	Petersburg.....	1	25	1	18	43	5	13	18	5	28	245
3	Franklin	2	60			60		5	5	2	24	120
4	North Fork											
5	Keyser.....	1	68			68		20	20	1	21	341
6	Cumberland.....	1	58	1	22	80		4	4	2	24	281
7	South Cumberland.....	1	25	1	35	60	12	97	109	2	30	300
8	Elk Garden									6	40	325
9	Piedmont	1	37	1	73	110	100	36	136	2	32	334
10	Frostburg.....	2	66	1	29	95	4	4	8	2	31	255
11	Flintstone									3	16	148
12	Romney	1	60			60	10		10	4	38	316
13	Burlington.....									5	30	250
14	Springfield									8	70	407
15	Slanesville.....	2	50			50	1	5	6	8	50	328
16	Hardy									7	49	360
17	Morgan	1	30			30	8		8	5	56	367
18	Gainsboro.....									5	45	380
19	Wardensville.....	2	50			50	7	3	10	4	27	212
20	Capon Bridge.....	1	30	1	21	51	15	3	18	1	40	254
21	Listonburg	1	27			27		4	4	5	45	330
Total		18	612	6	198	810	\$174	\$199	\$973	81	729	5,798

Table No. 2.—Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

	SUNDAY SCHOOLS				Woman's Foreign Missionary Society.			Woman's Home Mission Society			Total Collected by Women's Societies	Special for Missions.
	Collected for Mis- sions	Collected Chil- dren's Day	Collected for Other Objects	Total Raised by Sunday Schools	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total Raised by Sunday Schools	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total money raised		
1	\$ 234	\$ 6	\$ 108	\$ 348	1	23	\$ 128				\$ 128	
2	136		136	272	1	21	42				42	\$ 39
3			60	60								6
4					1	32	62				62	
5	15	1	25	41								
6	8		64	72								
7	25	1	117	143				1	12	\$ 173	173	
8	134	5	150	289	1	27	28				28	
9	95	2	50	147	1	14	73	1	15	2	75	
10	25		10	35	1	14	10				10	
11	186		114	300	1	15	28				28	54
12	125	7	50	182								
13	65	1	40	106				1	12	75	75	30
14	27		30	57	1	22	15	2	45	279	294	
15	266		235	501	1	14	25				25	5
16												
17	161		400	561	1	39	110	1	62	1,108	1,218	
18			25	25								
19	3		21	24								
20	15		75	90								
21	6	2	15	23				1	18		18	
22	15			15								
23	84		136	220	1	21	22	1	33	156	178	
24	199	5	85	289								
25	63		54	117	2	49	83				83	
26	10		60	70				3	59	274	274	5
	\$1,897	\$30	\$2,060	\$3,987	13	291	\$626	11	256	\$2,067	\$2,711	\$139
1	\$ 37	\$ 2	\$ 92	\$ 131	1	19	\$ 70				\$ 70	
2	35		70	105				1	21	\$ 60	60	
3	197		130	327	1	26	51				51	
4												
5	200	3	134	337	1	19	48			352	400	
6	168		109	277				1	54	184	184	
7	131	3	135	269	1	14	24	1	39	217	241	
8	34		130	164								
9	170	3	169	342	1	26	33	1	39	268	301	
10	30	2	114	146				1	45	62	62	
11			21	21								
12	78	6	150	234	1	18	69				69	
13	25		30	55								
14	22	2	97	121								
15	11	9	61	81								
16	10	5	36	51				1	21	80	80	
17	54		40	94								
18	25	3	35	63				1	16	15	15	
19	10		4	14								
20	6	2	12	20	1	8	20				20	
21	30		68	98	1	10	43					
	\$1,273	\$40	\$1,637	\$2,950	8	140	\$358	7	235	\$1,238	\$1,553	

Recapitulation Table No. 2.

Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

DISTRICTS.	EPWORTH LEAGUES								SUNDAY SCHOOLS		
	Number of Senior Leagues	Number of Senior League Members	Number of Junior Leagues	Number of Junior League Members	Total League Members	Raised by Leagues for Missions	Raised by Leagues for Other Objects	Total Raised by Leagues	Number of Sunday Schools	Officers and Teachers	Scholars Enrolled
1 Baltimore.....	24	1,190	13	495	1,685	\$318	\$664	\$982	56	577	5,470
2 East Baltimore.....	23	796	9	271	1,067	71	273	344	57	501	3,440
3 Washington.....	25	1,362	10	460	1,822	294	1,212	1,506	86	792	7,522
4 Winchester.....	23	1,276	7	266	1,542	264	670	934	82	926	7,194
5 Rockingham.....	21	802	8	288	1,090	146	432	578	77	763	6,670
6 Roanoke.....	18	646	13	706	1,352	72	390	462	66	749	7,650
7 Lewisburg.....	17	662	11	330	992	14	737	751	95	644	6,741
8 Moorefield.....	18	612	6	198	810	174	199	973	81	729	5,798
Total.....	169	7,346	77	3,014	10,360	\$1,353	\$4,577	\$5,930	600	5,681	50,485
Total last year.....	173	7,399	63	2,365	9,764	1,489	3,850	5,339	587	5,529	47,637
Increase.....			14	649	596		\$727	\$591	13	152	2,848
Decrease.....	5	53				\$136					

D. L. COON,
Boarding and Livery Stables.

CARRIAGES FOR ALL OCCASIONS.

627-629 G STREET N. W.

Phone Main 283

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Recapitulation Table No. 2.

Epworth Leagues, Sunday Schools and Woman's Work.

	SUNDAY SCHOOLS				Woman's Foreign Missionary Society			Woman's Home Mission Society			Total Collected by Women's Societies	Special for Missions
	Collected for Mis- sions	Collected on Chil- dren's Day	Collected for Other Objects	Total Raised by Sunday Schools	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total money raised	Number of Socie- ties	Number of Mem- bers	Total money raised		
1	\$3,256	\$125	\$3,634	\$7,015	13	426	\$ 965	6	211	\$1,327	\$2,292	\$180
2	1,801	93	1,698	3,592	4	130	258	10	368	1,529	1,787	4
3	3,172	162	3,715	7,049	19	718	1,535	14	590	2,626	4,161	20
4	2,893	84	3,125	6,102	17	483	1,166	5	100	559	1,725	47
5	2,178	99	2,627	4,904	10	217	544	10	374	1,056	1,600	184
6	4,303	55	3,856	8,214	12	346	674	10	284	1,642	2,316
7	1,897	30	2,060	3,987	13	291	626	11	256	2,067	2,711	139
8	1,273	40	1,637	2,950	8	140	358	7	235	1,238	1,553
	\$20,773	\$688	\$22,352	\$43,813	96	2,751	\$6,126	73	2,418	\$12,044	\$18,170	\$574
	19,587	748	20,312	40,647	97	2,645	5,619	73	2,369	11,950	17,569
	\$1,186	\$2,040	\$3,166	106	\$507	49	\$94	\$601	\$574
	\$60	1

T. W. WILLIAMS

FINE SHOES

103 West Baltimore Street

BALTIMORE, MD.

Table No. 3.—Finance.

BALTIMORE DISTRICT		Presiding Elder		Preacher in Charge		
		Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	House Rent
B. W. Bond, Presiding Elder						
1	Central—C. D. Harris.....	\$160	\$160	\$1,700	\$1,700	\$300
2	St. John's—Emmanuel— M. D. Mitchell....	139	139	2,000	2,000
3	Caernarvon—G. H. Fielding...	25	20	550	450
4	St. Paul's—R. L. Fultz.....	184	184	1,500	1,500	400
5	Wilkens Avenue—Geo. Hasel..	50	50	800	750
6	Frederick Ave.—J. N. Mast....	39	39	500	500
7	Arlington—B. V. Switzer.....	150	150	1,200	1,200	300
8	Reisterstown } J. H. Kuhlmann	56	56	550	550	200
9	Sudbrook {	14	14	181	181
10	Freedom—J. B. Hupman.....	60	60	800	770	100
11	Howard—C. L. Kennard.....	80	80	810	810	125
12	Linganore—S. A. Parker.....	70	70	656	656	150
13	Frederick—J. Paul Tyler.....	65	65	950	950	216
14	Brunswick—J. W. McNeil....	55	55	700	700
15	Poolesville—M. H. Keen.....	65	65	700	700	100
16	Clarksburg—H. L. Bivens.....	59	55	700	644	100
17	Gaithersburg—H. W. Burruss..	100	100	1,000	1,042	200
18	Rockville—E. L. Woolf.....	70	70	800	800	300
19	Goshen—J. C. Copenhaver....	54	54	625	625
20	Potomac—W. L. Smith... ..	52	52	600	610	48
21	Colesville—W. C. Smith.	40	40	475	475
22	Emory—C. M. Hesser.....	65	65	800	800	300
23	Hyattsville—W. P. Johnston...	50	50	600	612	300
24	Brentwood—J. H. Wilhite....	22	19	400	331
25	Beltsville & Laurel—A. B. Sites.	39	33	500	404	120
26	Savage—E. Barber.....	39	39	516	516
27	Wesley Grove—J. R. Jacobs...	98	98	900	933	100
Total.....		\$1,900	\$1,882	\$21,513	\$21,209	\$3,359
E. BALTIMORE DISTRICT						
J. A. Anderson, Presiding Elder.						
1	Trin. & Calv'y--F. J. Prettyman.	\$305	\$305	\$1,800	\$1,800	\$800
Taylor and Perry Hall—						
2	J. J. Ringer.....	76	51	600	600	200
3	A. E. Owens, Jun. Pr.....	18	300	218
4	St. John's of Hamilton— F. M. Richardson..	33	33	350	350
5	North Point—S. R. Neel.....	73	73	600	*455
6	Jarrettsville—H. C. Febrey...	75	75	600	600	100
7	Aberdeen—F. A. Tyler.....	103	103	900	900	200
8	Kent—J. A. Rood	91	91	700	700	100
9	Church Hill—C. L. DeLong...	57	57	410	410	100
10	Ingleside—J. D. Pope.....	68	68	550	550	75
11	Goldsboro—Frank Jenkins ...	54	50	400	368
12	Hillsboro—H. Sydenstricker...	59	59	450	450	70
13	Easton—J. C. Thrasher... ..	100	88	800	700
14	Caroline—John Rosser, Sup....	18	18	100	110
15	Trappe—J. W. Grubb.....	62	62	550	550	75
16	Royal Oak and Tilghmans— J. M. Anderson..	89	89	700	700	75
17	Severn—I. Ryder.....	64	33	450	250	50
18	Chesapeake—W. H. Sanders...	141	141	900	900	100
19	Calvert—W. M. Waters.....	149	149	750	750	125
W. N. Simpson	300	†100	...
20	Patuxent—Chas. I. Flory.....	43	43	350	351	100
21	Forest Grove—J. W. Mitchell..	66	66	500	500	75
22	Pr. George—E. W. Brubaker..	75	75	600	600	150
23	Charles and St. Mary's— D. M. Brown....	99	97	740	725	150
Total.....		\$1,900	\$1,844	\$14,400	\$13,637	\$2,545

*Salary for 9 months; no preacher other 3 months.

†For part of year only.

Table No. 3.—Finance.

	Bishops		Conference Claimants		Ch. Extension		R M. College.		Educat'l Extens'n		Paid Amer. Bible Soc.	Paid for Objects not elsewhere reported	Total Finance Table	Total Am't Raised for All Purposes.
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid				
1	\$35	\$35	\$209	\$209	\$107	\$107	\$37	\$37	\$19	\$19	\$10	\$2,020	\$4,661	\$5,259
2	30	30	182	182	92	92	32	32	17	17	2,007	4,794	5,187
3	5	3	33	20	16	10	6	4	3	2	355	893	1,444
4	40	40	241	241	123	148	42	42	22	22	12	2,678	5,253	6,376
5	11	5	65	20	33	8	11	6	400	1,300	1,516
6	8	8	51	51	26	26	9	9	5	5	3	130	849	1,229
7	32	32	196	196	100	100	34	34	18	18	15	1,113	3,177	4,400
8	12	12	73	73	37	37	13	13	7	7	5	145	1,024	1,191
9	3	3	18	17	10	9	3	2	2	1	46	297	339
10	13	10	79	60	40	30	14	10	7	5	12	144	1,221	1,964
11	17	17	105	105	53	53	18	18	10	10	3	105	1,361	1,862
12	15	15	92	92	46	46	16	16	8	8	6	158	1,119	1,640
13	14	14	85	85	43	43	15	15	8	8	8	1,079	2,397	2,421
14	12	9	72	49	36	22	13	8	6	3	3	107	1,044	1,183
15	14	14	85	85	43	43	15	15	8	8	3	215	1,302	1,460
16	13	13	77	77	39	39	13	13	7	7	3	971	1,049
17	22	22	131	131	66	66	23	23	12	12	10	761	2,479	3,171
18	15	15	92	92	46	46	16	16	8	8	5	156	1,350	2,196
19	12	12	71	100	36	46	12	12	6	6	6	125	1,179	1,930
20	11	11	68	72	34	34	12	12	6	6	7	111	1,027	1,585
21	9	9	52	52	27	27	9	9	5	4	560	698	1,258
22	14	9	85	46	43	25	15	8	8	5	2	1,065	2,265
23	11	11	65	65	33	33	11	11	6	6	977	2,000
24	5	5	29	29	15	15	5	5	2	2	450	547
25	8	5	48	21	26	13	9	3	5	2	519	970
26	8	8	51	51	26	26	9	9	5	5	27	721	991
27	21	21	128	128	65	65	23	23	12	12	711	2,277	4,019
	\$410	\$388	\$2,483	\$2,349	1261	1209	\$435	\$399	\$228	\$204	\$144	\$13,126	\$44,405	\$59,452
1	\$49	\$40	\$298	\$205	\$151	\$100	\$53	\$35	\$26	\$15	\$10	\$4,781	\$7,629	\$8,131
2	12	8	74	50	39	26	13	7	7	4	1,229	1,773
3	2	19	10	2	1
4	5	5	32	32	16	16	6	6	3	3	3	595	1,094	1,958
5	12	3	71	60	36	18	12	3	7	4	3	197	930	1,134
6	12	12	73	73	37	37	13	13	7	7	255	1,188	1,700
7	17	17	101	101	51	51	18	18	9	9	13	459	1,870	2,174
8	15	15	89	89	45	45	16	16	8	8	4	383	1,487	1,487
9	9	9	56	56	28	28	10	10	5	2	112	773	1,172
10	11	11	66	66	34	34	11	11	6	6	2	125	994	1,142
11	9	3	53	15	27	8	9	3	4	2	2	65	571	705
12	9	9	58	58	29	29	10	10	5	5	2	33	747	911
13	16	10	98	67	50	25	17	5	9	242	1,295	1,633
14	3	2	16	5	7	5	3	2	140	140
15	10	5	50	50	31	21	11	6	3	1	124	909	1,027
16	14	7	87	50	44	22	15	8	8	4	3	98	1,132	1,608
17	10	3	63	12	32	6	11	3	6	2	3	333	333
18	23	23	137	137	70	70	24	24	13	13	5	315	1,850	2,146
19	24	10	146	52	74	30	25	10	13	5	3	276	1,398	1,988
20	7	4	42	29	21	14	7	3	4	2	5	36	535	825
21	11	5	64	38	33	25	11	3	6	3	1	60	760	869
22	12	12	73	73	37	37	13	13	7	7	8	211	1,152	1,731
23	16	14	97	87	49	45	17	12	9	6	5	132	1,279	1,898
	\$306	\$229	\$1,855	\$1,424	\$941	\$702	\$325	\$215	\$170	\$109	\$75	\$8,499	\$29,295	\$36,485

Table No. 3.—Finance.

WASHINGTON DISTRICT	Presiding Elder		Preacher in Charge		
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid.	House Rent
E. V. Regester, Pres. Elder					
1 Mt. Vernon Pl.—J. H. Wells...	\$ 247	\$ 247	\$1,700	\$1,700	\$ 700
2 Epworth—J. W. Smith	105	105	1,500	1,500
3 Marvin—S. K. Cockrell	90	90	1,200	1,200
4 St. Paul's—W. E. Henry	26	28	700	700
5 Calvary and Clarendon— W. H. Ballengee	42	43	1,000	1,000
J. J. Rives			200	200
6 Del Ray—O. C. Beall	11	11	300	300
7 Alexandria—C. D. Bulla	196	196	1,400	1,400	300
8 Fredericksburg—W. L. Dolly..	122	122	1,100	1,100	250
9 Falls Church—J. L. Kibler....	95	95	900	900	250
10 Leesburg—W. D. Keene	95	95	950	950	250
11 Loudoun—D. F. Eutsler	101	101	900	900	150
Jas. S. Keene			200	200
12 Middleburg—J. H. Balthis ..	68	68	800	800	150
13 Hillsboro—W. B. Dorsey ..	79	79	750	750	150
14 Hamilton—S. V. Hildebrand...	79	79	800	800	150
15 Warrenton—P. W. Jeffries....	84	84	825	825	150
16 Marshall—O. W. Lusby	68	68	800	805	150
17 Fairfax—F. A. Strother	72	72	900	900	150
Junior Preacher				125
18 Sterling—G. W. Gaither	68	68	600	600	60
Elmer Lambert			200	200
19 Occoquan—C. Sydenstricker...	84	84	800	875	120
20 Fauquier—O. F. Burgess	42	39	600	511	100
21 Remington—J. H. Davidson...	37	37	600	600	120
22 Sudley—Homer Welch	58	58	550	505	120
23 Manassas—W. T. Gover	42	42	700	700	150
24 Stafford—C. M. Sarver	34	34	500	500	60
25 Morrisville—M. P. Weikle....	34	28	476	395	60
26 Pr. William—A. VanDevander.	21	18	330	289	72
Total	\$2,000	\$1,991	\$22,281	\$22,230	\$3,662
WINCHESTER DISTRICT.					
G. T. Tyler, Presiding Elder..					\$300
1 Winchester—D. H. Kern	\$118	\$118	\$1,200	\$1,200	300
2 Frederick—C. L. Potter	44	44	500	500	150
3 Stephens City—Wm. Stevens..	76	76	800	810	120
4 Middletown—J. P. Stump	83	83	900	900	100
5 Strasburg—A. Knox	62	62	700	700	75
6 Woodstock—H. M. Canter	115	115	1,200	1,200	200
7 Woodstock Miss.—H. S. Willey.	28	28	372	372
8 Edinburg—T. G. Nevitt	45	45	500	500	100
9 Front Royal—J. H. Light	92	92	1,000	1,000	190
10 Warren—N. N. Hall	35	35	415	383	120
11 Linden—C. W. Stump	44	41	500	461	50
12 Page—H. M. Strickler	36	30	429	350	60
13 White Post—L. Fox	68	68	550	495	100
T. J. Swann			200	180
14 Berryville—J. H. Smith	76	76	850	850	120
15 Brucetown—H. V. Wheeler....	90	92	660	660	125
W. R. Hardesty			320	320
16 Martinsburg—W. F. Locke....	118	118	1,300	1,300	300
17 Berkeley—I. G. Michael	66	70	750	750	50
18 Charles Town—J. T. Williams.	93	93	900	900	250
19 Jefferson—D. A. Beery	83	83	800	828	125
20 Shepherdstown—H. I. Stephens	84	84	1,000	1,024	120
21 Shenandoah Jc.—R. K. Nevitt.	45	45	600	600
22 Shanondale—A. A. P. Neel, Jr.				*52
Total	\$1,501	\$1,498	\$16,446	\$16,335	\$2,961

*For 3 months' service.

Table No. 3—Finance.

	Bishops		Conference Claimants		Ch. Extension		R. M. College.		Educat'l Extens'n		Paid Amer. Bible Soc.	Paid for Objects not elsewhere reported	Total Finance Table	Total amount raised for all Purposes
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid				
1	\$65	\$65	\$398	\$398	\$202	\$202	\$71	\$71	\$36	\$36	\$49	\$3,429	\$7,556	\$9,107
2	28	28	171	171	86	86	30	30	16	16	8	1,034	3,238	5,525
3	25	25	141	141	70	70	22	22	11	11	16	532	2,236	2,892
4	7	7	43	43	21	21	7	7	4	4	19	894	4,300
5	11	11	69	69	35	35	12	12	6	6	5	504	2,013	7,652
6	3	3	17	17	8	8	3	3	2	2	2	370	725
7	51	51	315	315	161	161	55	55	30	30	6	2,068	5,097	11,387
8	32	32	196	196	100	100	35	35	17	17	7	758	2,661	3,535
9	25	25	153	87	77	26	27	11	13	10	7	371	1,722	1,958
10	25	25	153	153	77	77	27	27	13	13	5	459	2,041	2,331
11	27	17	163	104	82	54	29	17	14	7	12	337	1,614	2,545
12	18	15	110	88	56	45	20	16	11	9	5	212	1,427	2,489
13	21	15	126	64	66	20	23	12	12	6	6	300	1,362	1,362
14	21	21	126	126	66	66	23	23	12	12	743	2,071	2,724
15	23	15	135	90	68	45	25	17	12	9	2	191	1,418	2,476
16	18	10	110	60	56	45	20	10	11	5	2	127	1,301	1,597
17	19	19	115	115	59	59	21	21	11	11	20	275	1,376	3,480
18	18	11	110	81	56	20	19	2	11	5	5	1,108	1,396
19	23	15	135	90	68	60	25	5	13	5	11	786	1,286	2,072
20	11	6	68	55	34	12	11	6	3	9	255	1,050	1,600
21	10	10	59	59	30	16	10	6	6	4	5	92	919	1,157
22	15	8	93	47	47	24	15	6	9	5	4	160	883	1,145
23	11	11	68	68	35	35	10	10	6	6	4	152	1,132	1,276
24	9	9	54	54	27	27	9	9	5	5	1	50	775	1,077
25	9	5	54	20	27	5	9	5	5	519	519
26	6	3	34	17	17	9	5	2	3	2	2	125	424	662
	\$531	\$462	\$3,216	\$2,728	1631	1328	\$563	\$429	\$295	\$239	\$217	\$12,960	\$46,493	\$77,066
1	\$33	\$33	\$202	\$202	\$103	\$103	\$35	\$35	\$19	\$19	\$ 7	\$1,159	\$3,191	\$6,017
2	13	13	80	80	39	39	15	15	8	8	1	130	966	1,168
3	24	15	133	94	69	44	23	14	12	7	3	250	1,493	1,815
4	25	20	150	100	77	25	26	18	14	7	4	11	1,329	1,802
5	15	15	100	100	52	52	18	18	10	10	4	49	1,158	3,202
6	33	33	202	202	100	100	34	34	18	18	8	297	2,319	3,072
7	7	2	60	11	20	4	10	2	4	1	1	4	441	715
8	14	14	83	83	43	43	15	15	8	8	10	108	1,007	1,417
9	26	26	143	143	75	75	26	26	12	12	8	228	1,826	5,332
10	10	3	70	17	35	8	12	3	7	2	32	543	681
11	14	5	83	25	42	19	14	4	8	3	3	58	729	852
12	8	5	66	35	31	10	11	6	5	3	3	5	512	567
13	15	11	100	60	50	15	18	2	9	3	4	320	1,055	1,222
14	24	24	133	133	70	70	23	23	12	12	5	333	1,768	2,662
15	27	27	156	156	79	79	27	27	14	14	25	241	1,917	2,429
16	34	34	202	202	105	105	35	35	19	19	11	2,608	2,611	4,820
17	20	20	116	116	59	59	20	20	11	11	4	166	1,227	8,556
18	25	25	150	150	79	80	26	26	14	14	8	235	1,907	3,160
19	24	24	141	145	69	73	25	25	12	12	21	12	1,435	1,982
20	24	24	148	148	74	74	26	26	14	14	10	162	1,635	2,688
21	13	13	79	79	43	43	15	15	8	8	5	394	1,327	1,682
22	3	60	60
	\$428	\$386	\$2,599	\$2,281	1314	1120	\$454	\$389	\$238	\$193	\$150	\$6,802	\$30,456	\$55,701

Table No. 3—Finance.

ROCKINGHAM DISTRICT.	Presiding Elder		Preacher in Charge.		
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	House Rent
G. D. White, Presiding Elder.....					\$300
1 Staunton—H. P. Hamill.....	\$168	\$168	\$1,600	\$1,600	254
2 Staunton Mis.—B. D. Harrison	42	42	500	500	100
3 Harrisonburg—J. W. Duffey...	141	141	1,400	1,400	200
4 Bridgewater—G. W. Richardson	73	73	750	750	105
5 Mt. Crawford—B. F. Ball.....	64	64	625	633	75
6 Parnassus—J. M. Kline.....	58	58	625	625	75
7 Churchville—H. A. Wilson.....	42	28	450	287	75
8 Augusta—R. L. Eutsler.....	73	73	700	700	75
9 Waynesboro—A. A. Walker....	73	73	700	700	120
10 Basic—H. P. Baker.....	44	44	475	475
11 New Hope—W. M. Compton...	47	47	550	550	40
12 Port Republic—G. R. Mays...	53	53	550	550	50
13 Rockingham—F. Furr.....	52	44	500	426	100
14 E. Rockingham—C. B. Sutton.	55	55	600	600	60
15 Elkton—H. S. Coe.....	63	60	600	550	150
16 Shenandoah and Marksville— R. M. Wheeler.....	68	60	600	537	140
Assistant.....			90	51
17 Luray—L. L. Lloyd.....	84	84	800	800	120
18 New Market—M. T. Tabler....	57	57	550	540	40
19 Mt Jackson—F. E. Hammond.	42	38	450	413	100
20 Fairfield—J. L. Henderson....	63	63	600	600	60
21 Rockbridge Baths— J. W. Reeves.....	31	31	325	325
22 Goshen—A. B. Sapp.....	34	34	325	325	60
23 McDowell—H. M. Roane.....	58	50	600	505	75
24 Monterey—W. N. Wagner.....	68	68	700	467	150
25 Crabbottom—Thos. Cooper....	47	41	450	392	75
Total.....	\$1,600	\$1,549	\$16,025	\$15,301	\$2,589
ROANOKE DISTRICT.					
J. R. Andrew, P. E.....					\$250
1 Salem—David Bush.....	\$ 92	\$ 92	\$1,200	\$1,200	220
2 Greene Mem.—W. S. Neighbors	210	210	2,100	2,100	300
3 Trinity—H. H. Sherman.....	88	88	1,300	1,300	500
4 St. James—D. L. Blakemore..	97	97	1,200	1,200	156
5 Grace—C. H. Cannon.....	67	67	1,000	1,000	150
6 Belmont—J. H. Bean.....	64	64	800	800	68
7 Melrose—R. C. Meeks.....	30	30	400	446
8 Vinton—J. B. Henry.....	54	54	800	800	200
9 Roanoke Cir.—C. E. Simmons.	41	34	500	416	60
10 Bethany—W. D. King.....	40	40	500	500	60
11 Buchanan—W. J. Whitesell...	93	89	750	715	100
R. D. McNeer.....			300	286
12 Fincastle—L. Butt.....	38	38	600	600	150
13 Glen Wilton—J. H. Haley....	50	50	800	800	125
14 Eagle Rock—W. Melville.....	34	34	500	500	150
15 Buena Vista—W. H. D. Harper	50	50	1,000	1,000	200
16 Rockbridge—T. A. Jordan...	20	20	340	375	100
17 Lexington—W. S. Hammond..	66	66	1,100	1,200	240
18 Collierstown—G. W. Staples ..	24	21	400	355	50
19 Elliston—W. E. Miller.....	34	32	600	523	70
20 Christiansburg—C. Lynch.....	45	45	800	800	200
21 Cambria—G. D. Kidner.....	32	32	525	475
22 Blacksburg—W. H. H. Joyce..	53	53	800	843	100
23 Newport—G. R. Fringer.....	58	58	750	762	100
24 New Castle—H. A. Murrill ...	44	44	600	600	96
25 Oriskany—J. K. Gilbert.....	26	14	600	334	62
26 Catawba—J. H. Watkins.....	50	39	450	359	60
Total.....	\$1,500	\$1,471	\$20,715	\$20,289	\$3,767

Table No. 3—Finance.

	Bishops		Conference Claimants		Church Extens'n		R. M. College.		Educat'l Extens'n		Paid Amer. Bible Soc.	Paid for Objects not elsewhere reported	Total Finance Tabs	Total amount raised for all Purposes.
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid				
1	\$42	\$42	\$255	\$255	\$130	\$130	\$45	\$45	\$23	\$23	\$18	\$1,322	\$4,094	\$5,466
2	10	8	63	30	32	25	11	4	6	4	1	340	728	1,069
3	36	36	216	216	109	109	38	38	20	20	15	509	2,758	5,819
4	18	18	112	112	57	57	20	20	11	11	2	200	1,449	2,049
5	16	16	96	96	49	49	17	17	9	9	...	208	1,333	2,124
6	15	7	88	43	44	30	15	6	8	4	1	109	1,033	2,893
7	10	6	65	17	33	6	11	...	6	3	1	1,502	1,912	1,912
8	19	9	112	54	57	24	20	10	11	5	13	103	1,177	2,600
9	18	18	112	112	57	57	20	20	11	11	2	345	1,533	1,936
10	11	11	67	67	34	34	12	12	6	6	2	207	990	1,508
11	12	12	72	72	36	36	13	13	6	6	6	160	1,026	1,205
12	14	9	81	60	42	30	12	8	7	5	3	160	1,014	2,027
13	13	5	80	38	40	17	14	3	7	2	3	3	651	5,514
14	14	10	84	55	42	15	15	10	8	5	5	...	845	845
15	16	5	96	30	49	15	17	5	9	2	2	110	831	1,297
16	17	9	104	59	53	26	18	9	9	5	6	48	902	1,300
17	22	15	128	71	65	15	22	8	12	2	...	364	1,474	1,856
18	14	4	87	18	44	5	15	2	8	1	2	141	854	1,988
19	10	5	63	28	32	14	11	6	6	2	...	47	605	958
20	16	5	96	28	49	10	17	...	9	...	2	44	802	955
21	8	4	48	13	24	5	8	1	4	1	1	1,438	412	1,850
22	9	5	51	23	26	14	9	3	5	3	6	86	551	650
23	15	7	88	42	44	16	15	6	8	3	768	855
24	17	10	104	70	53	24	18	7	9	3	5	9	765	808
25	12	6	72	20	36	7	12	4	6	3	3	12	542	830
	\$403	\$282	\$2,440	\$1,629	1237	\$770	\$427	\$257	\$224	\$139	\$99	\$7,467	\$29,146	\$50,314
1	\$30	\$30	\$182	\$182	\$94	\$94	\$22	\$22	\$17	\$17	\$10	\$445	\$2,358	\$3,574
2	69	69	420	420	218	218	74	74	39	39	20	7,301	10,618	17,133
3	29	29	177	177	92	92	32	32	16	16	...	1,252	3,712	5,296
4	32	32	195	170	101	75	34	17	18	9	3	244	2,114	3,065
5	25	25	153	153	69	69	27	27	14	...	14	4	1,806	2,348
6	21	21	130	130	66	66	23	23	12	12	2	279	1,583	2,467
7	10	10	60	60	36	36	11	11	5	5	2	42	738	988
8	18	18	110	110	54	54	20	20	10	10	1	331	1,562	2,048
9	14	8	83	40	42	12	15	2	8	2	3	3	608	917
10	13	11	80	78	40	37	15	12	7	5	5	3	841	954
11	31	12	187	74	96	40	34	13	17	6	8	173	1,523	3,013
12	14	10	80	80	40	32	15	4	7	5	2	...	885	1,223
13	16	16	100	100	50	50	18	18	9	9	6	195	1,457	1,793
14	12	10	70	45	35	25	13	10	6	5	2	107	836	1,602
15	16	16	100	100	50	50	18	18	9	9	3	3	1,413	2,504
16	7	7	37	37	22	22	6	6	4	4	1	2	539	799
17	22	22	133	133	68	68	24	24	12	12	3	196	1,932	2,900
18	9	2	54	14	26	10	9	...	5	1	1	152	606	606
19	14	8	88	70	35	20	15	9	8	5	8	264	1,021	1,485
20	16	10	92	60	47	30	17	10	9	7	10	103	1,175	1,665
21	11	11	65	65	33	33	12	12	6	6	1	124	848	1,206
22	17	17	107	107	53	53	19	19	10	10	3	904	2,165	5,547
23	20	20	117	117	60	60	20	20	11	11	4	118	1,338	3,170
24	14	14	86	50	46	16	16	5	9	1	1	3	807	1,837
25	9	5	56	31	27	15	10	5	5	3	3	5	522	687
26	16	6	100	30	50	17	16	7	8	4	...	3	512	792
	\$505	\$439	\$3,062	\$2,633	1552	1294	\$536	\$420	\$281	\$213	\$116	\$12,256	\$43,519	\$69,620

Table No. 3.—Finance.

LEWISBURG DISTRICT.	Presiding Elder		Preacher in Charge		
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	House Rent
T. J. Lambert, Presiding Elder					\$300
1 Lewisburg—L. Hammond	\$106	\$106	\$1,000	\$1,000
2 Frankford—A. L. Harnesberger	105	102	700	680	100
A. A. P. Neel			250	242
3 Blue Sulphur—L. A. Snow	67	64	615	580	100
4 Hinton—C. S. Stanton	115	115	1,200	1,200	180
5 Talcott—B. L. Parrott	35	35	400	400	60
6 Greenville—W. F. Lowance	75	75	600	612	100
J. E. Keller			250	198
7 Peterstown—J. B. Hedrick	37	37	400	405	100
8 Alderson—C. K. Millican	75	75	850	850	150
9 Union—J. M. York	75	75	800	800	120
10 Highl'd Park & Sweet Sp'gs— O. D. Lambert	25	25	350	350
11 Ronceverte—A. M. Cackley	85	72	900	768	175
12 White Sulphur—J. H. Schooley	55	55	550	550	150
13 Alvon—W. C. Sponaugle	40	27	600	406	60
14 Callaghan—V. W. Wheeler	47	40	750	627	70
15 Covington—J. O. Knott	120	120	1,200	1,200	250
16 *Potts Creek—A. L. Goodall	12	8	230	154
17 Clifton Forge—W. O. Talbert	120	120	1,200	1,200	250
18 Selma—L. L. Lowance			375	380
19 Burnsville and Millboro— I. H. VanDevander	38	33	500	447	24
20 Hot Springs—S. R. Snead	38	35	450	453
21 Huntersville—D. G. Brimlow	33	33	325	325
22 Back Creek—H. C. Bitner	19	18	300	275
23 Marlinton—A. Lee Barret	70	70	800	800	125
24 Levelton—L. B. Atkins	93	94	1,000	1,035	75
25 Greenbank—H. Q. Burr	65	65	700	700	75
26 Durbin—J. W. Beall	50	41	800	650
Total	\$1,600	\$1,540	\$18,095	\$17,287	\$2,454
MOOREFIELD DISTRICT.					
W. H. Woolf—Presiding Elder					\$200
1 Moorefield—W. H. Marsh	\$75	\$75	\$700	\$700	150
2 Petersburg—Wendell Allen	40	40	360	360	50
3 Franklin—H. L. Myerly	75	75	700	700	150
4 North Fork—	20			
5 Keyser—J. C. Hawk	106	106	900	900	200
6 Cumberland—L. S. Rudasill	55	55	800	800
7 S. Cumberland—G. T. D. Collins	80	80	800	800
8 Elk Garden—G. W. Yost	50	41	425	300
Junior Preacher			100	82
9 Piedmont—H. L. Hout	107	107	1,000	1,000	300
10 Frostburg—S. H. Greene	60	62	500	513	90
11 Flintstone—J. L. Thomas	60	60	500	500	50
12 Romney—H. A. Brown	75	75	800	800	150
13 Burlington—W. E. Woolf	45	45	400	400
14 Springfield—G. H. Echols	72	72	675	675	75
Junior Preacher			89	89
15 Slanesville—W. Lawson	50	51	350	360
16 Hardy—Geo. Pope	50	48	430	320
17 Morgan—Thos. A. Burch	55	55	412	472	60
18 Gainsboro—C. W. Mark	55	53	400	387	60
19 Wardensville—L. R. Markwood	55	48	400	297	50
20 Capon Bridge—F. F. Neel	60	48	500	432	60
21 Listonburg—W. D. Eye	60	59	475	472	60
Total	\$1,285	\$1,255	\$11,716	\$11,359	\$1,705

*Part of Year.

Table No. 3.—Finance.

	Bishops		Conference Claimants		Ch. Extension		R M. College.		Educat'l Extens'n		Paid Amer. Bible Soc.	Paid for Ob-jects not elsewhere reported	Total Finance Table	Total Am't Raised for All Pur-poses.
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid				
1	\$26	\$26	\$156	\$156	\$79	\$79	\$28	\$28	\$14	\$14	...	\$606	\$2,266	\$3,637
2	26	16	155	85	79	49	27	14	7	\$18	7	1,443	2,880
3	17	9	99	49	50	25	17	8	9	4	2	8	825	1,494
4	28	25	170	100	86	30	30	15	17	12	5	370	2,071	2,220
5	9	5	52	15	26	10	9	5	6	3	2	187	779	855
6	18	4	111	45	56	20	20	10	1	5	160	1,240	2,619
7	8	8	54	54	28	28	10	10	5	5	2	391	1,025	1,215
8	18	18	111	111	56	56	20	20	10	10	5	64	1,380	1,887
9	18	16	111	118	56	56	20	14	10	10	1	9	1,271	5,295
10	5	4	37	21	20	10	6	3	3	1	1	2,046	459	2,500
11	21	11	125	70	64	34	22	12	12	7	187	1,347	1,892
12	14	14	81	81	41	41	14	14	7	4	13	355	1,259	1,585
13	10	5	59	40	30	21	10	5	2	1	10	710	846
14	11	11	69	46	35	5	12	6	3	5	6	814	1,700
15	30	30	177	177	90	90	30	30	15	15	615	2,582	9,100
16	3	3	18	8	3	2	1	166	166
17	30	30	177	177	90	90	30	30	15	15	2	25	2,212	10,006
18	25	405	1,316
19	9	5	56	34	28	17	10	6	5	2	4	5	615	663
20	9	2	56	6	28	4	10	3	5	1	4	67	674	890
21	8	8	48	48	24	24	8	8	4	4	1	5	529	862
22	5	5	29	15	15	5	5	3	3	2	6	400	400
23	17	18	103	104	52	53	18	18	10	10	8	174	1,417	2,023
24	22	22	136	137	70	71	24	13	13	10	903	2,542	2,571
25	15	9	95	57	49	30	17	9	9	4	...	282	1,380	4,325
26	12	8	74	44	37	19	13	7	7	4	2	203	1,042	1,491
\$389		\$312	\$2,359	\$1,775	1197	\$877	\$413	\$245	\$216	\$154	\$94	\$6,716	\$30,853	\$64,438
1	\$19	\$ 8	\$ 115	\$ 48	\$58	\$23	\$20	\$ 8	\$11	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$ 195	\$1,137	\$1,358
2	10	5	63	24	30	12	11	4	6	2	7	507	1,555
3	19	19	115	115	58	28	20	2	11	1	1,112	1,112
4	5	33	16	5
5	27	16	161	100	80	50	28	23	15	10	195	1,603	2,477
6	14	14	80	80	42	42	15	15	7	7	3	1,199	2,747
7	20	20	120	76	61	21	21	10	11	5	1	1,112	2,000
8	13	6	79	28	38	10	7	3	1	516	1,096
9	27	20	160	110	80	37	28	15	15	10	6	547	2,094	3,278
10	15	4	94	30	46	11	16	5	9	3	138	818	1,100
11	15	15	94	10	46	5	16	5	9	7	69	639	803
12	19	19	115	115	58	20	11	6	376	1,567	2,200
13	11	11	70	70	35	35	12	12	7	7	5	150	842	2,000
14	18	10	97	51	56	21	19	10	6	13	369	1,574	1,418
15	13	8	79	40	38	20	14	7	7	3	6	9	597	823
16	13	7	79	34	38	17	14	7	6	4	2	106	610	2,134
17	13	7	81	39	42	19	14	4	7	2	2	667	2,350
18	13	5	81	26	43	8	14	4	8	2	3	18	558	1,244
19	13	5	80	11	42	3	14	8	387	387
20	15	8	93	35	47	12	15	7	7	3	1	10	625	759
21	15	5	92	27	47	15	15	3	8	2	11	8	658	1,012
\$322		\$212	\$1,981	\$1,069	\$985	\$389	\$338	\$134	\$173	\$71	\$71	\$2,187	\$18,920	\$31,853

Recapitulation Table No. 3.—Finance.

	DISTRICT	Presiding Elder		Preacher in Charge		House Rent
		Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	
1	Baltimore	\$ 1,900	\$ 1,882	\$21,513	\$ 21,209	\$ 3,359
2	East Baltimore	1,900	1,844	14,400	13,637	2,545
3	Washington	2,000	1,991	22,281	22,230	3,662
4	Winchester	1,501	1,498	16,446	16,335	2,961
5	Rockingham	1,600	1,549	16,025	15,301	2,589
6	Roanoke	1,500	1,471	20,715	20,289	3,767
7	Lewisburg	1,600	1,540	18,095	17,287	2,454
8	Moorefield	1,285	1,255	11,716	11,359	1,705
	Total	\$13,286	\$13,030	\$140,191	\$137,647	\$23,042
	Total last year	13,060	12,765	136,247	132,019	21,289
	Increase	\$226	\$265	\$3,944	\$5,628	\$1,753
	Decrease					

Southern Methodist Book Depository

STAUNTON, VA.

E. B. LIPSCOMB, Secretary.

WRITE US FOR PRICE LISTS

NEW HYMNAL ALL STYLES AT NASHVILLE PRICES

BIBLES. TESTAMENTS. REVISED BIBLES.

RED LETTER BIBLES AND RED LETTER TESTAMENTS.

Your Orders for all Church and Sunday School Supplies Solicited.

Special Care Given all Book Orders.

CHURCH TREASURER'S ACCOUNT RECORD BOOKS

(Weekly or Monthly Payments)

No. 1 for 320 names, \$1.25 No. 2 for 640 names, \$2.25

Recapitulation Table No. 3.—Finance.

	Bishops		Conference Claimants		Ch. Ex-tension		R. M. College.		Educat'l Extens'n		Paid American Bible Society	Paid for Objects not Elsewhere Reported	Total Finance Table	Total amount Raised for all Purposes.
	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid	Ass'd	Paid				
1	\$410	\$388	\$2,483	\$2,349	\$1,261	\$1,209	\$435	\$399	\$228	\$204	\$144	\$13,126	\$44,405	\$59,452
2	306	229	1,855	1,424	941	702	325	215	170	109	75	8,499	29,295	36,485
3	531	462	3,216	2,728	1,631	1,328	563	429	295	239	217	12,960	46,493	77,066
4	428	386	2,599	2,281	1,314	1,120	454	389	238	193	150	6,802	30,456	55,701
5	403	282	2,440	1,629	1,237	770	427	257	224	139	99	7,467	29,146	50,314
6	505	439	3,062	2,613	1,552	1,294	536	420	281	213	116	12,256	43,519	69,620
7	389	312	2,359	1,775	1,197	877	413	245	216	154	94	6,716	30,853	64,438
8	322	212	1,981	1,969	985	389	338	134	173	71	71	2,187	18,920	31,853
	3294	2710	19,995	15,868	10,118	7,689	3491	2488	1825	1322	966	70,013	273,087	444,929
	3290	2548	20,260	14,936	10,138	7,497	3163	2130	1835	1259	735	50,004	434,161
	4	162	932	192	328	358	63	231	20,009	10,768
	265	20	10

For
published
at
by **ANY** book
where
time
body

write to us, and we will supply it if at all procurable. Address

SMITH & LAMAR, Nashville, Tenn.

CONFERENCE DIRECTORY, 1909=10.

BISHOP ALPHEUS W. WILSON, LL. D.
1601 PARK PLACE, BALTIMORE, MD.

E—Elder. **D**—Deacon. **P**—Probationer. **Sd**—Superannuated.
 Sy—Sup'y. **Sup**—Supply.

NAMES.	P. O. ADDRESS.
Allen, Wendell.....	D Petersburg, Va.
Anderson, J. A.....	E 1626 N. Monroe St., Baltimore, Md.
Anderson, J. M.....	E Poolesville, Md.
Andrew, J. R.....	E Salem, Va.
Atkins, L. B.....	E Academy, W. Va.
Baggs, J. F. (Sd.).....	E Berwyn, Md.
Ball, B. F.....	E Mt. Crawford, Va.
Ballengee, W. H.....	E 3011 Cambridge Pl., Washington, D. C.
Baker, H. P.....	E Basic, Va.
Balthis, J. H.....	E Middleburg, Va.
Barber, Ed.....	E Fincastle, Va.
Beall, O. C.....	E Alexandria, Va., R. F. D. No. 2.
Beall, J. W.....	E Callaghans, Va.
Bean, J. H.....	E Roanoke, Va.
Beery, D. A.....	E Summit Point, W. Va.
Best, W. H.....	E Ronceverte, W. Va.
Billingsley, J. H.....	Sup. Arnold, Md.
Bitner, H. C.....	Sup. Lost River, W. Va.
Bivens, H. L.....	P Hyattsville, Md.
Blakemore, D. L.....	E Leesburg, Va.
Bond, B. W.....	E Falls Church, Va.
Boude, A. P. (Sd.).....	E Stanleyton, Va.
Brimlow, D. G.....	P Huntersville, W. Va.
Brown, D. M.....	E Lewisburg, W. Va.
Brown, H. A.....	E Romney, W. Va.
Brown, T. W. (Sd.).....	E Gardenville, Md.
Brubaker, E. W.....	E Waldorf, Md.
Bulla, C. D.....	E Alexandria, Va.
Burch, Thos. A.....	P Berkeley Springs, W. Va.
Burgess, O. F.....	E Catlett, Fauquier Co., Va.
Burr, H. Q.....	E Durbin, W. Va.
Bush, David.....	E Salem, Va.
Butt, L.....	E Goshen, Va.
Cackley, A. M.....	E Marlinton, W. Va.
Cannon, C. H.....	E Hedgesville, W. Va.
Canter, I. W. (Sd.).....	E 303 N. Stricker St., Baltimore, Md.
Canter, H. M.....	E Woodstock, Va.
Caton, E. T.....	Clarksburg, Md.
Cockrell, S. K.....	E 216 11th St. S. W., Washington, D. C.
Coe, H. S.....	E Elliston, Va.
Coe, W. P. C. (Sd.).....	E 1605 8th St. N. W., Washington, D. C.
Collins, G. T. D.....	E 65 Grand Ave., Cumberland, Md.
Compton, W. M.....	D New Hope, Va.
Cooper, Thos.....	E Monterey, Va., R. F. D. No. 1.
Copenhaver, J. C.....	D Pikeville, Va.
Cox, S. K. (Sd.).....	E Harrisonburg, Va.
Crickenberger, J. J. (Sd.)...	E Lewisburg, W. Va.
Damaron, C. L. (Sd.).....	E 728 Dolphin St., Baltimore, Md.
Davidson, J. H.....	E Goldsboro, Md.
DeLong, C. L.....	E Church Hill, Md.
Denny, Collins.....	E Vanderbilt, Uni., Nashville, Tenn.
Dolly, W. L.....	E Fredericksburg, Va.

NAMES.		P. O. ADDRESS.
Dorsey, W. B.....	E	Hillsboro, Va.
Duffey, J. W.....	E	Winchester, Va.
DuLaney, J. H. (Sd.).....	E	631 N. Carey St., Baltimore, Md.
DuLaney, S. J. L.....	P	Ashland, Va.
Echols, G. H.....	E	Springfield, W. Va.
Engle, J. J. (Sd.).....	E	Berryville, Va.
Engle, J. S. (Sy.).....	E	Buena Vista, Va.
Eutsler, D. F.....	E	Upperville, Va.
Eutsler, R. L.....	E	Greenville, Va.
Eye, W. D.....	D	Fairfield, Va.
Febrey, H. C.....	E	Jarrettsville, Md.
Fielding, G. H.....	E	Beltsville, Md.
Flaherty, A. Q. (Sd.).....	E	Eagle Rock, Va.
Flory, Chas. I.....	D	Woodwardville, Md.
Fox, L. (Sd.).....	E	Front Royal, Va.
Fringer, G. R.....	E	Newport, Va.
Fultz, R. L.....	E	1050 W. Fayette St., Baltimore, Md.
Furr, F.....	E	Keezletown, Va.
Gaither, G. W.....	E	Sterling, Va.
Gaver, H. A. (Sd.).....	E	Middletown, Va.
Gilbert, J. K.....	E	Catawba, Va.
Goodall, A. L.....	Sup.	Gerrardstown, W. Va.
Gover, W. T.....	E	Manassas, Va.
Greene, S. H.....	P	Gainsboro, Va.
Grubb, J. W.....	E	Trappe, Md.
Hale, S. T.....	Sup.	Huntersville, W. Va.
Haley, J. H.....	E	Glen Wilton, Va.
Hall, N. N. (Sd.).....	E	Front Royal, Va.
Hamill, H. P.....	E	Blacksburg, Va.
Hammond, F. E.....	E	Roanoke, Va., R. F. D. No. 4.
Hammond, W. G. (Sd.).....	E	Dranesville, Va.
Hammond, W. S.....	E	Lexington, Va.
Hammond, L.....	E	Luray, Va.
Hardesty, W. R.....	D	Stafford, Va.
Harnesberger, A. L.....	E	Cloverdale, Va.
Harper, W. H. D.....	E	Buena Vista, Va.
Harris, C. D.....	E	1605 Harlem Ave., Baltimore, Md.
Harrison, B. D.....	E	1602 W. Main St., Staunton, Va.
Hasel, George.....	E	1521 W. Lombard St., Baltimore, Md.
Haslup, L. W. (Sy.).....	E	1511 W. Lexington St., Balto., Md.
Hawk, J. C.....	E	Keyser, W. Va.
Hawley, J. M. (Sd.).....	E	Hamilton, Va.
Hedges, William (Sd.).....	E	Martinsburg, W. Va.
Hedrick, J. B.....	D	Peterstown, W. Va.
Henderson, J. L.....	E	Parnassus, Va.
Henry, J. B.....	E	Vinton, Va.
Henry, W. E.....	E	142 S St. N. W., Washington, D. C.
Hesser, C. M.....	E	6100 Georgia Ave., Brightwood, D. C.
Heydrick, G. K.....	E	Woodstock, Va.
Hildebrand, S. V.....	E	Hamilton, Va.
Homan, G. O. (Sy.).....	E	Roanoke, Va., R. F. D. No. 3.
Hopkins, J. S. (Sd.).....	E	Strasburg, Va.
Hout, H. L.....	E	Box 603, Piedmont, W. Va.
Hudson, E. G.....	Sup.	Potts Creek, Va.
Hupman, J. B.....	E	Sykesville, Md., R. F. D. No. 2.
Hutchinson, J. S. (Sd.).....	E	Edinburg, Va.
Hyde, J. P. (Sd.).....	E	Winchester, Va.
Jacobs, J. R.....	E	Harman's, Md.
Jeffries, P. W.....	E	"The Chesterfield," 3141 Mt. Pleasant St. N. W., Washington, D. C.

NAMES.	P. O. ADDRESS.
Jenkins, Frank.....	E Brunswick, Md.
Johnston, Wilmer P.....	E Laytonsville, Md.
Jones, L. R. (Sd.).....	E Luray, Page Co., Va.
Jones, T. C.....	E 1409 3rd Ave. N. W., Roanoke, Va.
Jordan, T. A.....	E Buena Vista, Va., R. F. D. No. 1.
Joyce, W. H. H.....	E Roanoke, Va.
Keen, M. H.....	D Reisterstown, Md.
Keene, J. S.....	P Philomont, Loudoun Co., Va.
Keene, W. D.....	E Rockville, Md.
Kennard, C. L.....	E Glenelg, Md.
Kern, D. H.....	E Staunton, Va.
Kern, J. A.....	E Vanderbilt Uni., Nashville, Tenn.
Kibler, J. L.....	E Warrenton, Va.
Kidner, G. D.....	E Cambria, Va.
Kincaid, L. H.....	D Eagle Rock, Va.
King, W. D.....	E Royal Oak, Md.
Kinzer, H. W. (Sd.).....	E Front Royal, Va.
Kline, J. M.....	E White Post, Va.
Knott, J. O.....	E Covington, Va.
Knox, Absalom.....	D Strasburg, Va.
Kregeloe, E. L. (Sd.).....	E Shepherdstown, W. Va.
Kuhlmann, J. H.....	E Mt. Airy, Md.
Lambert, E. A.....	P Sterling, Va.
Lambert, Okey D.....	D Selma, Va.
Lambert, T. J.....	E Lewisburg, W. Va.
Lawson, W.....	P Three Churches, W. Va.
Light, J. H.....	E Front Royal, Va.
Lloyd, L. L.....	E Roanoke, Va.
Locke, W. F.....	E Martinsburg, W. Va.
Lowance, L. L.....	D Asbury, W. Va.
Lowance, W. F.....	E Greenville, W. Va.
Lusby, O. W.....	E Marshall, Va.
Lynch, Chas.....	E Stephens City, Va.
Lynch, W. A.....	Sup. Ashland, Va.
Lyons, A. O.....	Sup. Sunderland, Md.
Mark, C. W.....	E Elkton, Va., R. F. D. No. 1.
Markwood, L. R.....	E Winchester, Va.
Marsh, W. H.....	E Moorefield, W. Va.
Martin, A. R. (Sd.).....	E Gaithersburg, Md.
Mast, J. N.....	D 45 Garrison Lane, Baltimore, Md.
Maxwell, J. T. (Sd.).....	E Staunton, Va.
Mays, G. R.....	E New Market, Va.
McNeer, A. C. (Sd.).....	E Alderson, W. Va.
McNeer, R. D.....	P Strom, Va.
McNeil, J. W.....	E Front Royal, Va.
Meeks, R. C.....	P 1421 2nd Ave. N. W., Covington, Va.
Melville, Wm.....	E Edinburg, Va.
Meyers, Jas. T.....	E Hiroshima, Japan.
Michael, I. G.....	E Berryville, Va.
Miller, W. E.....	E Mt. Jackson, Va.
Millican, C. K.....	E Alderson, W. Va.
Mitchell, J. W.....	E Upper Marlboro, Md., R. F. D. No. 1.
Mitchell, M. D.....	E Laurens St., nr. Madison ave., Balto
Morgan, Thos.....	Sup. Springfield, W. Va.
Murrill, H. A.....	E New Castle, Va.
Myerly, H. L.....	E Franklin, W. Va.
Neel, A. A. P.....	E Frankford, W. Va.
Neel, A. A. P., Jr.....	P Burlington, W. Va.
Neel, F. F.....	P Capon Bridge, W. Va.
Neel, S. R.....	P Frankford, W. Va.

NAMES.	P. O. ADDRESS.
Neighbors, W. S.....	E 344 W. Preston St., Baltimore, Md.
Nevitt, T. G.....	E Port Republic, Va.
Nevitt, R. K.....	P Shenandoah Junction, W. Va.
Oliver, George.....	Sup. Fairfax, Va.
Owens, A. E.....	D Upper Falls, Md.
Parker, S. A.....	E Bowling Green, Va.
Parrott, B. L.....	E Talcott, W. Va.
Pope, George.....	Sup. Listonburg, Pa.
Pope, J. D.....	E Ingleside, Md.
Potter, C. L.....	E Monterey, Va.
Prettyman, F. J.....	E 1003 9th St. N. W., Washington, D. C.
Prout, Wm.....	Sup. Roanoke, Va.
Reeves, J. W.....	Sup. Charles Town, W. Va.
Regester, E. V.....	E Station "E," Baltimore, Md.
Richardson, F. M.....	D Hamilton Station, Baltimore, Md.
Richardson, G. W.....	E Bridgewater, Va.
Ringer, J. J.....	E Raspburg, Box 55, Balto. Co., Md.
Rives, J. J.....	D 316 9th St. N. E., Washington, D. C.
Roads, E. A.....	Sup. Remington, Va.
Roane, H. M. (Sd.).....	E 602 N. Augusta Ave., Staunton, Va.
Rood, J. A.....	E Millington, Md., R. F. D.
Rosser, John.....	Sup. Federalsburg, Md.
Rudasill, L. S.....	E Cumberland, Md.
Ryder, I. (Sd.).....	E Branford, Florida.
Sanders, W. H.....	E Dunkirk, Md.
Sapp, A. B.....	Sup. Rockbridge Baths, Va.
Sarver, C. M.....	E La Plata, Md.
Schooley, J. H.....	E White Sulphur, W. Va.
Sherman, H. H.....	E Harrisonburg, Va.
Shipley, J. L. (Sd.).....	E Baltimore, Md.
Shires, L. S.....	P. D. Alderson, W. Va.
Simmons, C. E.....	E Cave Spring, Va.
Sites, A. B.....	E Savage, Md.
Smith, J. H.....	E Gaithersburg, Md.
Smith, J. W.....	D 125 11th St. N. E., Washington, D. C.
Smith, W. C.....	E Colesville, Md.
Smith, W. L.....	E Potomac, Md.
Snead, S. R.....	Sup. Hot Springs, Va.
Sponaule, W. C.....	D Greenbank, W. Va.
Stanton, C. S.....	E Hinton, W. Va.
Staples, G. W.....	E Collierstown, Va.
Stephens, H. I.....	E Shepherdstown, W. Va.
Stevens, Wm.....	E 411 4th Ave. N. W., Roanoke, Va.
Strickler, H. M.....	E Rileysville, Va.
Strother, F. A.....	E Fairfax, Va.
Stump, C. W.....	E Linden, Va.
Stump, J. P.....	E Middletown, Va.
Sutton, C. B.....	E McGaheysville, Va.
Switzer, B. V.....	E Station "E," Baltimore, Md.
Sydenstricker, C.....	E Occoquan, Va.
Sydenstricker, H.....	D Hillsboro, Md.
Tabler, M. T.....	D Elkton, Va.
Talbert, W. O.....	E Clifton Forge, Va.
Thomas, J. L.....	Sup. Flintstone, Md.
Thomas, S. G.....	Sup. Wardensville, W. Va.
Thrasher, J. C.....	E Easton, Md.
Tyler, G. T.....	E Winchester, Va.
Tyler, F. A.....	E Aberdeen, Md.
Tyler, J. Paul.....	D Frederick City, Md.
VanDevander, A.....	E Alvon, W. Va.

NAMES.		P. O. ADDRESS.
VanDevander, I. H.....	Sup.	Millboro, Va.
Van Horne, J. R. (Sd.).....	E	Woodstock, Va.
Walker, A. A.....	E	Waynesboro, Va.
Waters, B. W.....	E	Nakatsu, Japan.
Waters, W. M.....	E	Prince Frederick, Md.
Watkins, J. H.....	Sup.	Sweet Springs, W. Va.
Watts, W. W. (Sd.).....	E	Strasburg, Va.
Welch, H.....	E	Gainesville, Va.
Weikel, M. P.....	E	Morrisville, Va.
Weller, A. (Sd.).....	E	Govanstown, Md.
Wells, J. Howard.....	E	1200 Mass. Ave. N. W., Washington.
Wheeler, H. V.....	D	Brucetown, Va.
Wheeler, R. M.....	E	Shenandoah, Va.
Wheeler, V. W.....	Sup.	Williamsburg, W. Va.
White, G. D.....	E	Staunton, Va.
Whitesell, W. J.....	E	Buchanan, Va.
Wilhite, J. H.....	E	Sparrows Point, Md., R. F. D. No. 10.
Wightman, J. T. (Sd.).....	E	1821 N. Mount St., Baltimore, Md.
Williams, J. T.....	E	Charles Town, W. Va.
Wilson, H. A.....	E	McDowell, Va.
Wilson, R. H. (Sd.).....	E	Crozet, Va.
Wolff, J. H. (Sd.).....	E	Charles Town, W. Va.
Woolf, E. L.....	E	Roanoke, Va.
Woolf, W. E.....	E	Frostburg, Md.
Woolf, W. H.....	E	Romney, W. Va.
York, J. M.....	E	Union, W. Va.
Yost, G. W.....	Sup.	Elk Garden, W. Va.

Elders—Superannuated, 30; Supernumerary, 3; Effective, 162. *Deacons*—21. *Probationers*—12. *Supplies*—19. *Total*, 249.

J. E. Alexander, Treasurer of Conference Board of Missions, Alexandria, Va.

J. T. Houck, Treasurer of Joint Board of Finance, Harrisonburg, Va.

Wm. Dean, Treasurer of Sunday-School Board, Harrisonburg, Va.

P. V. D. Conway, Treasurer of Church Extension Board, Fredericksburg, Va.

G. W. Laycock, Treasurer of Bible Board, Hamilton, Va.

THE PROVIDENT LIFE AND TRUST COMPANY

OF PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Insurance in Force (paid-for basis)	- - -	\$201,185,435.00
Assets (market value Dec. 31, 1908)	- - -	67,324,334.35
Surplus	- - -	8,819,979.14

The NEW POLICIES of the Provident are unsurpassed for conciseness and simplicity of form and for adaptability and liberality in all essentials.

The premium rates of the Provident are exceedingly low and are still further reduced by large annual dividends.

The Baltimore Agency of this Company wrote \$1,110,818 new business during 1908, being an increase over 1907, making the total amount in force at this agency \$18,559,055.

Send your age, nearest birthday, for sample of the Provident's unsurpassed New Endowment Policy—an excellent saving fund. Policies issued at age 16 and upward.

JONATHAN K. TAYLOR, General Agent

305 FIDELITY BUILDING

BALTIMORE, MD.

LOCAL PREACHERS—With P. O. Address.

L—Licentiate.

D—Deacon.

E—Elder.

BALTIMORE DISTRICT.

Bingley, Chas. V.....	E	1502 John St., Baltimore, Md.
Billingsley, J. H.....	L	Arnold, Md.
Breeden, W. I.....	E	Lusby, Md.
Christopher, I.....	E	Bethlehem, Md.
Fairbank, Frank T.....	L	Royal Oak, Md.
Frampton, R. B.....	D	Royal Oak, Md.
Hamer, George.....	D	317 N. Fulton Ave., Baltimore, Md.
Hammond, O. W.....	E	2502 Madison Ave., Baltimore, Md.
Hill, Charles E.....	E	838 N. Carey St., Baltimore, Md.
Lyons, Anthony O.....	L	Sunderland, Md.
Norwood, Wm.....	L	Mt. Airy, Md.
Orrick, F. D.....	E	Glyndon, Md.
Rosser, John.....	L	Federalsburg, Md.
Taylor, Zachary.....	L	Bristol, Md.

WASHINGTON DISTRICT.

Adamson, R. J.....	L	Manassas, Va.
Beach, H. A.....	D	Falls Church, Va.
Claypool, C. C.....	L	Lois, Va.
Chapman, W. R.....	L	Bealeton, Va.
Day, F. L.....	E	Ashland, Va.
Day, Geo. W.....	L	Gaithersburg, Md.
Myers, ———.....	L	Manassas, Va.
Miller, John.....	E	Washington, D. C.
Maxwell, Raymond C.....	L	Comus, Md.
Oliver, George.....	L	Fairfax, Va.
Overholt, E. E.....	D	Washington, D. C.
Peake, J. F.....	L	Alexandria, Va.
Pearce, Emanuel.....	L	Washington, D. C.
Ramsey, A. F.....	D	Fairmont & 14th St., Washington.
Ray, Clifton K.....	L	Brightwood, D. C.
Reed, Harrah M.....	E	Washington, D. C.
Roads, E. A.....	L	Remington, Va.
Shipley, F. H.....	E	Hyattsville, Md.
Shreve, B. A.....	E	Sterling, Va.
Swann, Theodore.....	L	La Plata, Md.
Thonssen, W. J. R.....	E	Washington, D. C.
Walker, E. W.....	L	Gaithersburg, Md.
Williams, G. H.....	E	Barcroft, Va., R. F. D.

WINCHESTER DISTRICT.

Alexander, Frederick.....	L	Winchester, Va.
Bush, Clinton C.....	L	Charles Town, W. Va.
Calvert, C. C.....	D	Upperville, Va.
Conway, N. T.....	L	Winchester, Va.
Fearnow, M. L.....	D	Front Royal, Va.
Goodall, A. L.....	L	Brucetown, Va.
Huffington, J. W.....	L	Front Royal, Va.
Kercheval, Geo. E.....	L	Berryville, Va.
Lynch, W. A.....	L	Front Royal, Va.
Middleton, A. R.....	L	Middletown, Va.
Moore, George.....	D	Woodstock, Va.
Reeves, J. W.....	E	Charles Town, W. Va.
Ridgeway, Frank T.....	L	Winchester, Va.
Swann, T. M.....	L	Ashland, Va.
Warner, Ariel.....	E	Hamilton, Va.

ROCKINGHAM DISTRICT.

Hensley, Stephen.....	D	Elkton, Va.
Jollett, John W.....	D	Shenandoah, Va.
Sapp, A. B.....	L	Rockbridge Baths, Va.
Williams, B. C.....	L	Eastern Shore, Va.

ROANOKE DISTRICT.

Fishburne, T. T.....	D	Roanoke, Va.
Gardner, Geo. W.....	E	McDonald's Mill, Va.
Hooke, Harvey S.....	D	Roanoke, Va.
Hutton, Robt. E.....	E	Lexington, Va.
Janney, Samuel M.....	L	Roanoke, Va.
Keith, Christopher.....	D	Amsterdam, Va.
Magann, P. G.....	E	Roanoke, Va.
Marks, E. F.....	E	Roanoke, Va.
Nalls, L. M.....	D	Amsterdam, Va.
Prout, William.....	L	Roanoke, Va.
Stras, Joseph.....	D	Roanoke, Va.
Surface, F. D.....	E	Blacksburg, Va.
Surface, F. J.....	E	Layman's, Craig Co., Va.
Taylor, J. A.....	D	Roanoke, Va.

LEWISBURG DISTRICT.

Anderson, Chas. M.....	D	Lobelia, W. Va.
Fitzgerald, John H.....	L	Frankford, W. Va.
Grimes, J. B.....	L	Lobelia, W. Va.
Hale, S. T.....	L	Huntersville, W. Va.
Hudson, E. G.....	L	Potts Creek, Va.
Keller, John E.....	L	Hinton, W. Va.
Kesler, Henry.....	L	Wanless, W. Va.
Lawson, Henry.....	E	Lewisburg, W. Va.
Ruckman, Lee V.....	L	Mill Point, W. Va.
Snead, S. Roger.....	L	Hot Springs, Va.
Trimble, H. B.....	L	Hot Springs, Va.
Wheeler, V. W.....	L	Williamsburg, W. Va.
Woodzelle, M. L.....	L	Burnsville, Va.
Van Devander, I. H.....	L	McClung, Va.
Watkins, J. H.....	D	Sweet Springs, W. Va.

MOOREFIELD DISTRICT.

Anderson, Samuel W.....	D	Jericho, W. Va.
Alkire, N., Jr.....	L	Romney, W. Va.
Beaver, W. S.....	L	Barnum, W. Va.
Bernard, Wm.....	L	Barnum, W. Va.
Campbell, Wm.....	L	Midland, Md.
Homan, Henry.....	D	Ridgeville, W. Va.
Luttrell, John L.....	D	Romney, W. Va.
Morgan, Thomas.....	L	Springfield, W. Va.
Patrick, M. A.....	D	Piedmont, W. Va.
Pope, George.....	L	Listonburg, Pa.
Powers, Eli.....	L	Medley, W. Va.
Saville, John D.....	L	Kirby, W. Va.
Shawen, D. W.....	D	Romney, W. Va.
Smith, A. M.....	D	Martinsburg, W. Va.
Somerville, Emory W.....	L	Piedmont, W. Va.
Thomas, John L.....	L	Flintstone, Md.
Thomas, S. G.....	L	Wardensville, W. Va.
Wittig, Richard L.....	L	Cumberland, Md.
Yost, George W.....	E	Elk Garden, W. Va.